

POLICY QUESTIONS	
<p>Lake Oswego's arts and culture opportunities are currently concentrated in the downtown area including the Lakewood Center for the Arts the Gallery Without Walls and the City's visual art collection at City Hall the Library and ACC. The Arts Council has also drawn up a new Public Art Master Plan that envisions public art to be integrated into the neighborhoods and city gateways. Looking at the 2035 Vision Map where and how should arts and cultural opportunities be focused or integrated into the community over the next 25 years?</p>	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
utilize schools that are closed for artist/dancers/music/glass blower	Nov 3 Summit
transit to access arts	Nov 3 Summit
lake grove business festival	Nov 3 Summit
new developments should have art exhibit space	Nov 3 Summit
Lakewood center, good institution, economic business	Nov 3 Summit
LO is known for its arts	Nov 3 Summit
people move to LO because of access to arts	Nov 3 Summit
Lake Oswego and Arts should be synonymous	Nov 3 Summit
art in neighborhood parks	Nov 3 Summit
plan town centers to incorporate art and library space	Nov 3 Summit
one arts and culture center that includes the library	Nov 3 Summit
maps of open studios, maps of open space that could be used for exhibits	Nov 3 Summit
community showcase for art, visual arts	Comment Forms
Incorporate the arts into each "community center/village center"	Comment Forms
pervasive throughout the city	Comment Forms
pull arts and culture into schools, parks, and special events	Comment Forms
this should be primarily a private function	Comment Forms
westside-lake grove	Comment Forms
All I can say is that I enjoy the Lakewood Center for the Arts. How it should look in thr future I think it is good to keep some of the oldie goldies as is being well maintained and maybe updated. But there is something lovely about old buildings being cherished	Virtual Summit
I think the existing plan is a good one	Virtual Summit
Acquisition of art for public display is NOT an endless program. At some point you have enough! Many museums and other institutions are now selling off their collections. Selected use of art is important but too much takes away from natural vistas. Our gateway garden plantings are a very nice alternative to static art.	Virtual Summit
Aquisition of art is NOT a program without end. Art should enhance our visual experiences and not intrude upon natural beauty. We are in danger of crossing this line. Are we to obtain art only to be forced to store it????	Virtual Summit
Art is the dessert of life! Keep it in schools and the community...	Virtual Summit
Arts and cultural opportunities should be focused at our gateways in our employment centers and near high density housing to support and enhance the livability and marketability of the community. One finds NO art along in the Kruse Way Corridor-the 'economic engine' of Clackamas County and 'gateway'. Support 'neighborhood' art at entrances such as found in the Lakewood Neighborhood WHEN financial partnership by the neighborhood exists.	Virtual Summit
Arts center keep the Festival and perhaps spread the Festival out into other sections of the City rather than concentrating it all around George Rogers	Virtual Summit
Depends on budgets. Don't consider this a top priority	Virtual Summit
Don't put any of this artwork in my neighborhood! We think it is ghastly! And quit spending taxpayers' taxes for more artwork. This art will be 'dated' in a few years and will look even worse.	Virtual Summit
Enough spending on arts. Take some of the current art and move it to Lake Grove.	Virtual Summit
Every year we are asked to vote on our favorite piece of sculpture without knowing WHERE it is going to be placed. In voting that would be ahelpful piece of information as some are not suitable for some places while others are. All the pieces are usually interesting but site specific voting is more important to me. I would like to see more of the art spread out over the major corridors. The downtown is getting junky looking. In your efforts to lure shoppers with all the art they can't tell the permanemt installations from the rotating art . I think there is way too much downtown and the uniqueness of each piece is lost in the clutter. The Village look is beginning to look like a collectibles shop with everything on display. The merchants deserve better.	Virtual Summit
Good	Virtual Summit
How about using our existing school space and businesses? Why is this the job of the city when it should be organized among citizens and businesses.	Virtual Summit
I favor concentrating art in the downtown area. Every effort should be made to maintain this as a walkable shopping/socializing/eating/drinking destination that can compete with malls. Although I live closer to Lakewood I have a hard time envisioning how this can be converted to such a center.	Virtual Summit

THE ARTS

I have to say that some of the art displayed (predominantly in the downtown area) is beautiful and some of it is really ugly. I think some tasteful (non-controversial) public art can be wonderful but why do we keep paying to change the art? I think this is a waste of money. Wouldn't we be able to 'afford' the additional art at 'city gateways' (where there currently isn't any) without raising additional funds if we didn't continuously change the art displays? Also the 'plaques' of the heads w/leaves for hair along the ramp in the new section of millenium park/plaza are really ugly. So is the 'starving' elephant which was relocated to another part of the city but is still hideous none the less. Also since the public arts are publicly funded it should be fully accountable to the citizenry (a city committee accountable to the city council instead of a NGO non-profit which is not subject to Oregon state public meetings / public records disclosure laws).	Virtual Summit
I think we have done a great job. Honestly we can slow it down and not overdo it from an art display standpoint. We have a great art show excellent art classes; concerts. It's good stay the course and do not grow (don't keep adding too much art. It's getting too crowded and risks looking a bit too busy/even junky if we are not careful.	Virtual Summit
I think you have done enough already and do not need to continue the acquisition of city-owned art.	Virtual Summit
In the central area -- otherwise 'art' and the continuing costs of same just keep expanding. 'Art' isn't something that should be everywhere you turn.	Virtual Summit
Lake Grove and Mountain Park need public art and should be considered in the future.	Virtual Summit
Lake Grove area - Lake Oswego is pretty saturated	Virtual Summit
Lake Grove.	Virtual Summit
Maybe with the closed schools offer Art programs.	Virtual Summit
More and better Lakewood Theater presentations (Keep up the goof work).	Virtual Summit
No new goofy expenditures of my hard earned tax dollars for 'art'.	Virtual Summit
Selective hubs only	Virtual Summit
Spread them out more but don't waste money on ugly sculputres. Thanks for moving that rusty ugly body that use to hang by Manzana's. I liked the funky elephant but it is gone now.	Virtual Summit
The art is nice but I would like to see more creative opportunitis for our children--an arts magnet school would be a wonderful addition to our community.	Virtual Summit
The city is lacking an artful entrance and sense of community along the Kruse Way corridor	Virtual Summit
there is plenty of public sculptural art at present and ...do not put something at every open spot or it will lose impact art festival is fantastic..continue to include more cultural diversity and that is a wonderfully broad term...places people (close to home and across the world) ...there is plenty of music and theater offered...almost every day in the summer! We need an couple ART GALLERIES in town !!	Virtual Summit
This is an area wehre the local government whould have no role at all. There are many organizations and individuals who will be willing to work on the arts. Get the City out of this.	Virtual Summit
This would be great I think the art is too dense in the downtown area and I am an artist by trade if the same number of instalations were more spread out would be good	Virtual Summit
Through the high school auditoriums as performing arts centers when not in use for school events the building where Wal-mart is going in should have been a second library and performing arts center. The City should continue to have nice parks for art events. Art in the Forest at Tryon was a good example of an innovative cultural activity. Too much emphasis on the Lakewood Center. Need more free eventsso much talent in the city and the metro area.	Virtual Summit
The City's primary involvement in arts and culture today is through programming public concerts movies and special events and supporting the Arts Council of Lake Oswego and public art program. Over the next 25 years how should the City support a thriving arts and culture scene in Lake Oswego?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
arts budget and priority	Nov 3 Summit
encourage others to participate	Nov 3 Summit
ART 21st century the century of creativity - LO should recognize	Nov 3 Summit
Arts literacy - expose children	Nov 3 Summit
passionate about arts, but concerned about financial support of arts in economic turndown	Nov 3 Summit
arts define us, build on strength	Nov 3 Summit
keeping it a priority	Nov 3 Summit
arts economic development	Nov 3 Summit
arts and education	Nov 3 Summit
buildings for subsidized/affordable spaces that can be used by young creative entrepreneurs	Nov 3 Summit
Artists in residence	Nov 3 Summit
applied arts	Nov 3 Summit
school/arts	Nov 3 Summit
working with businesses	Nov 3 Summit
lake grove open space	Nov 3 Summit
all arts organizations should be included in planning	Nov 3 Summit

THE ARTS

expand a percentage of construction dollars for private and public building enhancements	Nov 3 Summit
arts should be pervasive in all city planning	Nov 3 Summit
arts should be as important a consideration as structural integrity	Nov 3 Summit
continue existing plan, enhance with additional events	Comment Forms
more accessibility through schools, rec centers	Comment Forms
more art exhibitions and education	Comment Forms
see #7	Comment Forms
sure	Comment Forms
they do a great job already. Diversify this into each "community center/village center"	Comment Forms
yes	Comment Forms
Why not?	Virtual Summit
Again I think there is too much it is all wonderful but I worry about the cost	Virtual Summit
An arts school	Virtual Summit
Art and cultural development is key specifically for young people. Work with Parks and Rec to create new or improve existing programs	Virtual Summit
by private donation matching rather than complete funding	Virtual Summit
By spending as little taxpayer money on this as possible. It should not be a core mission of the city.	Virtual Summit
Concerts are a good idea. Brings people together and makes them feel good. The Art Festival is also fun.	Virtual Summit
Continue and expand on what it is doing....include the schools (wonderful orchestra and theatre at the high schools). More arts education for all ages 0 - 100+	Virtual Summit
Continue the programs. Weekday concerts later so people can get home from work first.	Virtual Summit
Do not think the city needs to continue to purchase sculptures every year. The collection should grow only if it is appropriate to display them on city property and it enhances city property. Too much random clutter.	Virtual Summit
Doing a great job.	Virtual Summit
Encourage volunteerism.	Virtual Summit
Get out of the way and let it thrive. Give them permission not dollars.	Virtual Summit
Good	Virtual Summit
High schools seat @ 600 Lakewood Center -?? Outdoor seating is the time when the greatest numbers can be gathered. Closest large venue is Rolling hills (just outside LO) with 2500 seating available for indoors.	Virtual Summit
I believe the City's current support is entirely adequate.	Virtual Summit
I don't see a need for a change in direction.	Virtual Summit
I like most of the exhibits in the Gallery Withou Walls and should be contnued. Before embarking on neighborhood art make a cost/ benefit matrix. You will probably find out only a very limited number of people are interested. A good example of indifference to this idea is the annual hanging flower baskets which can not be seen by most.	Virtual Summit
I think this is good with festivals concerts art etc. I would like to see this continue	Virtual Summit
Install more public art in areas other than downtown LO	Virtual Summit
Keep on doing what is being done --- encourage various activities and be flexible in how they are done.	Virtual Summit
Keep the Festival of the Arts and concerts. More oportunities for kids to study the arts and mentoring by the many wonderful artists that live here would be great.	Virtual Summit
Let provate organizations doo the concernts etc and make the fees reasonable for them to do so at public venues.	Virtual Summit
Nothing more is needed.	Virtual Summit
Put acquired art in the round abouts traffic control devices open spaces in parks etc. Belt tighten by buying only every other art cycle.	Virtual Summit
see my comments on question 1.	Virtual Summit
Should not be a priority	Virtual Summit
Sparingly - our taxes are brutal now. I seem to get along just fine without attending the arts functions supported by my tax dollars.	Virtual Summit
The City has better things to do...	Virtual Summit
The City should continue to support the 'arts and culture scene' through continued partnership with associated arts history and culture groups. However greater utilization of the WEB needs to occur. Programming needs to occur at minimum throughout the City and preferably in facilities near the population center.	Virtual Summit
The City's support of arts and culture must be balanced against fiscal responsibility.	Virtual Summit
this should be a private concern.	Virtual Summit
Through the schools Art without Walls and ultimately having an art exhibition area to show LO's commitment to the Arts	Virtual Summit

THE ARTS

How should we measure success for the arts in Lake Oswego?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
almost n/a	Comment Forms
draw of events and public arts	Comment Forms
interest in the arts by kids in our schools	Comment Forms
participation/utilization rate	Comment Forms

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

POLICY QUESTIONS	
What strategies (including new technologies and social media) are needed to ensure all segments of the community are able to participate in decision-making?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
track when people give comments and then answers are given, e.g. in an online system that records the history	Nov 3 Summit
this is not the right question	Nov 3 Summit
no attempt to communicate back to people, there are already mechanisms	Nov 3 Summit
on-line heard, this could happen more often	Nov 3 Summit
city council round table on Saturday worked well (during education crisis)	Nov 3 Summit
citizens are very active in small groups.	Nov 3 Summit
weight of comments has a big impact	Nov 3 Summit
people have the skill set to make themselves heard	Nov 3 Summit
civility: control name-calling	Nov 3 Summit
There should be links from meeting agendas to meeting audio	Nov 3 Summit
transparency: who is responding to the city's issues	Nov 3 Summit
input is ignored in sensitive lands discussion	Nov 3 Summit
summary of past projects-see history-learn from mistakes-report back on outcome	Nov 3 Summit
WebX online conferencing is very popular	Nov 3 Summit
web tools would fit in better to lifestyles, e.g. shared Google docs	Nov 3 Summit
the city should explore technology	Nov 3 Summit
agendas for commissions is too full of legalese-use layman's terms/graphics	Nov 3 Summit
e-mail agendas to meetings, advance notice	Nov 3 Summit
more of the same, e.g. public broadcast, planning commission	Nov 3 Summit
haven't heard from 50+ advisory board	Nov 3 Summit
sign up for interest list, e.g. land use, or forum for facebook page	Nov 3 Summit
integrate social media before and after meetings	Nov 3 Summit
website improvements to allow searches	Nov 3 Summit
system for logging calls	Nov 3 Summit
prioritize projects: 4-5 key projects, lots of meetings are hard to track, participate in	Nov 3 Summit
keep costs down, use less glossy mailings, use logo	Nov 3 Summit
hierarchy of other governments, push back for local control over elected officials, policy avalanche	Nov 3 Summit
GIS Data/Crime map	Nov 3 Summit
Charter amendment for government doc.	Nov 3 Summit
liaisons for older community members who want to age in place	Nov 3 Summit
2-3% of people show up to neighborhood association meetings. Could they submit written statements? What about HOAs? E-mails?	Nov 3 Summit
More committees, more electronic	Nov 3 Summit
connect civically with adults/kids	Nov 3 Summit
need more education on what taxes do-what citizen responsibilities are	Nov 3 Summit
Hello LO newsletter is great	Nov 3 Summit
we get better educated with the short version of anything, the long version to read more_ link	Nov 3 Summit
LO Review: more facts, less opinion	Nov 3 Summit
Need personal face to face communication from time to time	Nov 3 Summit
want the ground rules from the city at the beginning rather than at the end	Nov 3 Summit
post public meetings electronically, NA meetings, CC, PC, tree permits	Nov 3 Summit
awareness as well as involvement	Nov 3 Summit
city should speak at NA meetings	Nov 3 Summit
actually listen to public input and act on it	Comment Forms
better city website	Comment Forms
housing! So many leave the city due to unaffordable housing. How can we correct this?	Comment Forms
keep open schools strong and our community stays strong	Comment Forms
promote social media at group gatherings, farmers market, library	Comment Forms
Schools are the backbones of our entire city. Without our educational promises, there is not a single young family who would move to the city. Our fabulous school district should be the #1 priority of our City. Followed by attracting businesses.	Comment Forms
unresolved WEB debt is an impediment to progress in many areas	Comment Forms
use internet for genX and boomers	Comment Forms
we need to continually recognize that what makes LO great is the diversity in our population (young families, seniors). We must strive to compromise to meet everyone's needs.	Comment Forms
I think that this type of civic engagement is fine for some one in the older age bracket as well as the home bound. At least it gives us a chance to participate without going elsewhere to a meetong. It is also very convenient time wise.	Virtual Summit

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

A more open dialog with city government.	Virtual Summit
A small news letter in everyones water bill would ensure the most people would know what was going on and what policies were being considered.	Virtual Summit
Active website with real time information webcasts of meetings	Virtual Summit
After preliminary plans are drawn up allow the citizens to vote on approval - or disapproval - of the plan (through Clackamas County Elections not some online 'vote' where only a couple thousand actually 'voice' their vote).	Virtual Summit
All media but make sure the input is from LO residents vs. those that want to tax and stress our resources that really are not part of the community...for example those that want access to the Lake would ruin LO as it would divide the community and it would be those from Tualatin Tigard Wilsonville Gresham etc---not LO residents. Keep it with us	Virtual Summit
Any decision involving more than 5% of the city's annual budget should be made the subject of a community meeting. At the end of the meeting those participating should vote on the decision and the City's officials should act in accordance with the result of the vote. Such meetings should be announced at least a week in advance and be held in a location (real or virtual) capable of conveniently accommodating at least 10% of the City's adult population.	Virtual Summit
better keeping up with latest media to reach youngest audiences - LO currently behind in a few areas difficult to embrace the unknown but generally necessary to evolve (example: postage becoming too expensive). Items like tree notices should be emailed but continue to go by mail.	Virtual Summit
City council should keep an open mind and listen to all citizens not just those supporting their view point. The coucil needs to LISTEN to ALL citizens.	Virtual Summit
combine all city information onto a single web-site and re-organize the web-site for easier use more use of single button click to get to a department. Continue to send mailers in the short term for those citizens who don't use computers and provide hard copy information in the library and city hall. HelloLO is a great communications tool	Virtual Summit
complete more community surveys on important topics. let the community provide input.	Virtual Summit
Continue with pulbic meetings and workshop; work through the Review newspaper; and send periodic updates via the mail.	Virtual Summit
Continued web and news paper information	Virtual Summit
Do not limit quick reads surveys to social media. Not everyone has or uses facebook twitter etc.	Virtual Summit
E-Mail	Virtual Summit
E-MAIL	Virtual Summit
Email city website and Facebook are adequate.	Virtual Summit
Email is a great way to keep in touch. You may consider sending out the city council agenda that way rather than relying on the newspaper for everything. I also like the phone system you have for alerts.	Virtual Summit
Email responses. There should be a link on the front page to make it easy to find where to participate.	Virtual Summit
First the City Council should take an open minded approach to actually listening to citizens at meetings where their input is requested. Several of the City Council members are condascending to the citizens of Lake Oswego. We certainly do not want to 'automate' a bad process with social media.	Virtual Summit
Full transparency of decision processes. We can have transcripts and videos on-line of all meetings memos etc. The government shouldn't be afraid to air everything they're doing.	Virtual Summit
I believe more surveys of the population on their opionions regarding policies and decisions would be very helpful. It seems to me the city is very lacks in soliciting the opinions of residents.	Virtual Summit
I don't believe that this is the responsibility of the City. People who want to be involved already are or in a community such as ours know of organizations where they can contribute.	Virtual Summit
I see more people getting involved but the current mechanisms for receiving public comment too often leave people feeling unheard and marginalized. Surely there are techniques for validating disparate opinions and for positive consensus building. I do not see this happening at our city government.	Virtual Summit
I think if you are interested now you have every opportunity to be heard. All you have to do is atne dany meeting you want. i would not change anything along these lines.	Virtual Summit
I think you need to have complete written information sent out that details the issues and decisions that need to be made followed up with public meetings. Not everyone is linked into hi-tech approaches	Virtual Summit
It appears that we are currently making use of most current and efficient means of gathering input but I believe issues lie in the underlying intent philosphy and processes used to convert information into decisions. The effect is to discount the input of many well-informed citizens who may disagree with some current decisions and directions resulting from them.	Virtual Summit
It's not so much a matter of how you reach citizens but that there is respect for all citizens.	Virtual Summit
Keep the website updated and communicate through the LO Review. Don't make it complicated.	Virtual Summit
Make sure that Lake Oswego residents actually feel that they have some input to decision making. Two cases where that has obviously not been the point the West End Building and the Sensitive Lands rules.	Virtual Summit
More honest elections with pre information that is transparent and clear about the people / issues.	Virtual Summit

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

Most things that involve spending large sums of money (streetcar redevelopment WEB) should be put to a community vote.	Virtual Summit
New technologies and social media are terrific forward-thinking ways to engage the community especially if you primarily want to hear from are the under-55 set but please accept the idea that there are many within our community who not only do not have access to a computer (in spite of their being available in the library i.e. lack of a ride etc) but also these folks are not comfortable navigating through computers and especially through social media sites. Think about who you are trying to reach and make these questions available to all. The USPS works fine for that.	Virtual Summit
NO new technologies or social media are needed. Simply provide greater time lead-time and more in-depth and factually accurate background materials in advance of any meeting. Also hardcopies of materials should be readily available for interested neighborhoods and citizens at City Hall the Library the WEB and the ACC.	Virtual Summit
None	Virtual Summit
None communication is good.	Virtual Summit
Not everyone has access to online info texting social media etc. The City of Lake Oswego needs to remember this....	Virtual Summit
not sure	Virtual Summit
Open public forums with creative brainstorming	Virtual Summit
Send out weekly e-mail to those who subscribe. Include the Oregonian in your announcements or seed articles about what is happening. Keep LO Residents apprised of any plans you have and truly listen not like the Trolley Fiasco or the intrusion into our private decisions about our property.	Virtual Summit
Start by listening to citizens when they write to you or testify. Stop ignoring input you already get.	Virtual Summit
Strategies such as this.	Virtual Summit
The city has offered may different ways of ensuring all segments of our community can participate in decision-making. The City has to ask themselves why then do they get so little turn out and few collective comments about decision making issues. The City should ask that question in an all community survey without asking for consultant assistance. The general public has a prevailing inherent attitude that the decision making has already happened and no matter how much input they give City's mind is already made up. The City doesn't seem to care why there is low turnout and few comments instead they have labeled this the vocal minority. In order to truly strategize. Unless this attitude is turned around and until people feel that their written comments and oral testimony are truly considered then civic engagement will not happen. The advisory boards are hand picked members and are of like mind. Unless Council and Mayor is truly interested in listening to & reading everyone's comments and is willing to give each and every person a reply and acknowledgement then the community will continue to show apathy. The lack of involvement and civic engagement doesn't mean the public agrees with the decisions that are being made.	Virtual Summit
The Lake Oswego Review would be a good place to post your questions for people to respond to. Many families get this.	Virtual Summit
The problem is that right now there are many citizens who feel that their input is ignored marginalized and misrepresented. The City pretends to take citizen input but always has their end point in mind and directs the process to what the desired outcome is so people are cynical about the idea that their input actually makes a difference. Those who don't agree are subjected to slander and treated like they are criminals.	Virtual Summit
There are no new technologies or media needed. The internet and for those without it a printed summary of what is asked for should surface. My experience with the city council is they don't often listen and have pre-judged the matter. In short it is a waste of time to go to council meetings until a major change occurs with some of the persons involved.	Virtual Summit
This type of virtual questionnaire is good.	Virtual Summit
Too many citizens have offered input and still feel marginalized and unheard. Surely there are strategies for truly listening and absorbing varied opinion.	Virtual Summit
Use many different mediums to make sure you capture the old as well as the young.	Virtual Summit
We need a mayor and 3 different city council members who will actually listen to citizen comment and act on that rather than their own agenda. We don't need any more million dollar surveys by outsiders. ALL meetings should be open to citizen input- including study sessions.	Virtual Summit
What do you consider 'all segments' of the community? The 'property-taxpaying citizens' in this community should have the biggest say so in the decision making in this community as they will be the ones paying for it.	Virtual Summit
What is the best way to get you involved in your neighborhood and local government?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
farmers market	Nov 3 Summit
elementary is divorced from neighborhood	Nov 3 Summit
Lake grove/river grove split	Nov 3 Summit

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

informative interactive website for neighborhood associations shared activities, email list	Nov 3 Summit
what can you do for your neighborhood association, not the reverse	Nov 3 Summit
chamber of commerce has few neighborhood associations listed	Nov 3 Summit
advisory board access: you will be heard	Nov 3 Summit
most people are content	Nov 3 Summit
policy: identify range of people to be represented	Nov 3 Summit
neighborhood associations aren't taken into consideration in the comprehensive plan	Nov 3 Summit
looking for generalists	Nov 3 Summit
not difficult to identify issues	Nov 3 Summit
neighborhood associations should have an educational focus	Nov 3 Summit
there is not enough city support for neighborhood associations	Nov 3 Summit
cultivate leaders for boards and commissions	Nov 3 Summit
make it easier to work meetings into my schedule	Nov 3 Summit
what programs draw people to neighborhood associations? Use social media	Nov 3 Summit
individual characteristics of neighborhood associations: one size does not fit all	Nov 3 Summit
look at other models of civic engagement, bring it here	Nov 3 Summit
balance structure vs. keep it meaningful	Nov 3 Summit
encourage people's self responsibility	Nov 3 Summit
neighborhood signs, website, email	Nov 3 Summit
smaller neighborhood associations?	Nov 3 Summit
neighborhood associations meet quarterly? Semi-annually	Nov 3 Summit
less frequent summits would allow more people to meet, consolidate advertising, regular dates, county wide	Nov 3 Summit
more neighborhoods with specific issues	Nov 3 Summit
annual consolidated report with hyperlinks	Nov 3 Summit
want to feel like someone is listening/cares	Nov 3 Summit
best way to get people involved is for them to see a benefit from involvement	Nov 3 Summit
usually issue-based. When issue goes away, people go away	Nov 3 Summit
we already have good involvement, continue to provide the information	Nov 3 Summit
E-mail communication. Meetings like these. Outreach from neighborhood associations	Comment Forms
make it accessible and interesting. Vital for neighborhood, LONAC is not the answer	Comment Forms
media access and ability to comment	Comment Forms
through the schools!	Comment Forms
vote and participate	Comment Forms
walk your neighborhood, talk to your neighbors, stand up and volunteer	Comment Forms
I do participate in my neighborhood meetings as I am able. I am a little old to take on a real committee assignment even though many appeal to me.	Virtual Summit
Actually listen to us when we do get involved. If you ignore the input and 'end run' the residents why would we think we can make a difference.	Virtual Summit
As long as city government continues to ignore citizen input there does not seem much point in getting involved.	Virtual Summit
Attend council meetings.	Virtual Summit
Attend meetings get information online answer surveys	Virtual Summit
Attend meetings read the LO Review talk to neighbors	Virtual Summit
Attend meetings talk to councilors and members of boards and commissions volunteer.	Virtual Summit
Attend meetings and volunteer for committees.	Virtual Summit
Ban CCR's in neighborhood associations....they are divisive and controled by a few. Community Committee input is important. More programs like Neighbors helping Neighbors. More community support for those community members is crisis so they can stay in the community. More advance notice through media and mailings/emailings of government hearings issues etc.	Virtual Summit
Become a member the your neighborhood association. Join worthy groups such as the Heritage Fondation.	Virtual Summit
By letting me know what is being considered at any given time there are so many things being decided at the city in so many different areas it is very hard for the citizens to know when something comes up that is important to them. (again maybe a simple note with the water bill)	Virtual Summit
By sending an email or puting the current issues being considered in the L O Review	Virtual Summit
Contue to publicize neighbor hood meetings and subjects 'pro and con' to be discussed.	Virtual Summit
Distribute a summary of all city council meeting (with a link to the full meeting minutes) and highlight items where citizens can get involved such as: survey due dates citizen input meeting dates and times etc. Distribution could be via email for those citizens willing to provide their email address. (However this email address would not be flooded with other city emails.)	Virtual Summit

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

Do a better job of implementing popular opinion and as elected officials honor those who you represent especially when it is not what you personally want to do. The job is to represent People not your own person. If done people will become more involved.	Virtual Summit
Don't just send out announcement actively solicit feedback through opinion polls. I don't have a lot of free time to attend meetings but I do have time to fill out short surveys.	Virtual Summit
email web-based communication	Virtual Summit
E-mail updates and a subscriber list.	Virtual Summit
emails from City are good sources of news. My involvement is dependent on things that are happening within city.	Virtual Summit
Get rid of the red tape to be productive in the neighborhood.	Virtual Summit
Go to a City meeting when there is a topic you care about. Write to the City Council. Write to the paper.	Virtual Summit
I already am to some degree as time allows. One of the best things that the Council is really pretty good at is acknowledging comments submitted by citizens and listening.	Virtual Summit
I am involved. I am tired of being involved so long. The question you should ask here is what is the best way to get others who have not been involved involved? Are they perfectly content in letting those of us who are stay involved? Don't know.	Virtual Summit
I get involved when I have a specific issue. Too many neighborhood and community meetings are too general. Work through small groups whenever possible	Virtual Summit
I get involved when I have an issue that concerns me. Many 'neighborhood' meetings etc. are general. More specific topics are helpful.	Virtual Summit
I have always been involved and very aware of what is going on in my community and have been involved in volunteering for various organizations my entire adult life. I did not need the City to do this.	Virtual Summit
I have been more involved since the sensitive lands property seizures. I suppose outrage and injustice gets me involved best.	Virtual Summit
I only get involved when there is a specific issue that directly affects me. My time is limited. I do respond to on-line surveys but don't have time to go to evening or weekend events	Virtual Summit
Join and Paticipate	Virtual Summit
Join in on a local level	Virtual Summit
Keep me informed allow input and don't make decisions until views have been expressed.	Virtual Summit
Lake Oswego seems like one of the most civically engaged cities in the state of Oregon.	Virtual Summit
Less online surveys and more face to face community summits...	Virtual Summit
Listen to and/or attend City Council meetings assuming there is ample time for citizens to engage in a discussion. Be a member of neighborhood association.	Virtual Summit
Make sure the opinions expressed by the neighbors are given due consideration and are not dismissed out of hand when they get to the City Council	Virtual Summit
More timely information from the city about it's plans and direction.	Virtual Summit
My neighborhood only has one NA meeting per year. It would be really nice if they had at least one per month & if we could go over current projects/plans - either contemplated or going on in other parts of Lake O too.	Virtual Summit
My neighborhood would be with the neighborhood association. I don't even know when they meet. I don't think they are particularly active. Perhaps if they were more active and solicited involvement. As far as local government give me a list of areas of interest and I'll tell you what I might help with.	Virtual Summit
Neighborhood association school or join a committee	Virtual Summit
Neighborhood associations public forums and advisory groups.	Virtual Summit
Neighborhood meetings and by internet.	Virtual Summit
Neighborhood meetings like they have. Issues that are of close concern to get involved on that topic to educate others	Virtual Summit
Online communication such as this.	Virtual Summit
Provide information and allow us to vote.	Virtual Summit
Stop ignoring the citizens' values and vision in favor of your own.	Virtual Summit
Surveys such as this.	Virtual Summit
The best way is to show that citizen involvement is effective. Having the each issue of the Lake Oswego Review publish City officials' decisions side-by-side with the relevant citizen vote tallies (see my comments on Policy Question #1) would be a step in that direction.	Virtual Summit
This is good	Virtual Summit
To re-establish a citizen staffed Committee for Citizen Involvement. The Planning Commission is not the appropriate body to assume this responsibility. Then outreach invitation and support by the CCI to the individual Neighborhoods.	Virtual Summit

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

Understand that a lot of people are not impressed with slick promo-type events such as the youTube media on the front part of this survey. This turns them off from involvement because it appears that some decisions have been made already (for example how to present these ideas). Again you're doing a great job of engaging the 20-somethings and understandably they will be the ones around in th next 50-70 years but for those of us who plan on at least another 30 or 40 years we want to be approached in a slightly more traditional way. Also you need to offer opportunities for decision-making (which perhaps this is) without it appearing that the ultimate decisions are already foregone conclusions.	Virtual Summit
Volunteer.	Virtual Summit
How should we measure success for civic engagement?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
attendance at evenings like tonight. Responses to surveys	Comment Forms
braod based discussions vs small focus groups shaping key policies. More open city government	Comment Forms
concentrate on sustainability and accessibility	Comment Forms
creates participation	Comment Forms
degree to which city council act in manner majority wants	Comment Forms
EXISTING GOALS AND POLICIES	
Goal 1: Citizen Involvement The City shall: a. Create opportunities for every interested citizen to be involved in all phases of the planning process to ensure that their concerns are heard; b. Encourage broadly based public participation including all geographic areas and diverse interests; and c. Ensure regular and ongoing two-way communication between citizens and City elected and appointed officials	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
continue to promote a sense of community ownership through local events on specific topics encourage involvement by people with diverse interests	Virtual Summit
A city government that listens and reacts to it's constijuent.	Virtual Summit
a. reduce staff to allow for more citizen participation and volunteer work. b. allow for a diversity of opinions and philosophies on all city departments/boards. They are presently very controlled by our mayor and staff. c.The December 13 meeting has shown that the present council (3 members) and mayor go through the motions of communication but their goals are predetermined.	Virtual Summit
Again the verbiage sounds good the real challenge is truly implementing this to reflect majority opinion and keep sound fiscal policy as a primary objective.	Virtual Summit
c I think citizens should have an area to put their comments. I think when you have all citizens showing up at meetings and all talking it takes so much time and many comments are the same	Virtual Summit
Elect city officials that have an agenda of serving the wishes of the community.	Virtual Summit
good goals	Virtual Summit
I agree	Virtual Summit
I believe that homeowners caught up in the 'sensitive lands' issue are not being adequately considered and recognized.	Virtual Summit
I believe you need to develop metrics and key indicators of success. One such metric might be the number of individuals providing input to various planning processes. A key indicator then could be the percent of the population providing input.	Virtual Summit
I do not believe the City's responsibility is to find involvement opportunities for people.I do believe the City and Council should be open and transparent to all citizens as to what they are doing. While on the surface there are plenty of oportunities for citizens to give input all of those opportunities I have witnessed and participated in are controlled by consultants with a pre-determined outcome. This is especially true of the Comprehensive Plan process.	Virtual Summit
If you are to expect well thought out suggestions you should give far more time for imput than this questionnaire. It is ridiculous to only give two or three days for a response	Virtual Summit
Improve listening skills of City officials. Allow easy access to financial daa of city and departments. Document metrics used to gauge community involvement success goals vs actual etc	Virtual Summit
Include timely in the item c.	Virtual Summit
It is not clear that this goal has been met. It appears that the only interested citizens whose involvement counts are the small percentage who can devote large fractions of their time to City government yet the actions taken by the City affect all of the citizens most of whom cannot so allocate their time. Traditional representative government in Lake Oswego seems to have failed in its basic aim of making the government's actions serve the interests of the citizenry. Most of the community meetings I have attended gave a very strong impression that they were for show only and that City officials would proceed with decisions made before the meetings regardless of the opinions expressed by the citizens attending. If each community meeting ended with a vote on the decision which was the meeting's subject success could be measured by dividing the number of community-approved actions (those taken in accordance with the votes) by the number of meetings.	Virtual Summit

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

Listen to the people don't pre determine the answers and actions.	Virtual Summit
No more need be done. Information is available if people want to participate.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
Open houses only insure that the most vocal citizens are heard. Many residents feel strongly about things but don't want to come to summits or community culture events.	Virtual Summit
Opportunities are there but the information is not appropriately processed. Work on the missing step: how to truly listen and incorporate a variety of opinions.	Virtual Summit
Right now most people feel that citizen involvement is considered nothing but a necessary evil on the part of the council and staff. The only real place for citizen involvement is the ballot. For the long-term health of our community this must change.	Virtual Summit
seems to be happening always be open to new ideas but always keep costs in mind - not every great idea is inexpensive	Virtual Summit
The City does provide opportunities and communication but it is not truly two-way because differing views are disparaged.	Virtual Summit
The goals are fine. The problem is that the elected city officials do not seem to pay much attention to the so called input. I have only been to a few city meetings. The one about Sensitive Lands showed the city representative to be extremely defensive about decision that just could not be justified if everyone had some input to the final rules.	Virtual Summit
The newsletters are very good and I like the computer generated info I get from schools about upcoming things.	Virtual Summit
There was a lot of confusion over this survey and the comprehensive plan fact gathering in general. Makes it difficult for people to WANT to be involved when it's like that.	Virtual Summit
These are admirable goals if the council would adhere to them.	Virtual Summit
This is good but you all need to be careful about not just listening or acting upon comments submitted by the vocal minority. City government is supposed to represent all its citizens not just the few that are vocal.	Virtual Summit
This should always be the case assuming our elected officials follow the majority will of the people. I do not feel that this has been done in some instances ie: Safeco/WEB Building.	Virtual Summit
Truly listen as mentioned previously.....	Virtual Summit
When possible have the recommending / planning body or committee be comprised almost entirely of those property owners directly affected by the plans / regulatory requirements. Require Neighborhood Associations to meet a minimum of once per month.	Virtual Summit
When will the city actually make an honest attempt at these goals? A measure of success would be when the majority of citizens feel included and valued and not ignored and marginalized. Current situation is very far from that no matter how many feel good sessions you have.	Virtual Summit
1. Provide opportunities for citizen participation in preparing and revising local land use plans and ordinances	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
what is the format for that? There's some very specific simple questions that need to be answered by the public at large to get a sense of where the community wants to go and what policies are important. Other much simpler survey tools could be employed to get those answers in a simple fashion.	Virtual Summit
Again I would recommend public opinion surveys.	Virtual Summit
Again if the topic were specific I might have a response. For instance speeds in neighborhoods where stop signs and cross walks should be keeping sidewalks clear for walkers etc.	Virtual Summit
Again 'Sensitive Lands' and it's unfair application to property owners and their usage restrictions needs more consideration. Lets have Lake Oswego and its controlling commisioners make Lake Oswego more liveable to its residents not adversely controlling. I really believe that 'sensitive lands' restrictions are not supported by most Lake Oswego residents.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
Any land use plan or ordinance which restricts a citizen's use of his property should be the subject of a community meeting with a vote taken afterward to determine the community's will in the matter. The measure of success is the percentage of such plans and ordinances which adhere to the citizens' desires thus expressed.	Virtual Summit
Be sure to contact property owners if the city is making changes to policies that affect private property. Don't just make the change without notice.	Virtual Summit
continue use of e-mail notification newspaper and mailed notification	Virtual Summit
Do we really need to address this? Isn't this the way its supposed to work? But with all of the pre-made decisions and sham public input processes we have here we do need to work on this.	Virtual Summit
How?	Virtual Summit
I am outraged by the power staff has to determine policy especially the planning department. They have lost sight of whom they work for and are accountable to. There is no actual citizen participation.	Virtual Summit

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

I don't know of the literal language on the books. There is a problem with perception regarding many recent decisions. It appears there is frank distrust which is unfortunate. Until the house is cleaned the new plan will be doomed to fail. Speak clearly to citizens and move openly and slowly when it comes to things like the city continuing to buy commercial properties for over value (Lacey's being the latest example).	Virtual Summit
I think this is very important - especially related to smaller innovative housing so families and seniors can stay in the community (eg cottages apartment attached to house etc.) and transportation. We need to a) be able to get around Lake Oswego without a car and b) be connected to downtown Portland (eg trolley etc).	Virtual Summit
Keep us informed and listen	Virtual Summit
Listen and truly REPRESENT the LO Residents when we weigh in on issues. Do not presume you know better. ie Trolley.....	Virtual Summit
No comment	Virtual Summit
ok	Virtual Summit
Provide opportunities but don't invent things that don't need to be brought up.	Virtual Summit
Right now the city rightly or wrongly has a reputation of doing what staff and elected officials want... without listening to the public. Demonstrate a willingness to listen and act accordingly and people will be more likely to get involved.	Virtual Summit
Seems the revision of such plans and ordinances creates a cascading series of issues and problems - at least that is what is to be gleaned by listening to City Council meetings. Yes the codes should be simplified streamlined and made flexible so that citizen involvement can be meaningful and impactful.	Virtual Summit
Should be the highest priority	Virtual Summit
Sites like this meetings.	Virtual Summit
Stop always using the same people. It's the same people just rotating around and those who want to participate but may not agree are kept from participating in any meaningful way.	Virtual Summit
Stop using the usual suspects.	Virtual Summit
Success is measured by the level of frustration or lack thereof demonstrated by the citizens of LO	Virtual Summit
The major preliminary plans/revisions should go to a vote of the people. Often the various 'committees' and 'boards' do not accurately reflect the views of the affected citizens.	Virtual Summit
this is getting dicier with number of regulations and unintended consequences.	Virtual Summit
This is important especially when city departments change regulations masqueraded as just house keeping or word-smithing. Also large or controversial projects should be put to a vote of the citizens such as the street car.	Virtual Summit
Trim the involvement of the city government in our daily lives. Spend sensibly. Spend less.	Virtual Summit
Very high level question. Local land use plans seem self serving to the needs and priorities of the City Council rather than what makes sense for the citizens of Lake Oswego. Back yards are deemed sensitive lands at the same time the entire FootHills area is a floodplain with rich wildlife that somehow is not a sensitive land? This type of approach does not make any sense. Or is the objective to get 'mitigation' by creating sensitive lands in Lake Oswego where they do not need to be so development can occur where it does not need to be?	Virtual Summit
We had the opportunity to give input in the sensitive lands issue - the vast majority of participants were and continue to be opposed to personal property rights restrictions. However the Council majority voted against the will of the people.	Virtual Summit
Yes with special notification/invitation to those neighborhoods impacted by any proposed land use or other policy issue or ordinance.	Virtual Summit
2. Provide citizen involvement opportunities that are appropriate to the scale of a given planning effort. Large area plans affecting a large portion of community residents and groups require citizen involvement opportunities of a broader scope than that required for more limited land use decisions.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
I think we have to ask questions of the public regarding Lake Oswego's involvement in the Portland Metro area Lake Oswego's personal identity and the identities of neighborhoods within our community.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Any planning effort is a community planning effort-and all citizens/neighborhoods should be provided involvement opportunity. Questionable decisions/recommendations-sometimes contrary to good public policy-seem to occur when 'stakeholders' hold the greatest number of (voting) seats 'at the table'.	Virtual Summit
Are those limited land use decisions 'sensitive lands'? Any planning that restricts some to give greater value to others is wrong. Success is to be measured in a cohesive thriving community where each citizen is treated with fairness and respect. Lake Oswego is failing.	Virtual Summit
Don't agree. Example: Issues to seniors or families with school age children can be extremely significant to the future of Lake Oswego but involve small portions of the community.	Virtual Summit

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

Group input is often disparaged because citizens appear to be 'speaking the party line.' But groups are formed by like minded individuals who will by nature often speak in one voice. That needs to be recognized and honored.	Virtual Summit
I agree with this	Virtual Summit
Listen to the people about Land Use and allow us to control the land we so exorbitantly pay for initially and yearly in taxes. Do not presume you should override our wishes because you know better. You don't.	Virtual Summit
Make 'opportunities' truly meaningful.	Virtual Summit
No comment	Virtual Summit
nothing to say here	Virtual Summit
ok	Virtual Summit
Protec private property don't take away our rights	Virtual Summit
Remember to respect citizen's private property rights.	Virtual Summit
Sound like Mom and apple pie What does it really mean? These are broad verbose statements without substance behind the words. What does large mean? What does limited land use mean? What does large portion of community residents mean? What influence can the citizen expect if he/she is to spend their time? Are the citizen involvement groups actual decision making groups representing the city on certain matters?	Virtual Summit
Success would be measured by a reduction of planning department staff and a significant reduction of regulations impacting provate property.	Virtual Summit
The second sentence above smacks of a justification for depriving small groups of citizens of their rights without a fair hearing leading by extension to a 'divide and conquer' strategy for depriving large groups of citizens of their rights to use their land. A minimum level of citizen participation should be specified without which no land use decision may be taken.	Virtual Summit
These problems are spawned at the top. We need public officials that are interested in less government rather than more power.	Virtual Summit
This also should apply to how it affects the use of public funds.	Virtual Summit
This could lead to a bad outcome if 'large area plans' are divided into more discrete units requiring 'limited land use decisions' and citizens are unable to see overall strategy. Public acquisition of private property often happens this way and the intent becomes clear after plans are well on the way to implementation.	Virtual Summit
Who decides what the appropriate scale is? This policy sounds good but it much too easy to ramrod through decisions based on defining something as small scale.	Virtual Summit
Yes I think the city should be careful in 'trying to open the lake'. It is a private lake and opening up to everyone is a dangerous area for the lake and the city. The river in Lake Oswego is open for all activities currently and people can use that body of water. The city has classes and clubs on rowing etc. If a citizen is interested they should go to Parks and Rec that is what this dept is for.....	Virtual Summit
3. Provide for and encourage formation of neighborhood organizations. These organizations when recognized under the criteria outlined in the Citizen Involvement Guidelines may: a. Recommend neighborhood boundaries. b. Make policy and implementation recommendations on issues affecting its neighborhood. c. Engage in planning activities for its neighborhood and participate in community-wide planning issues.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
We have neighborhood associations. Are you referring to groups like the new watershed council that neither represents Lake Oswego nor has a balanced approach? Perhaps you are referring to that second look task force? It seems that these 'organizations' have been steered and engineered toward a specific end. We certainly don't need more 'organizations' if this is how they are formed and used.	Virtual Summit
We already have neighborhood organizations	Virtual Summit
Thomas Jefferson said that Governement will eventually buckle under it's own weight. Do not presume more is better! LESS IS MORE. Jefferson also said 'He who governs best governs least.' Please implement this Jeffersonian They should not be recognized as an official neighborhood association or organization unless they meet a minimum of one time per month.	Virtual Summit
The neighborhood associations are a great tool for letting neighbors feel like they can shape the future of their immediate vicinity.	Virtual Summit
So called neighbors who are not elected officials should have no right to establish policy over neighbors.	Virtual Summit
Overriding a neighborhood organization's recommendation should require a unanimous decision by the City Council.	Virtual Summit
Not active in this as they don't seem to do a lot.	Virtual Summit

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

Must comply with city ordinances.	Virtual Summit
Measure success by lack of public opposition !!!!	Virtual Summit
Listen	Virtual Summit
I think we need to plan first as a city with less emphasis on neighborhood boundaries. It is a somewhat elitist concept in Lake Oswego based on the way neighborhoods are set up.	Virtual Summit
I don't want a hand picked group of my 'neighbors' making policy decisions that impact my private property.	Virtual Summit
I do not know what Citizen Involvement Guidelines mean so can not really comment	Virtual Summit
I am really not aware that neighborhood associations really do all that much good. They almost seem to add layers of work with little value. They simply cost time and money both which are in short supply these days. Some associations get things while others do not. The city should make sure all areas of the community get 'things' regardless of the voice and leadership or lack of leadership. It creates an unfair situation.	Virtual Summit
doing well need more help with communications. greatest hurdle is communicating - generating interest only happens when there is an issue	Virtual Summit
All of this is expensive and time consuming BS. Get a city council and Mayor that we can TRUST to do their jobs with the integrity of addressing the needs of the community then get out of the way and let them do it.	Virtual Summit
All neighborhoods-no matter what their status-should be allowed an opportunity to be represented and participate in both planning activities and community-wide planning issues. It is contrary to good public policy that areas such as Mountain Park with its thousands of residents is not included in this policy.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
A. if you have boundries then I think it will help focus the citizens that are concerned in that area.	Virtual Summit
a. create and change boundaries only when there is no divisive issue facing that group. b. ensure that policy recommendations are consistent with city-wide policies. c. agree	Virtual Summit
That's exactly what I'm talking about	Virtual Summit
4. Encourage citizens to participate through their neighborhood without excluding participation as individuals or through other groups.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
there should be an opportunity for neighborhoods to be able to get funding from the community for neighborhood events that support the community	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
All of these efforts are pablum designed to make the community think we are being influential. Meanwhile the city officials continue to push agendas the community has rejected. More BS.	Virtual Summit
Disagreewe should participate as individuals and residents of Lake Oswego first. Less emphasis on neighborhoods.	Virtual Summit
I don't agree participation as neighborhood should lead.	Virtual Summit
I have read this statement three times and do not have the faintest idea what it means.	Virtual Summit
I think neighborhoods so you do get 'outsiders' paying to influence the group. I also think it should be Lake Oswego Residence and not outside organizations coming into Lake OSwego	Virtual Summit
I think this is great.	Virtual Summit
Mom and apple pie!	Virtual Summit
Neighborhood associations are too varied in their involvement. Some have great advocates while others don't. NAs are generally ineffective because they are too inconsistent and biased.	Virtual Summit
No comment.	Virtual Summit
seem to be doing well but there will always be someone / think disenfranchised when they miss the communication	Virtual Summit
The city does not listen to the majority of the people	Virtual Summit
This comment is very unclear. Does it mean a neighborhood decides for all its residents?	Virtual Summit
too time consuming and not effective	Virtual Summit
Very convoluted question.	Virtual Summit
We need to have more active neighborhood associations. Mine only meets twice a year (Hallinan Heights).	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
5. Seek citizen input through service organizations interest groups and individuals as well as through neighborhood associations	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Again listen to these comments do not ignore it. Also you should have a site where any citizen can make a comment similar to an 'On-line Suggestion Box' which should go to the City Council and be read publicly at the meetings. Limit the length to something reasonable.	Virtual Summit

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

Again surveys are great however this survey wasn't even communicated to me by the city. I had to find out through a friend. You need to make people aware of opportunities to provide input.	Virtual Summit
Agree except for neighborhood associations which most people aren't involved in (usually just represents the views of the Boards and a few others who have time to be active.)	Virtual Summit
agree. going to where the people are ie. interest groups etc. is a good strategy to increasing participation	Virtual Summit
Are we trying to take away the influence of neighborhood associations and give it to special interest groups like the watershed council?	Virtual Summit
As long as you consider all interests groups and individuals--even those you don't agree with.	Virtual Summit
good goal - seem to be doing this	Virtual Summit
Great.	Virtual Summit
I agree Organizations and interest groups can be a good place to get input as well as individuals. Again for the most part I think neighborhood associations are redundant to the voice of the individual and actually are not intended to be the voice for everyone in a neighborhood.	Virtual Summit
I think this should be limited to organizations individuals and interest groups that own property or reside on property in the Lake Oswego service area. Frankly I am tired of the Portland groups constantly coming to LO & telling us how we should be more like Portland.	Virtual Summit
If they live in Lake Oswego it would be covered by a neighborhood association. If it is another group ie Seniors Chamber Lake Corp then they have long term established relationships with the City and I think those are important	Virtual Summit
Mom and apple pie!	Virtual Summit
More BS	Virtual Summit
no comment	Virtual Summit
Not sure what service organization you are referring to.	Virtual Summit
Not too much government.	Virtual Summit
Small interest groups seem to have more input than the majority	Virtual Summit
Sounds good but the implementation so far has been too political to be fair and effective.	Virtual Summit
This is a good one.	Virtual Summit
This seems to be a repeat of the last few segments!	Virtual Summit
Too general I would delete this .	Virtual Summit
Yes all Lake Oswego citizens and groups should be provided an adequate opportunity for input.	Virtual Summit
6. Establish and maintain a Commission for Citizen Involvement (CCI) to assist City Council in developing and maintaining a program to promote and enhance Citizen Involvement in land use planning and periodically evaluate that program. The CCI shall be broadly representative of geographic areas and interests and members shall be selected by an open well-publicized process.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
you could create neighborhood advisory boards to have representation at the city level	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
CCI and Planning Commission are one in the same. This should change so that we have a truly independent CCI that actually represents citizens.	Virtual Summit
Citizens representatives to the CCI should be selected by each neighborhood association and NOT by a small group of people appointed by the council or other group. The city should try to eliminate the ability of those selecting the representatives to be able to 'stack' the CCI with citizens who lean in one particular direction.	Virtual Summit
don't know what this is - who is on it? easier if it were neighborhood reps?	Virtual Summit
Ensure that proponents for and against 'sensitive lands' are adequately and equally represented..	Virtual Summit
Every group must be represented no one should be left out	Virtual Summit
Good idea	Virtual Summit
Great idea.	Virtual Summit
How long has this CCI been in existence? When and where does it meet? How does it publicize its efforts? How many City Council members take it seriously? Does it exist now? Will it ever?	Virtual Summit
I don't know what this is and I have lived here since 1993.	Virtual Summit
I don't understand why this is needed. Sounds like just another layer of bureaucracy.	Virtual Summit
I think this helps make sure all areas of the city and various opinions are adequately represented which is great.	Virtual Summit
It sounds like another layer of work being added with little return on investment. There are plenty of avenues that can be used well or possibly better. Any attempt to create a broad group inevitably will become the voice of a few with agendas. It is not helpful.	Virtual Summit
It will never happen with this staff this mayor and 3 of the council members.	Virtual Summit
No one with a particular 'agenda' should be permitted on these commissions. They should be neutral.	Virtual Summit

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

Right now the members of the CCI are also the members of the Planning Commission. I think these two groups should be different and not the same people. CCI should be providing oversight and this current arrangement doesn't provide a different perspective or any checks/balances.	Virtual Summit
Sounds good and democratic assuming it can be objectively put into action!	Virtual Summit
The CCI seems like a good idea on the surface; why it is limited to land use planning when there are so many substantive issues on the table?	Virtual Summit
The council itself should be engaged in citizen involvement. Another commission is a smoke screen. It only provides a means for council members to delegate direct involvement.	Virtual Summit
The members of this commission will come in with special projects in mind making these projects seem more broadbased than they probably are. This should be considered	Virtual Summit
This should fine if they are Lake Oswego residence and tax payers.	Virtual Summit
This sounds great in theory but it would still likely be comprised of political appointees which would tell certain council members what they want to hear as opposed to actually voicing the views of a 'diverse group of citizens'. Thumbs down on this idea. The last thing I want is another group of public meetings to attend to find out what they're up to; voicing my own differing ideas; which will not be voiced to council anyway. Sounds like a 'feel-good' commission.	Virtual Summit
Unfortunately it is difficult to discern the 'silent majority's' thinking and with the proposed CCI you will hear from the extremes. Most residents will be skeptical that the CCI will be stacked with 'yes people' who reflect your wishes not theirs. Your track record of reflecting the voters is not stellar with Trolley and Land Use issues.	Virtual Summit
Until we can trust the elected city officials it is still just more tranquilizing BS.	Virtual Summit
YES this Commission needs to be RE-established.	Virtual Summit
You already have numerous Boards and Commissions. what I have experienced is that unless these Boards are in lock step with the current majority of council their input is not sought nor accepted. You do not need another board or group.]And from what I have experienced and seen through the past 10+ years these commission assignments are done by whether a person agrees with the current council/Mayor majority. They are absolutely not a representation of the entire population of this City. There is no balance on any Board nor would there be on any newly created one. Just a waste of time for the members hwo think they might be useful.	Virtual Summit
7. Clearly state the mechanism through which citizens will receive a response from City policy-makers at the outset of the citizen involvement program.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
the city's needs to start using not just the periodicals and print media but also use e-mail from the city itself. Probably 90% of the citizens are on e-mail and easily reached	Virtual Summit
?	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
And the means for appealing that response/decision if citizens feel they have not been fairly treated.	Virtual Summit
City policy makers should always be responsive to citizens - should be more than one mechanism in place.	Virtual Summit
E-mail list or they could request a hard copy by signing up at library city hall or grocery stores.	Virtual Summit
It sounds like #6 is already a done deal. You don't need a new committee use what is in place already. Email city newsletter etc.	Virtual Summit
Listen beforeyou react	Virtual Summit
Maintain a website containing the list of citizen inputs side-by-side with the policy-makers' responses. Success could then be measured visually by anyone interested.	Virtual Summit
Meetings on line information. It sounds like this would be like other committees but would help assist the City Council Members with lots of information.	Virtual Summit
Often it appears that the Mayor and City Council have already made up their mind on policies. All too often they appear to just be going through the motions of a public process. The public process should help form their decision. It is counter productive and discourages citizen involvement when decisions are made and minds are made up before the public has an opportunity to help guide the process/decision.	Virtual Summit
Some city officials are more adept at this than others. The better the communication the less frustration is felt.	Virtual Summit
the monthly city newsletter the LO Review e-mail subscription other media venues.	Virtual Summit
To me this is fundamental blocking and tackling and that should be covered under an oveall 'Governance' process for the Citizen groups.	Virtual Summit
Who are the policy makers? Staff? The mechanism of 4 color propaganda brochures is unacceptable. It would be refreshing to have OPEN discussion rather than predetermined policy.	Virtual Summit
Yes however provide mechanism and time frame for staff response also.	Virtual Summit

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

8. Actively implement these Comprehensive Plan goals and policies and the Citizen Involvement Guidelines through the provision of adequate human financial and informational resources.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
community funds and monies should flow to the areas that the city wants to promote	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
As the city can afford it without placing excessive burden on the property owners.	Virtual Summit
Don't spend anymore money. Decrease what you spend now. It's too much as it is. No new taxes.	Virtual Summit
I believe that 'sensitive lands' should be more adequately considered.	Virtual Summit
I hope the comprehensive Plan goals are never implemented since they seek to make our City more like Portland and European cities.	Virtual Summit
I see financial in this policy I think this should be considered more in considering what the goals are and how many people will benefit from the money spent.	Virtual Summit
If it's a major new plan or revision - where's the vote of the people? What is the mechanism & timing for re-evaluating plans policies and guidelines? How do citizens trigger the re-evaluation process?	Virtual Summit
Just going through the motions. There's no real listening or caring about the average citizen.	Virtual Summit
Not impressed with these Civic Engagement goals.....	Virtual Summit
Not sure what this means; all recommendation have to be evaluated on their merits and priorities and available city financial means.	Virtual Summit
Of course!	Virtual Summit
seems to be doing this	Virtual Summit
The city has already determined the direction the city is going to take	Virtual Summit
This is a full time job and maybe since the city has 4 'planning' employees they could do this. It seems like a lot of people (4) and I think they would have room to take on more responsibilities	Virtual Summit
We already have too many city employees. We find monies we never knew we had AFTER we add a fee to our citizens' electric bills. The information the city provides is rarely accurate and always one-sided.	Virtual Summit
Who has final decision making responsibility?	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
9. Ensure that technical information necessary to make policy decisions is readily available in a simplified understandable form.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
again use technology	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Does this include the science behind each sensitive land designation? Who will provide this technical information and for what end?	Virtual Summit
Don't waste money on surveys and studies when you already know the majority of the citizens are not interested in things like the street car. You are twisting studies around to sell your ideas that most people don't want. Stop it!!!	Virtual Summit
Good	Virtual Summit
Good idea. And it needs to be distributed not just posted on the cities website.	Virtual Summit
I agree it's not simple to understand a lot of the written word from the city. I think it should be even more simple and understandable always including COSTS known and projected.	Virtual Summit
I agree with this policy.	Virtual Summit
no comment.	Virtual Summit
Of course!	Virtual Summit
OK who decides simplified and understandable?	Virtual Summit
One page three paragraph max updates monthly on e-mail or hard copy if requested. (few will request hard copy-- minimal cost.	Virtual Summit
This is important that it is simple to understand and no 'hidden' agenda items are slipped in	Virtual Summit
Too many big reports and too many consultants.	Virtual Summit
web site is not easy to navigate - communication is important and all the great rules and commissions and citizen involvement aren't as valuable if it's not easy to access. City needs improvement - it costs but as the general population becomes better on technical issues new hires are likely to be able to work on this area	Virtual Summit
Yes also that such information is accurate and appropriate to the question at hand. Additionally the technical information behind the 'simplified understandable' form should be available. Also these materials should be distributed no less than ten days before any meeting with hard copies available at City Hall the Library the WEB the ACC and local schools.	Virtual Summit
10. Provide opportunities for citizens to be involved in the planning process including data collection plan preparation adoption implementation evaluation and revision.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Again measure success by lack of public opposition. We are here to satisfy public content.	Virtual Summit

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

Again the citizens are chosen for these tasks because of their ideology. Some even stand to gain financially. Until this changes citizen involvement is a farce.	Virtual Summit
Again where's the vote?	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Always the same people just recycled through...	Virtual Summit
As mentioned before right now its always the same people. It's like a nasty high school clique that wants to tell us all how it should be.	Virtual Summit
As stated prior. citizen input is completely orchestrated by the consultants and planning staff. There is no real opportunity for true citizen involvement - at least 50% of our population would like to see this Comp Plan process significantly reduced to just the bare minimum requirements.	Virtual Summit
Good	Virtual Summit
Should be part of any action plan!	Virtual Summit
I believe the city could do a better job of working with the community. Many of the people involved in planning have the appearance of being 'insiders'.	Virtual Summit
I see above an invitation for Nov 3rd Community Culture Summit. Seriously? You sent this today 11/8/11! Do you really want anyone to come and if so why would we think you will listen? Why are we doing this survey? Will you listen?	Virtual Summit
Most definitely.	Virtual Summit
The 'taxpayers' in this City need to be 'listened to' in regards to increasingly burdensome taxes on our homes. The increasing property taxes in this City are going to cause our homes to be impossible to sell as buyers are not going to want to pay what we are paying in property taxes. Our property taxes were \$35 064 for 2010-2011 and increased over \$600 to \$35 667 for 2011-2012 - that is \$3 000 per month! You are going to run us out of this community! The only way to stop increasing our property taxes is through 'frugal use' of existing tax monies. Those making policies for our community need to be realistic - this community cannot afford to pay for How about decreasing our property taxes for a change?	Virtual Summit
Within reason or nothing will get accomplished.	Virtual Summit
Yes meaningful opportunities.	Virtual Summit
Yes this is very important so it give several sides to a topic and full information	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
You don't have to go to extremes.	Virtual Summit
11. Appoint special purpose advisory bodies to aid in the development and implementation of detailed programs to carry out Comprehensive Plan elements.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
this part is redundant	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
All groups need to be involved	Virtual Summit
Could lead to too many controls and checks and balances. Nothing will get done.	Virtual Summit
Good idea!	Virtual Summit
How do you plan to appoint these people? Are they being brought in when we don't need additional information?	Virtual Summit
I repeat my answer to #6 above here.	Virtual Summit
I think you will need to do this but keeping it open and not one sided to gather the best information	Virtual Summit
If it helps bring in more individuals and community members not just to have more committees.	Virtual Summit
Keep this goal and policies - they are appropriate	Virtual Summit
Like the watershed council...and the second look taskforce? Who is the appointer?	Virtual Summit
Make sure these bodies include people on both sides of the issue.	Virtual Summit
Not needed	Virtual Summit
Not sure what you have in mind here. If things are clear why do we need more advisors?	Virtual Summit
So far this is just cronies carrying out a pre-established agenda.	Virtual Summit
This sounds like a pandoras box. There is no need for a special advisory body to aid in implementation of a detailed program. The policies stated for a program need to be very clear so that the city staff can implement the program. A special advisory body opens everything up for interpretation. Once a policy is set staff should have clear direction on how to implement.	Virtual Summit
What does special purpose advisory boards mean? Who pays for these?	Virtual Summit
Yes where and when appropriate. However any and all advisory boards shall provide adequate time for citizen comment at each meeting. And copies of materials for the general citizenry will be available at each meetings and/or on request.	Virtual Summit
Policy Wall Responses	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
add emergency preparedness to community culture. We live in the cascadia subduction zone!	Policy Wall
city hall, police, fire station to the west end property as its own eco district. To be centrally located to the citizens including lake grove	Policy Wall

EDUCATION

POLICY QUESTIONS	
During the first year of public outreach the idea of Lake Oswego as a learning community was raised. What role should the City play in supporting and enhancing community education and learning opportunities?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
continuous learning	Nov 3 Summit
LO+marylhurs+PCC	Nov 3 Summit
leverage community school emails to publicize k-12 and adult learning courses. For example, class at LHS that could include more people.	Nov 3 Summit
parks and recreation should be more closely connected with community schools	Nov 3 Summit
consider transportation for special needs	Nov 3 Summit
club sports, parks and recreation, and schools meet (x) times a year to c=plan facilities, coordinate more closely	Nov 3 Summit
foreign/world language private programs	Nov 3 Summit
work for 8 year old to 80 year old	Nov 3 Summit
offer language classes for all ages, integrate young and old	Nov 3 Summit
housing for young families: research families with kids 2-5. Where are they?	Nov 3 Summit
incentives to get young families	Nov 3 Summit
incentives to get empty nesters to move/downsize	Nov 3 Summit
multi-level homes	Nov 3 Summit
segment starter home	Nov 3 Summit
need LO realtor to see range of LO homes (Portland realtors don't know).	Nov 3 Summit
city needs baseline and performance measures to judge success	Nov 3 Summit
public schools are the cornerstone of the community	Nov 3 Summit
life long learning is important	Nov 3 Summit
we would not pay what we pay if schools were not top notch	Nov 3 Summit
citizen mentoring programs at schools	Nov 3 Summit
open community to wide economic spectrum to enhance entire population	Nov 3 Summit
strength of tomorrows economy is the strength of the school system	Nov 3 Summit
pay attention to senior issues	Nov 3 Summit
work with principals to keep schools open	Nov 3 Summit
city help with technology	Nov 3 Summit
scholarships for folks who can't afford it	Nov 3 Summit
city create scholarship fund	Nov 3 Summit
city work with schools on cost or permits at schools	Nov 3 Summit
core classes are important but basic weaving is not	Nov 3 Summit
study abroad programs with city's help	Nov 3 Summit
strong support with money in tough times	Nov 3 Summit
larger connection between marylhurst, pcc, LOSD	Nov 3 Summit
education is a core value	Nov 3 Summit
sidewalks provided by city to improve school connections	Nov 3 Summit
adult community center	Nov 3 Summit
community helps with tutoring	Nov 3 Summit
do research on heritage of area, consolidate materials in one place	Nov 3 Summit
Lakewood Center is a re-purposed elementary school-city and community work together	Nov 3 Summit
Partnerships with city on training	Nov 3 Summit
18-hour school facility?	Nov 3 Summit
Education, arts, rec programs	Nov 3 Summit
adult education	Nov 3 Summit
survey community-what do you want to learn	Nov 3 Summit
connection with community school	Nov 3 Summit
what opportunities for adults or what we might become	Nov 3 Summit
lifelong learners-lectures	Nov 3 Summit
School district to work with City simliar to successful concert series	Nov 3 Summit
facilitate educational programs to meet diverse needs (disabilities, special needs)	Nov 3 Summit
city and schools should work together to coordinate and provide services	Nov 3 Summit
city should take a bigger role in state policy on education	Nov 3 Summit
city should faciitate ways to bring educational oppourtunities to our citizens	Nov 3 Summit
city work to ensure safe crossings to our schools (crosswalks, speed limits...)	Nov 3 Summit
put policies in place for the city to financially support the schools	Nov 3 Summit
maintaining affordability of the city. (water, etc)	Nov 3 Summit
City and school should work closely on using facilities - working positively both on school grounds and city building	Nov 3 Summit
usage	Nov 3 Summit
athletic field maintenance	Nov 3 Summit

EDUCATION

access to continuing education, life long learning	Nov 3 Summit
market education	Nov 3 Summit
community school-self supporting	Nov 3 Summit
ACC - continue to support parks and rec	Nov 3 Summit
more safe places for teens	Nov 3 Summit
questions use of WEB - Teen Center	Nov 3 Summit
Keeps schools well supported, this will draw people to the city	Nov 3 Summit
school district bigger than city-we need to address this	Nov 3 Summit
Need a broader range fo adult education	Nov 3 Summit
need strategies for attracting families	Nov 3 Summit
being smarter about using existing rec space-fields	Nov 3 Summit
cohesive program between schools, parks & rec, and city	Comment Forms
I don't believe the city should spend much of it's limited budget on continuing education. These dollars should be used for k-12 for the most part.	Comment Forms
Provide indirect support and coordination but education is responsibility of the State of Oregon	Comment Forms
schools are the #1 reason people move to LO. Strong support is or should be the #1 spending priority right after life safety	Comment Forms
Schools are the cornerstone of our community. Without our exceptional schools, there is far less reason to live here. The City should prioritize policies the support our schools	Comment Forms
support city funds to keep educational system in LO and utilize community. Foster a culture of learning for all ages	Comment Forms
support fields and pool. Other than that, do not blur the responsibility of city and school district	Comment Forms
support public library, support programs at the library, author series, computer training	Comment Forms
the city should be very supportive of LOSD because the schools bring famnilies to this community. The LO community schools and LO Parks & Rec should work more lcosely together for seamless educational opportunities for all ages	Comment Forms
The city should continue to support existing partnerships between LOSD and the city, and continue to look for ways to partner with nearby higher education institutions	Comment Forms
I think the city's emphasis on educational activities associated with the arts and those things that the schools are cutting from their programs are the things most needed	Virtual Summit
I yhink that the main function of the school district is to educate our children. I also think that maybe some adult classes could be tried. We definitely must continue with our school foundation efforts.	Virtual Summit
A strong education system is the backbone of the community. The City should invest in it as it keeps all other areas strong and viable.	Virtual Summit
Any role it can especially through creative monetary or value contributions.	Virtual Summit
City include education as a community value	Virtual Summit
City should aid the school district in any way appropriate.	Virtual Summit
Do as much as you can. Remember this is a city proud of its identity as educated and informed. Be sure to coordinate with LOSD.	Virtual Summit
Don't understand the question. I thought that this is responsibility of school board not the city.	Virtual Summit
Education comes before any other priority for the city.	Virtual Summit
Everything needs to be put on the table. This has to do with how we propose development to encourage families to move to LO and additionally how we fund our schools. Education is key to the very fabric that makes up or community. The city can help fund manage and share properties and use a broader scope to maximize all existing facilities.	Virtual Summit
everything possible.	Virtual Summit
existing programs are fulfilling the needs. More could be added.	Virtual Summit
Focus on helping K-12 education! Do not spend City resources on higher education institutions except as a business development activity.	Virtual Summit
Give educational efforts flexibility to operate perhaps encourage discussion --- but not something that the City needs to provide funding for etc.	Virtual Summit
High priority. Support LOSD both financially and work together to enhance all educational opportunities within our city.	Virtual Summit
I don't know about this question but I do know that people with children move here for the schools. The City should do all it can within the law to support our schools AND the city should be in a leadership role to advocate for better education funding at the State level. City services should not be so expensive as to prevent young families from moving here or be so costly that people don't have the money to give to the Foundation. There also may be some synergies between Community School and the Parks/Rec programs to pursue.	Virtual Summit
I feel the City adequately supports this goal already through the Parks and Rec program and other programs.	Virtual Summit
I have no children or grandchildren in LO schools so have no basis for ah opinion.	Virtual Summit

EDUCATION

I think that is why you have community school and a school board. I would focus City people on more City issues and school board on school issues	Virtual Summit
I think they do a pretty good job now. We do need to maintain some sort of community center such as the WEB with classes.	Virtual Summit
Information	Virtual Summit
It appears that this question implies the city should be engaged in new roles beyond those necessary to educate our children. The city should limit itself to being a reasonable host for educational institutions and organizations with their own funding to provide services to LO citizens.	Virtual Summit
Keep an active Parks and Recreation Department; active adult community center library and partner with the schools in ways to create synergy with regard to facilities and field costs/sharing	Virtual Summit
No comment	Virtual Summit
None - it is not the City's responsibility. That is why there is a school district with its own elected board appointed administrator and taxing authority. it is not the City's fault if the state funding system is broken	Virtual Summit
NONE! More than 50% of our state and Federal taxes go to support an ever increasing Education budget..... a hugely ineffective and inept system already. Why would we think that more money would remedy the ineptitude. Don't compare LO to Grant HS compare it to private schools who are vastly more effective with a fraction of the NONE. The school system is an independent entity from the city. They have complete freedom to conduct their affairs as it should be. They have the power to float bonds for any purpose. The city should not be involved because the school system will become politicalized. An example is the recent illegal action of the mayor to provide funding for the school system by raising our taxes by the slick scheme of increasing the city franchise fee (tax) without a vote.	Virtual Summit
Not too much this cost all of us and I don't know if the cost of some programs is worth the amount of participation	Virtual Summit
Perhaps coordinate more with the school district so there isn't so much overlap between Community School and Parks/Rec offerings.	Virtual Summit
Probably the most important part of our country's infrastructure is our educational system. In order to have a strong middle-class working population we must have a strong educational system. And in this system we must learn to get out of ourselves and learn about other countries become fluent in other languages (both speaking and writing) and be aware and knowledgeable about manufacturing and high tech. Therefore the role that Lake Oswego should take is to do whatever is legal to enhance our educational system and prepare our children to take meaningful jobs at acceptable income levels.	Virtual Summit
The City has no role. The current government bureaucracies (Oregon Department of Education Clackamas Education Service District and Lake Oswego School District) are more than enough.	Virtual Summit
The City needs to quit spending money on community education. The 'taxpayers' in this community are overburdened and it is not the responsibility of the taxpayers via the City to squander the taxpayers' tax dollars.	Virtual Summit
The City provided these opportunities through its Parks and Rec program and other programs. Otherwise the City should support others with this goal.	Virtual Summit
The City should be the main source of funds for offering educational opportunities for adults. The school district's facilities should be used but financing adult education is more than the school district can handle.	Virtual Summit
The city should do a better job helping the school district secure funding that is more stable.	Virtual Summit
The city should play a limited role.	Virtual Summit
The City should support community education as well the public schools. Public education at all ages is what makes Lake Oswego a great place to live and attracts many to this community. However we need to be more innovative in our approach and less traditional.	Virtual Summit
The City's role in community education should be through continued partnership with the LOSD in the use of facilities. And for life-long learning through enhanced relationships/partnerships with both Marylhurst College and Portland Community College.	Virtual Summit
The number one priority behind public safety is the schools. The city should do everything in their power to strengthen LOSD. The backbone of our community is the schools. Through zoning planning and every other way education should be a top priority for the City	Virtual Summit
The Parks & Rec offerings are suitable large variety new offerings come along all the time	Virtual Summit
This is not the role of the City. The city has basic responsibilities - police fire and roads. That is it.	Virtual Summit
This is the paramount issue. The main reason live here is for our quality schools. The city must help when ever possible to help maintain this valuable asset	Virtual Summit
This should be left to individuals. The property taxpayers of Lake Oswego cannot afford and should not have to pay for community education.	Virtual Summit
We need a community center with pool facilities class space childcare etc. Something similar to Southwest Community Center. A center for all ages.	Virtual Summit

EDUCATION

Some of the school locations are noted on the 2035 Vision Map as neighborhood hubs or gathering places. What role beyond their primary role of childhood education should school facilities play within the neighborhoods and community and how can these roles help to keep closed schools viable until they can be re-opened in the future?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Beaverton uses community schools/programs at schools being used as community centers	Nov 3 Summit
use elementary schools as hubs for activities for all ages	Nov 3 Summit
mobile coffee stand-make more accessible	Nov 3 Summit
playgrounds are great gathering hubs	Nov 3 Summit
car traffic may be an issue	Nov 3 Summit
high school business class could build "menu" of options	Nov 3 Summit
recruit/integrate retired people in art, reading assistance, aerobics...in schools	Nov 3 Summit
city needs a facilitator to galvanize integration and opportunities for community to share talent	Nov 3 Summit
software that can help identify opportunities where people can plug in and learn about opportunities/needs	Nov 3 Summit
adult community center classes in schools	Nov 3 Summit
home school open to public closed school	Nov 3 Summit
tap federal money for empty schools	Nov 3 Summit
lease property out	Nov 3 Summit
use community center to help tutor at schools	Nov 3 Summit
use available class space for scouts	Nov 3 Summit
schools need to be open for use, city to help with heat, electricity, janitor at closed schools	Nov 3 Summit
circulator bus	Nov 3 Summit
turn over schools temporarily to the city for community use, or boy/girl scouts	Nov 3 Summit
disruptive to elementary schools, good for highschoools	Nov 3 Summit
use the gym of closed schools	Nov 3 Summit
new identity for LO: LO to become a destination, re: lifelone learning opportunities	Nov 3 Summit
only usable as schools because conditional use permit is required in residential zones. What events appropriately can occur?	Nov 3 Summit
concern that the closed schools don't become a "hang out"	Nov 3 Summit
is there a need for daycare/after-school activities	Nov 3 Summit
could be ideal locales for adult education	Nov 3 Summit
classes are personal spaces of teachers/students. Should be careful as to what sue can occur after hours	Nov 3 Summit
need dedicated spaces	Nov 3 Summit
reduce stress on existing buildings where people are meeting by using the closed schools to keep them alive	Nov 3 Summit
people not to go to downtown PDX for lectures but stay here	Nov 3 Summit
world affairs counsil series here in LOHS rather than Marylhurst	Nov 3 Summit
Look at possibility of renting out vacant (closed) school space to groups (event planner?)	Nov 3 Summit
work to get kids out in the community	Nov 3 Summit
ensure safety around the schools as other people/groups use facilities	Nov 3 Summit
Use closed schools to hold events for various district groups (i.e. special services)	Nov 3 Summit
clear policy on how school space can be used (all city space as well)	Nov 3 Summit
find a way to use closed schools for neighborhood activities to keep its role as a community hub	Nov 3 Summit
maintain open access to school playgrounds and outdoor facilities	Nov 3 Summit
nice to have smaller library sites	Nov 3 Summit
library drop boxes at the schools	Nov 3 Summit
maintain partnership between schools and LOPD. Resource officers in schools	Nov 3 Summit
allowing city employees to participate in school activities maintain/create "community connector" positions-connect city with the kids	Nov 3 Summit
bring high school activities into the community-more activities like track meets	Nov 3 Summit
partnership with various city organizations (lion club, rotary)	Nov 3 Summit
Use schools for adult education - fields, facilities	Nov 3 Summit
Luscher as an educational resource	Nov 3 Summit
connnect closed schools- local community centers	Nov 3 Summit
national guard armory is an asset	Nov 3 Summit
share school facilities and libraries with civic organizations	Nov 3 Summit
daycare sites at closed schools	Nov 3 Summit
schools can provide space for community gardens	Nov 3 Summit
decentralize adult classes to elementary schools, use empty schools	Nov 3 Summit
schools could distribute library services/books	Nov 3 Summit
how do we link with private schools/marylhurst	Nov 3 Summit

EDUCATION

expand education both public and private	Nov 3 Summit
help schools by partnering with foundation	Nov 3 Summit
city can encourage outreach for participation in foundation	Nov 3 Summit
upgrade elementary schools - make them great and attractive to new families-technology improvements, computer labs	Nov 3 Summit
covered outdoor facilities	Nov 3 Summit
Allow neighborhood groups, church and community groups to continue to use those facilities in fiscally responsible ways	Comment Forms
closed schools should be utilized to fill education gap; adult education (18-65), after school for 911-18), early earls support, etc	Comment Forms
community "all ages" learning events	Comment Forms
Community centers! Especially utilizing the schools that are closing for community hubs for all ages. They can offer classes, open gyms, rec opportunities, etc to bring people together. Think: Garden Home Rec center-a great model Limited. Since 71% of residents do not have school-age children. That may change slightly but not much in next 20 years.	Comment Forms
Make them community activity centers	Comment Forms
meetings, neighborhood meetings, seminars	Comment Forms
offer after-hours recreation	Comment Forms
rent out or sell surplus schools to help growing shortfalls	Comment Forms
Use buildings (as appropriate) to support adult education and community gathering	Comment Forms
utilize closed schools for community education of all ages and community meetings.	Comment Forms
Maybe they could be used for all sorts of civic activities as well as adult education programs such as community	Virtual Summit
schools could be made available during non-classroom times for use by the community	Virtual Summit
Active Schools and their facilities should be available for instantaneous community meeting places - as LO High School was used for this meeting . Closed schools should be kept kept available for reopening within 120 days notice. either for school or community activities	Virtual Summit
Allow private enterprise like 'day care' to use and maintain the facilities at 'cost' or slightly above. If there are wood shops or meeting rooms offices storage areas (like RV storage) or craft rooms etc rent them to private management to raise money like the national parks get private management and concessionaires.	Virtual Summit
Anything for the well being of the community. Art Expos parks and rec programs etc.	Virtual Summit
As to closed schools let groups use the facilities for meetings sports etc. --- perhaps with some appropriate charge. Schools should be a focus of neighborhood involvement as it helps define 'the neighborhood'.	Virtual Summit
Closed schools could be used for community meeting spaces. Their gyms can be used for athletic needs.	Virtual Summit
community meeting locations day care teen centers	Virtual Summit
Community meetings sports help the ill hungry and homeless in our community public events and gatherings community education art events Neighbors helping Neighbors year round small businesses off-site innovation classes for students housing etc.	Virtual Summit
Community recreation areas both indoor and outdoor; meeting places for community organizations.	Virtual Summit
Consider facilities for more than education facilities; open up after hours for athletic facilities for community etc continue as recreation sites (as community school schedules gyms and fields); need to be kept clean and safe could play role for 'healthy' community options - mini farmers markets gathering places for neighborhood association or office for neighborhoods (they are the ones who vote for schools)	Virtual Summit
Don't fight to keep them open close them when not needed.	Virtual Summit
I think they do serve the community in many ways: sports scouts etc.I think we should look at the closed school and find a way to have these making money instead of costing the community	Virtual Summit
If the groups want to rent space would help.	Virtual Summit
If we lead and do the right things in this vision plan they will re-open. Look at Westridge for example when the last economic downturn hit. It is imperative to keep the closed schools in ready for re-opening status. Until then the fields and the schools do provide opportunity for meetings and recreations. I do not believe we should transition a school into something that can not be reversed	Virtual Summit
It seems questionable to assume these closed schools will necessarily re-open. So some wordsmithing appears appropriate. This is a somewhat challenging question for one needs to acknowledge sometimes the nestled location of such schools-very much within their respective neighborhoods.; as opposed to sitting on a major thorough-fare. It would seem the most appropriate uses would be for private school operations. Also as the location for parks and recreation programs. Additionally for Neighborhood Association meetings. There should be NO commercial operations-other than private K-12-allowed.	Virtual Summit
Maintain their athletic facilities for Recreational use - both fields and gyms.	Virtual Summit

EDUCATION

Make the schools pubs for the neighborhoods. Work in partnership with the school district to make the schools an active part of the neighborhoods whether they are closed or open.	Virtual Summit
Maybe they never will need to be reopened. The school enrollment is down - that is just fine. Sell the schools and theuse that money for what is needed in the existing schools then the schools would not be begging to t he City for money.	Virtual Summit
No additional roles are needed.	Virtual Summit
None	Virtual Summit
Offer start ups office space for reasonable rent. Small groups often need meeting rooms rent them one.	Virtual Summit
One possibliy is to facilitate the use of the puclicly-owned facilites for community recreation and education. By the way these activities should be self-funded. My understanding of the LO demographics suggests it is unlikely that closed schools will re-open. Why are you assuming otherwise?	Virtual Summit
Palisades school should be used as a park and community gathering place.	Virtual Summit
Please do not complicate the job of the already challenged professional educators.	Virtual Summit
Quit spending money on unnecessary projects. we have yet to utilize the West end Bldg and are talking about a new library location use the west end bldg	Virtual Summit
Rent the facilities for various social or city functions	Virtual Summit
School assets (gyms playgrounds meeting space classrooms) should be readily available for community use throughout the day on weekends and during the summer. There should be both open use and fee based usage available to the widest variety of citizens as possible. The primary purpose should NOT be to keep these facilities available for future use but to more fully maximize our community's investment.	Virtual Summit
School facilities should be open to all citizens (gyms playgrounds classrooms etc.) as much as possible on evenings weekends and during the summer. Use can be open or fee based. The goal should be to use our resources as much as possible.	Virtual Summit
Schools should be allowed to improve their parking lots. The code I believe says that 'athletic fields are not part of the green space' so schools have a ton of unkept weedy areas. Allow schools to finish these areas with better and expanded parking to keep people off the streets minimize the weed maintenance. It makes sense. The school district needs to decide how to use it's facilities not the city. This can be rented to private schools churches community colleges; used for seasonal markets/bazaars; athletics arts whatever makes sense.	Virtual Summit
Schools should not be closed. Staff at city hall should be reduced. No further real estate purchases should be made. Schools come first.	Virtual Summit
Sports fields playgrounds and gyms for recreational sports.	Virtual Summit
sports fields. Community functions boys scouts girl scouts etc.	Virtual Summit
The closed schools are not needed that is why they are closed. They should be morthballed. Any public use only consumes electricity gas for heating A/C etc. unless a fee is charged for the use. For those schools that remain open then they should be available for meetings of the public without restriction who meets there; community members the ACLU Boy/Girl Scouts garden club religious groups and so forth. A fee should also be charged to support the school budget	Virtual Summit
The closed schools should be used and maintained by the city so they will not have to be replaced when the school population increases.	Virtual Summit
The school district should determine whether or not a given school is viable and when to sell or dispose of the property.	Virtual Summit
Use existing facilities for parks and rec programs... as well as adult education/ continuing education opportunities. Partner with local colleges.	Virtual Summit
Use for community meetings lease out to day care or pre/post school child care providers. Use as community centers that offer classes or entertainment.	Virtual Summit
Use the athletic facilities for the community. Rent out space to the private schools if it is cash positive.	Virtual Summit
Would be great to tap into the learning and experience of our entire community to share the commitment and experience to learning and our schools---the excess facility capacity can be used this way.	Virtual Summit
How should we measure success for a learning community	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
basic metrics for education	Comment Forms
cooperative learning, symbiotic relationships, multi-generational tutoring and mentoring. Transport for seniors cost, results and growth of citizens	Comment Forms
If our schools continue to keep their "excellent" status and small class sizes	Comment Forms
maximize current field-turf/lighting. Re-design to maximize	Comment Forms
participation, variety	Comment Forms
people fo all ages (preschool through retirees) being engaged in learning opportunities	Comment Forms

EDUCATION

ratings of school district	Comment Forms
SAT scores, HS graduation rates, % accepted and attending college	Comment Forms
the ability of the learning community to interact with the community at large in a positive manner	Comment Forms
EXISTING GOALS AND POLICIES	
GOAL 11 Section 5: Administrative and Gov't Services Energy Communications and Schools The City shall ensure the availability of administrative and general government services and shall coordinate with efforts of others to provide schools energy and communication services.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Agree	Comment Forms
continue - would also include school areas as 'open spaces' and part of view	Comment Forms
Good	Comment Forms
I am not sure what this means	Comment Forms
I am strongly opposed to using this goal to justify direct City funding of school operations. The City is to ensure coordinate with the efforts of others. I believe this is clear and that the City has crossed a very important line.	Comment Forms
no comment	Comment Forms
OK	Comment Forms
OK	Comment Forms
Our city planning department is over staffed.	Comment Forms
That is fine I think they are already doing this	Comment Forms
That should not be the purview of the city! Stop! Do well what you are supposed to do don't overreach.	Comment Forms
The City should not be involved in schools and energy.	Comment Forms
The City should not be involved in the schools and should not be giving the schools additional monies. The property-tax paying citizens of this city cannot afford more property taxes and the City needs to quit thinking they can be 'all things' to all people. If the City thinks they can be all things to all people then they shouldn't be cutting back i.e. hours that the Planning Department is open.	Comment Forms
The City should NOT directly fund school operations. This goal clearly states it shall coordinate with efforts of others. The City has crossed the line.	Comment Forms
yes	Comment Forms
yes of course	Comment Forms
1. Review all residential development proposals for potential impacts on the school system including the adequacy of existing schools and the need for new facilities.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Agree	Comment Forms
continue	Comment Forms
Don't overregulate.	Comment Forms
I don't think the city needs to decide about needs at the school. It is not likely that the city will ever have a problem with overcrowding. Let the population and development grow. The school district will decide what it needs to do when it is happening.	Comment Forms
I think the planning team in the Building Dept does do this on how it impacts the area and community already	Comment Forms
Is this done? We are closing schools so this seems out of date.	Comment Forms
OK	Comment Forms
ok	Comment Forms
Our under 18 population has decreased. I'm not sure where this statement is leading.	Comment Forms
Planning Dept. should review with LOSD whom will report back to Planning on the impacts to the school system.	Comment Forms
Spend our money on educating our children	Comment Forms
take measures to maximize our facilities in the best way possible.	Comment Forms
This probably won't be necessary for a long time. The economy may never recover where we will be having residential development proposals.	Comment Forms
We need to have our facilities updated. Many are in poor repair.	Comment Forms
We need to keep upgrading. We want to be the best schools in the state	Comment Forms
Why do we need to evaluate this when all of our schools are underutilized.	Comment Forms
2. Require safe and accessible transportation routes to schools.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Agree	Comment Forms
Don't we do that already?	Comment Forms
Extremely important and not happening as well since the district sold the buses. Kids can spend 35 minutes on a bus when the school is five minutes away.	Comment Forms
Fix our neighborhood roads and you would have safe access to the schools.. Our roads are being ignored while public transportation is being pushed.	Comment Forms

EDUCATION

Good	Comment Forms
Is this a city responsibility?	Comment Forms
It's not broke. Don't fix it. They're fine.	Comment Forms
Measure how many students are able to walk to school. Inventory those areas without sidewalks crosswalks etc.	Comment Forms
Of course. But not through private property without purchasing that property from a willing seller at a fair market price.	Comment Forms
Of primary importance should be the availability of walking paths to schools. This can be measured. How many students are prevented from walking to school by lack of sidewalks crosswalks etc.	Comment Forms
OK	Comment Forms
Safe walkability should be a major concern.	Comment Forms
Sidewalks...	Comment Forms
The City should be doing a much better job of making streets accessible and safe for people. Too many streets are unkept narrow and poorly lit. I'd like to see the city take a look outside of the down town and spend some resources on all areas of the community. It is nice to have a revitalized urban center but we have more than just downtown. Lake Oswego has some of the dumpiest streets around which is contrary to the image of the downtown few blocks. The whole city deserves good and safe streets for kids. In addition bring metro buses or local buses into the community to allow people to get from one side of town to the other. People do not use transit because it is to hard to get access. Start by making some public transportation around the community open to students in more than just 2 or 3 routes. Make the timeline efficient. This will improve people's impression of the city.	Comment Forms
this i think would have to do with roads but i guess it could be walkways and paths. I just don't want to push or dispresect property rights this a polarizing issue and one that will weaken the community.	Comment Forms
This policy seems almost non-existent. The sidewalks on Country Club Road are in a shambles and are not maintained. The City should be maintaining all of the sidewalks in this city and not the business owners and homeowners who are doing a poor if any job of maintaning the sidewalks. 10th Street is 'one big mess' since the work was done on this street (a couple of years ago) as it was overplanted with plants that are not being maintained since no one has the skills to maintain them. The neighborhood streets in Lake Oswego are not maintained either making it difficult for anyone to walk in our neighborhoods!	Comment Forms
would like to see more walking less busing - smaller buses for the future more frequent service (more likely if city had a community service) that could work with the schools	Comment Forms
Yes	Comment Forms
yes	Comment Forms
Policy Wall Responses	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
empty school buildings: offer to an outside source or take the project on as the community school to offer international high school students to attend LO high schools. This brings in money to the system and leverages what LO is already known for. The program could run as long or as short to the school district's needs. This means that if the school district sees the need to bring the building back into the fold, one could do so.	Policy Wall
upgrade remaining elementary schools - they look sad and dowdy compared to high schools and other elementary schools. To attract young new families we need competitive elementary schools	Policy Wall
use closed schools as adult/community education spaces-especially for library	Policy Wall
if the city wants to grow its population, which I think it needs to for 2035, then more needs to be done to attract diversity, invite folks/families to LO. The city needs to "market" the town as a great educational community and attract folks/family that want great education, culture, and community adhesion, safety. There are many folks that would come for the school system.	Policy Wall
Decentralize the library for more equitable access and increased space. Create subject-based annex, especially at close schools	Policy Wall

HISTORIC PRESERVATION

POLICY QUESTIONS	
Should the City consider exploring ways to encourage historic preservation through strategies such developing incentives (such as priority permitting grant façade improvements) for requiring/allowing: a. Deconstruction of historic buildings instead of demolition b. More adaptive reuse (reusing an old site or building for a purpose other than which it was built or designed for) of historic structures and older buildings c. Incorporation of new energy saving building materials into historic buildings.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
transfer unused FAR (impacts community character, appropriate in certain zones)	Nov 3 Summit
façade rehab needs to be compatible with historic character	Nov 3 Summit
cross-reference commercial development standards with preservation code	Nov 3 Summit
deconstruction: find incentives or other ways to make it economical, but focus should always be on preservation	Nov 3 Summit
regulation for resources on the national register	Nov 3 Summit
adaptive reuse needs to be compatible with neighborhood character	Nov 3 Summit
provide incentives to prevent demolition by neglect	Nov 3 Summit
deconstruction: city staff needs to buy in	Nov 3 Summit
could LO be a community where it is socially unacceptable to demolish historic structures?	Nov 3 Summit
a. no, b.yes, c. no	Comment Forms
a. yes, b. yes, c. no	Comment Forms
a. yes, b. yes, c. no! do not meddle with energy savings mandates. For much background I can tell you-stay out.	Comment Forms
a. yes, b. yes, c.yes	Comment Forms
a. yes, b.yes, c.yes	Comment Forms
a. yes, b.yes, c.yes	Comment Forms
a. yes, b.yes, c.yes	Comment Forms
a.yes, b.yes, c.yes but only if the materials are compatible with restoration of the historic buiding. The city needs to tighten regulations for preserving historic structures.	Comment Forms
b.yes	Comment Forms
b.yes, c. yes, more funding for preservation	Comment Forms
yes to grant façade. a. yes-better for the environment but where are funds for this coming from?, b.yes, c. no,	Comment Forms
Sounds good	Virtual Summit
I think the above stated policies are good ones	Virtual Summit
A historic building is tied to its location otherwise it is just another old building. What is historic should remain in as much an original state and place as possible. I am against moving historic buildings for any reason.	Virtual Summit
A. Deconstructing is the private owners decision not the city. B. Having a friend living in a former fire house repurpose of a building in the owners right...the church on Frist street is a great example. Once a church now a home. C. I can't believe that this is even a discussion point. If the owner wants to keep it original that 's their right. If they want to take advantage of technology again that's their right.	Virtual Summit
Agreed providing that city taxpayers do not subsidize private property preservation that should be the responsibility of the property owners	Virtual Summit
all good inclusions but keep in mind cost versus practicality - and timelines for completing projects	Virtual Summit
At what cost? Many of LO's older buildings are already gone and I see few others with architectural/historic value. Who's to decide what should be 'required'?	Virtual Summit
Be more flexible in allowing demo of old buildings and enhance value of city.	Virtual Summit
Could be encouraged but not legislated. Funding for any of this hould come from grants and private monies.	Virtual Summit
deconstruction should be the only way buildings can be torn down or partially removed for remodeling. Our Lady of the Lake School should have been required to deconstruct their structures rather than plow them into the land fill. Especially siince Re-Building offered to come in and deconstruct and recycle so much of what was removed by bulldozer.	Virtual Summit
Demolition is fine. FAVOR UNIQUE BUISNESSES QUAINT SHOPS NOT WALMART. Do not require energy saving buildings further than state law it's already too costly and prohibits profitability.	Virtual Summit
Encouragement of re-use of historic and older buildings is important to maintaining the character of the town and is worthy of resourcing.	Virtual Summit
For a. if unsafe tear it down and replace it with a plaque. b. ditto c. Definate no. with every swist of the screw and element of history is destroyed.	Virtual Summit
Good idea to all of the above- just no new tax dollars to pay for this.	Virtual Summit
Historic preservation is important. Don't agree with the above	Virtual Summit
Historic preservation should be encouraged but while maintaining the historic integrity of the buildings. Not sure this does it.	Virtual Summit
Historical policies should give due consideration to the property rights of the owner.	Virtual Summit

HISTORIC PRESERVATION

I have lived in two lovely old homes in this City and in the last 25 years I have seen many of the old homes here disappear. This community 'was' known for the old homes but will probably not be in the future as they are too expensive to live in with the high cost of maintenance and on top of that the high property taxes and high cost of water for irrigation. Unless and until property taxes go down these homes will not be maintained and will then be bulldozed and replaced with 'faux' homes.	Virtual Summit
I think historical buildings that make up areas of say New England(Boston etc.) give them some Arch significance and an everyday presence of history. Lake Oswego does not have this type of history yet but if older buildings can be remodeled or improved why not. Most certainly all construction should be updated when the time is right for conservation etc	Virtual Summit
I think the Historic Group is very hard on change and updating these buildings/homes.I think it is important to have these places in the community. But let's citizens update and expand on the building if needed. Look at Europe and how they have updated and kept the same feel but they are more useable space	Virtual Summit
I think the preservation of old structures are good when the structure is significant or unique. I do not support restoring buildings that were never attractive or unique.	Virtual Summit
I think there should be less 'formal' guidelines and if someone has a historic project accept the request based on the specific merits of the project. Don't over manage.	Virtual Summit
I think we have a nice mix already of historic building etc. I am more concerned about individual's property rights. I would encourage the city to always take into considering the rights of the current property owners.	Virtual Summit
It is doubtful that the City of Lake Oswego has undetermined or unmanaged historical structures that need this kind of managemet. Perhaps we should be putting this effort into maintaining our current community buildings: city hall Lake Oswego High School etc.	Virtual Summit
It would make sense to preserve the little bit of history we have.	Virtual Summit
Let's not spend too much tax payer money on this purpose. It should not be a core mission of the city.	Virtual Summit
Maybe but put the money into our kids	Virtual Summit
No It appears the most critical history has been protected	Virtual Summit
No comment	Virtual Summit
No.	Virtual Summit
No. You should get private funding for any historic preservation. If it is worth keeping someone will step forward.	Virtual Summit
Older buildings which are landmarks though not necessarily on the historic list should be preserved... ie: the Sundeleaf building currently housing Starbucks on State. It should not be moved. Having buildings such as that near newer buildings gives a stronger sense of history and is a graphic reminder of how much the city has evolved.	Virtual Summit
Our primary objective should be the active preservation and maintainance of our built heritage. At this time on behalf of the Lake Oswego Preservation Society the following additional recommendations to those shared at the Cultural Summit are offered: Enact legislation to prohibit 'demoition by neglect'; Provide notification to neighborhoods and interested citizens/groups of demolition permit requests; Provide incentives for the moving of historic structures as an alternative to demolition or deconstruction; Require mandatory deconstruction on any remodel of an historic home; Provide protection comparable to that of Landmark Designation Properties to National Register properties; Encourage the use of Conservation Easments; Provide assistance to Historic Property-owners through both technical and financial programs; Provide educational opprotunities for property-owners neighborhoods and citizens regarding the benefits of both historic preservation and sustainability.	Virtual Summit
Right now the city should focus on fiscal responsibility and not add additional expenses.	Virtual Summit
Sounds like the city would be financing the improvement or maintence of private property. There are historic preservation programs throughout the country. We need to take a look at what works best encouraging without restricting the property owner of an historic structure.	Virtual Summit
The city doesn't need to control everything and should let the historic properties evolve through private enterprise. There are plenty of regulations on the books to insure that the evolution of LO will be in keeping with the desires of the citizens.	Virtual Summit
The city needs to be more respectful of citizen's private property rights. Other than that which currently exists the city should not grant any additional incentives (which is really 'code' for credits/breaks for one group of properties -- the burden of which is bourne by the rest of the community) nor should the city place additional requirements on property owners which already fall under the tax deferred 'historical' designation.	Virtual Summit

HISTORIC PRESERVATION

The City should ENCOURAGE historic preservation including the use of incentives but property owners should have the freedom to make the ultimate decision depending upon economics and a host of other issues. When the City goes into the 'requiring' mode then this tends to build in mandated costs for the property owner. It seems that the City already has many 'requirements' that greatly restrict individual efforts and add to owners' costs. I have thought about rebuilding on our property but have dropped the idea when I realized all the hoops that I had to go through --- all at added cost.	Virtual Summit
Use deconstruction with use of the old site.	Virtual Summit
With just a 100 year history if we don't preserve the very few historic buildings we have we'll end up with nothing left from the beginning of our history. We should make it easy for people to love and care for our older buildings--being caretakers of them for the next generation. The Europeans know how to do it--we should take their example of valuing the old and not always having to take down and start over.	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes as long as it does not cost the City anything.	Virtual Summit
Yes but not by having the City incur expense to do so...	Virtual Summit
Yes deconstruction rather than demolition of historic buildings. However the City should work through both policy and code to prevent demolition. Yes more adaptive re-use in commercial areas and along major thorough-fares. The City should lead this effort by adaptively re-using its buildings including both the library-if another location is chosen-and the WEB. More detail is needed regarding the type of 'energy saving' building materials are being referred to. Certainly not new 'energy savings' windows.	Virtual Summit
Yes on a. and b.	Virtual Summit
Yes to incentives No to 'requireing/allowing'	Virtual Summit
YES!! YES!! YES!! Currently Lake Oswego views buildings as disposable. This needs to be changed.	Virtual Summit
Archaeological resources are referred to in the current historic goal and polices in the current plan. In the past the only action the City has taken to preserve and enhance archaeological resources is to call the state which has a specialist on staff to handle the issue. Should the City consider the cost and benefit to taking on more responsibility for protecting archaeological resources?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
protect architectural resources-yes to expanding the city's regulation	Nov 3 Summit
expand historic resources inventory	Nov 3 Summit
conservation easements	Nov 3 Summit
photo inventory and story of property	Nov 3 Summit
use older homes of good design as examples for new construction	Nov 3 Summit
outreach to real estate community	Nov 3 Summit
celebrate community and place (arts and history)	Nov 3 Summit
city must provide substantial funding mechanisms for home owners of historic properties	Nov 3 Summit
develop a film to capture the importance of historic preservation	Nov 3 Summit
more stringent oversight of remodels of historic properties	Nov 3 Summit
written histories of all historic properties	Nov 3 Summit
<i>develop higher profile for historic preservation</i>	Nov 3 Summit
LO has grant money for historic properties on the LDL	Nov 3 Summit
schools: weave LO history into curriculum	Nov 3 Summit
neighborhood associations: promote historic properties	Nov 3 Summit
library: promote historic preservation	Nov 3 Summit
no	Comment Forms
no	Comment Forms
no	Comment Forms
no	Comment Forms
no, limited within LO	Comment Forms
no, state is enough	Comment Forms
yes	Comment Forms
yes	Comment Forms
yes	Comment Forms
yes	Comment Forms
Why? Why not leave it the way it is?	Virtual Summit
I'm unclear as to what the archaeological resources are that the city would consider protecting and what the budget would be for that	Virtual Summit
Absolutely not!	Virtual Summit
Absolutely not!! We don't need our own archaeologist. Why not continue to rely on the existing state staff?	Virtual Summit
Absolutely.	Virtual Summit

HISTORIC PRESERVATION

consider but part of the vibrancy of the community is it's ability to change and stay updated - historic is welcome only when it is not unsightly or a drain (on finances) to maintain	Virtual Summit
Cost is a big issue- no new taxes. It is a good idea that so much information on LO history is out here but nothing new is needed.	Virtual Summit
Education is a better place to put the money	Virtual Summit
no	Virtual Summit
No	Virtual Summit
NO	Virtual Summit
no	Virtual Summit
No	Virtual Summit
No	Virtual Summit
NO	Virtual Summit
No	Virtual Summit
No We do not need to add employees to study limited archaeological resources.	Virtual Summit
No if that suggests hiring someone for staff. I think we are better served to hire in a contractor or consultant on a need basis (if artifacts are discovered).	Virtual Summit
No if the State has resources and programs in place to do this then I think we should use those resources and not recreate the wheel.	Virtual Summit
No In the grand scheme of things our city is just not that historical	Virtual Summit
No let the State handle this since they already have the resources in place.	Virtual Summit
NO NO NO	Virtual Summit
No -- the City has enough to do and this would be one more set of policies staff involvement and possibly conflict with the property owner. Present process of contacting state seems reasonable.	Virtual Summit
No this can be outsourced and represent our resources well...	Virtual Summit
NO!	Virtual Summit
No!	Virtual Summit
No.	Virtual Summit
no. Call the State.	Virtual Summit
NO. I don't believe this area warrants any additional City resources.	Virtual Summit
No. Just more bureaucracy.	Virtual Summit
No. This is not something that the city encounters often enough to rethink how it's currently handled.	Virtual Summit
NO. Use the experts provided by the state archaeologist. Don't spend any money on this.	Virtual Summit
No. The city doesn't need to hire staff for this function.	Virtual Summit
No. We don't need more staff. How about volunteers?	Virtual Summit
NO Keep it with the State	Virtual Summit
nope.	Virtual Summit
That is fine. We pay too much for this kind of stuff already. We should not fund further waste of LO Resources on it.	Virtual Summit
That should remain the domain of those who wish to take on such endeavors with as little interference as possible by government.	Virtual Summit
The city should be more fiscally responsible and NOT take on any additional projects until the economy recovers.	Virtual Summit
This is a reasonable way to address this goal. No change needed.	Virtual Summit
To some degree but how will this be funded? We should rely on the state or feds when appropriate.	Virtual Summit
Until the State does away with this position I do not see the City needing to hire anyone. Job this out to the State	Virtual Summit
Yes preserve as much of the original resource as possible.	Virtual Summit
Yes such cost/benefit analysis should occur.	Virtual Summit
Yes the City should budget and/or fundraise to protect our history.	Virtual Summit
Yes where development or construction is proposed in more sensitive archeological areas.	Virtual Summit
How should we measure success for historical preservation?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
# of buildings preserved, amount of funding	Comment Forms
% preservation of historic resources	Comment Forms
by how little you get involved	Comment Forms
by the addition of more properties to the city's landmark designation list every year and preventing the loss of properties already on the list. More historic easements. Do away with demolition by neglect.	Comment Forms
cost effectiveness	Comment Forms
no broken down or unrestored buildings. Preserve integrity of original building	Comment Forms

HISTORIC PRESERVATION

participation at historical events	Comment Forms
success is when we stop tearing down old buildings. We need to start maintaining them	Comment Forms
EXISTING GOALS AND POLICIES	
GOAL 5 Section 8: Historic Resources The City shall preserve the historical archaeological and cultural resources of the community.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
OK	Virtual Summit
A worthy goal provided that basic city services are prioritized and basic services are delivered without egregious price increases (far higher than inflation) that have become a characteristic of Lake Oswego in recent years.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
Can you be more specific? What are the areas you mean?	Virtual Summit
Cultural resources are important to preserve but equally as important are property rights. The goal should balance to two potentially competing interests.	Virtual Summit
Definitely! Many architects and builders in town could help on a pro bono basis as well.	Virtual Summit
Honestly other than the Smelter or whatever it is down by the river.....	Virtual Summit
Don't spend any more of our tax money.	Virtual Summit
How? Is this a loaded question?	Virtual Summit
I think it is good to preserve historic resources but it should be on a significant basis in terms of its part of the City's past development. For instance just because a house/building is old does not justify the cost expenditure of acquisition maintenance and potential loss from the tax base.	Virtual Summit
In a balanced way and not demand people!	Virtual Summit
In general yes --- but 'shall' is a strong word as it suggests that the City will develop policy that requires people to do certain things. I would suggest that 'the City shall encourage the preservations of.....' is better wording.	Virtual Summit
Just not at a cost of more taxes.	Virtual Summit
No new projects but the ones already done are great	Virtual Summit
Ok if not at the expense of private property owners.	Virtual Summit
Only if it can be done at a reasonable cost	Virtual Summit
Perhaps some of them should be preserved but not without input from the citizens.	Virtual Summit
Success is the number of visitors it brings to the city or to the specific sites	Virtual Summit
Sure again when it is appropriate	Virtual Summit
The city has done a great deal. I think it is not required to put anything more in place yet consider on a case by case basis if there is a project that may be of interest.	Virtual Summit
The city needs to do a better job with this. Too many tear-downs and not enough preservation	Virtual Summit
The City shall preserve protect and appropriately enhance the historical archaeological and cultural resources of the community.	Virtual Summit
The City should encourage not be responsible for the above.	Virtual Summit
This also has to be balanced with private property rights.	Virtual Summit
This goal is too all encompassing. Does the resource merit the cost and effort? It is doubtful that our City has such important resources that have not already been identified and managed appropriately.	Virtual Summit
too broad.	Virtual Summit
Very broad goal. Before I could comment I'd want more specifics.	Virtual Summit
what are the resources referenced? For arrowheads: A one week window should be enough for most construction projects to preserve what might be uncovered. I full T-Rex may take longer.	Virtual Summit
where appropriate. don't force the issue on a non significant item.	Virtual Summit
Why? Raise funds for it. People will step up.	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
YES!! Incentives should be provided to property owners who preserve landmarks.	Virtual Summit
1. Preserve and enhance Lake Oswego's historic resources through the identification restoration and protection of structures sites objects and districts of historic and cultural value within the City.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
No comment	Virtual Summit
Additional resources are needed to identify more of our historic resources before they are lost. To 'inventory' ten properties a year is simply inadequate for fulfilling this Comp Plan goal. Yes the City should continue to invest in the restoration and protection of such assets. More resources are needed to support-in partnership-these assets.	Virtual Summit
again when and where appropriate.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit

HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Could do a better job with this.	Virtual Summit
Enough has been done. I don't think we need to spend time seeking these out if people are interested they can present their requests. We have more important things to accomplish such as infrastructure; business growth; better streets	Virtual Summit
Hard to measure --- change (or perhaps maybe 'lack of change') over time.	Virtual Summit
How many sites have been identified and preserved. Measure by a weighted percentage	Virtual Summit
How? More restrictions on private property? No.	Virtual Summit
If it makes sense and has a true value!	Virtual Summit
If no new taxes are used- this is a good idea.	Virtual Summit
No more expenditures in this area.	Virtual Summit
No new projects. Fiscal responsibility should be a priority.	Virtual Summit
No.	Virtual Summit
Not important enough to devote taxpayer money to this.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
On public lands sure. But it should be on a voluntary basis for private property and I do not agree with offering monetary incentives (like tax breaks) for 'volunteered' private property for the historic program.	Virtual Summit
Perhaps but not on a wholesale basis. The citizens should have input on all historic preservation projects.	Virtual Summit
Same comments as prior.	Virtual Summit
See prior comment	Virtual Summit
sounds good but I sense a lot of restrictions	Virtual Summit
The emphasis on historical resources over current facilities (parks buildings such as City Hall the Library sidewalks etc.) is puzzling.	Virtual Summit
This is great within reason and with equality	Virtual Summit
TO a limit	Virtual Summit
to an extent. These types of programs can get carried away in government	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes. Again incentives would be helpful.	Virtual Summit
2. Designate as Landmarks those structures sites and objects which possess historic significance pursuant to Statewide Land Use Planning Goal 5. Ensure that all National Register properties are inventoried and placed on the City's Landmarks list.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
OK	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
Agree that the National Register properties should be inventoried and mapped.	Virtual Summit
Did a good job restoring furnace but not much else.	Virtual Summit
fine	Virtual Summit
Goal - the number of people assisted by the city and successful in listing properties on the National Register	Virtual Summit
I agree with the second part about national register properties being preserved. I'm not sure about the first sentence and the ramifications of that. What does a 'landmark' designation do or require?	Virtual Summit
I would want more specifics on what you believe has historic significance but I do agree with protecting properties that are on the National Register.	Virtual Summit
If this does not raise new taxes or limit private owners rights to improve their homes.	Virtual Summit
low priority I wouldn't throw dollars at this.	Virtual Summit
No I think some of them should not be on the list. I think some people just did it to save on taxes and I don't think some should be on list for Lake Oswego	Virtual Summit
No comment.	Virtual Summit
No more tax money spent. Let state and Federal laws which are already significant be enough. Don't overreach.	Virtual Summit
No new projects. Stop spending money and pay off city debts. More fiscal responsibility.	Virtual Summit
No.	Virtual Summit
Not sure only very selectively.	Virtual Summit
Not sure. Sounds like a lot of spending. I guess I am okay as long as it is cost effective	Virtual Summit
Only if the current owner wishes to be placed on a list	Virtual Summit
Remember to respect private property rights; no tax give-aways that the rest of us bear the burden of the expense of City services.	Virtual Summit
What does this designation mean to the property owner?	Virtual Summit

HISTORIC PRESERVATION

While this goal is generally positive ---- it (landmark designation) shouldn't be done without the agreement of the property owner. No property owner should be required to accept such designation especially if further restrictions come with it.	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes all historic properties are deserving of inventory and protection. Amend code to provide review of proposed changes to National Register properties.	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
3. Enact and enforce standards and ordinances which protect historic and archaeological resources by: a. Reviewing Landmark and district designations b. Requiring that major alterations* and other land use actions not diminish the significance of a historic resource; and c. Reviewing relocation and demolition requests.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
OK	Virtual Summit
All of these provisions put further restrictions on the property owner.....usually at the property owner's expense. I can see the day coming when the owner of an unsafe and/or economically questionable property would be required to invest more funds than warranted just to 'bring it up' to some historical standard.	Virtual Summit
these things are best done by committee	Virtual Summit
Adopt standards and ordinances which further discourage demoliton requests. Is it necessary to provide an ESEE 'out' from preservation responsibilities? If not mandated by state law remove such option. In a community such as Lake Oswego with typically increasing property values and a limited land supply most/many of our historic resources are being placed at risk by such an 'out'.	Virtual Summit
Again let the city evolve through private enterprise. The city is out of control with its land use agenda. Why does the city beleive that their opinion is the only opinion. Our citizens love LO and will do the right thing when it comes to land use.	Virtual Summit
Again this needs to be balanced with private properly rights.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
Be sure to protect property owners rights to develop their property to suit their own purposes.	Virtual Summit
Disagree.	Virtual Summit
Do not add additional spending	Virtual Summit
don't over regulate.	Virtual Summit
Govern less not more. You're being too ambitious and encroaching on areas that don't need your 'help'.	Virtual Summit
How are demolitions reviewed? Success could be measured with fewer demolitions and more restorations.	Virtual Summit
I do not support regulation that makes development of historic sites more difficult. If people want to preserve historic sites great. If not they should have the same rights as anyone else.	Virtual Summit
I'd vote for more control to go to private property owner than historic preservation. The city has long been on the face lift plan keeping the charm of the past with new and improved.	Virtual Summit
If this does not limit private landowners improvements rights.	Virtual Summit
In the past it seems like some of these decisions were swayed by how much money the owner had.	Virtual Summit
More planning department control of private property! Not with this present staff. When this city can return to a reasonable approach to 'enforcement' then we can consider this goal.	Virtual Summit
Most of these policy statements are too broadband leaving open the right to include resources that just are not that significant and creating the opportunity to for special interests to take advantage for personal purposes.	Virtual Summit
NO NEW regulations. This is beginning to feel like California. No more unnecessary spending.	Virtual Summit
Only if the current owner wishes the above designations	Virtual Summit
Probably need to loosen standards to allow development where it make sense.	Virtual Summit
respect private property rights; no tax breaks.	Virtual Summit
The city would need to purchase the property or pay the owner for such rights ov b. adn c.	Virtual Summit
The need is to simplify codes. Aren't we talking about a couple of buildings here?	Virtual Summit
This all depends on the situation this is to broad .I don't think it should be required. I think the city should present it in a way that the citizen's see a value and want to do it if it makes sense	Virtual Summit
Very important. To maintain Lake Oswegos's history and character we need to protect our older neighborhoods from becoming McMansion enclaves.	Virtual Summit
Would want to know specifically what areas you are identifying.	Virtual Summit
Yes. Make it difficult to demolish without community support.	Virtual Summit
4. Utilize a historic resources advisory body to implement Lake Oswego's historic preservation program.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Yes.	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
volunteers	Virtual Summit

HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Too many advisors already	Virtual Summit
The problem with groups like this is they are prone to take actions that will just justify their existence and not truly provide a meaningful cost effective action.	Virtual Summit
The only way this can be accomplished is to have the 'advisory body' elected by the citizens. Otherwise the mayor and council members have too much power to do what they want.	Virtual Summit
The more groups that exist to determine what can be done the more complicated it is for the citizens of the city.	Virtual Summit
The city needs to be more careful about selecting people who serve on advisory bodies. Individuals with their own agendas should not be allowed to serve.	Virtual Summit
Only if it is free.	Virtual Summit
Ok	Virtual Summit
NO!	Virtual Summit
No that would be an additional expense even if just for meeting costs of volunteers. Our volunteer program is working just fine.	Virtual Summit
NO Not another advisory body carefully selected for each members agreement with the planning department and mayor.	Virtual Summit
No this should be something that City council should be able to review revise & implement.	Virtual Summit
I'm a bit leary of advisory bodies and commissions made of up of citizens with personal agendas.	Virtual Summit
I think you have some people on a mission and not looking at entire picture for the City. I think you should have a board but have areas to voice options as well	Virtual Summit
hopefully citizens would help or volunteer. Should be a wide diverse group with long ties to Lake Oswego	Virtual Summit
good idea	Virtual Summit
fine	Virtual Summit
don't over do the work. We don't need another committee for the sake of another committee	Virtual Summit
Do this already	Virtual Summit
Depends on the committee's member selection - token or truly interested.	Virtual Summit
As long as this does not raise taxes.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
5. Ensure archaeological resources on City-owned lands are surveyed and adequately protected prior to developing park and open space lands and	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Of course	Virtual Summit
Again we should use the state resources to review archaeological resources and not create another city department.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
Does the city even do this? What about the sewer and water projects?	Virtual Summit
Don't we do this now?	Virtual Summit
fine	Virtual Summit
good idea.	Virtual Summit
Keep this goal and policies and implement them	Virtual Summit
NO	Virtual Summit
ok	Virtual Summit
On City-owned land that makes sense but it can lead to a question of 'protections' versus doing something on the property. Such a policy needs flexibility and intelligent administration.	Virtual Summit
Only if already public and designated as historic. We don't need to acquire more.	Virtual Summit
sounds expensive. Is this more than what is standard to any development? Don't require everything for all again it is the merits of the project that reveal what is needed.	Virtual Summit
The city needs to lead by example.	Virtual Summit
The laws and procedures are more than adequate now. NO.	Virtual Summit
This is a low priority for the City.	Virtual Summit
We cannot afford this.	Virtual Summit
We have enough parks right now. When the economy turns around this could be considered. No more expansion. No more public works projects - we should be doing a better job of maintaining what we already have.	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
Policy Wall Responses	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
update resources, tours, information services to be visually appealing, exciting. More stories.	Policy Wall

LIBRARY SERVICES

POLICY QUESTIONS	
How can the library continue to support and enhance the city's vibrancy and sense of community?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
leverage technology, focus on internet	Nov 3 Summit
multiple locations, second branch in lake grove	Nov 3 Summit
separate facility for children	Nov 3 Summit
central location	Nov 3 Summit
community spaces	Nov 3 Summit
flexible spaces, adaptability	Nov 3 Summit
databases	Nov 3 Summit
role in helping children read	Nov 3 Summit
flexibility in browsing	Nov 3 Summit
bookmobile	Nov 3 Summit
library is an economic catalyst, adds urban vibrancy	Nov 3 Summit
stand-alone building, like Beaverton	Nov 3 Summit
no partnership, just a library	Nov 3 Summit
meeting spaces	Nov 3 Summit
library as a community center	Nov 3 Summit
programs, programming spaces	Nov 3 Summit
after education, library is a high priority. Keep the library accessible for older neighbors	Comment Forms
Become a community center that integrates all of the components of the community of culture	Comment Forms
community events both within the library and out in the community and schools	Comment Forms
Do not build a new building-have branches instead at each "community center/village center"	Comment Forms
early childhood reading. Help parents prepare children to learn to read.	Comment Forms
it would be lovely if it were more centrally located because it can be such a great community center for people of all ages. Especially if folks could bike or walk there.	Comment Forms
more distributed library services leveraging more technology	Comment Forms
move into 21st Century - where focus is not paper books	Comment Forms
new library!	Comment Forms
I think yje library is a tremendous asset to our community. I understand that one possibility is to build a new larger facility somewhere around first street and B avenue. That would be fine with me and very convenient.	Virtual Summit
1. Evaluate the impact of technology in terms of the evolving role of the library. In the next 25 years it will be less of a place to stack books which might be available electronically and more of a Community Center with conference rooms etc. 2. Expand the role of the library from lending books to lending other things like tools etc. This would also encourage sharing of resources and sustainability. Everyone does not need to have a sander!	Virtual Summit
A good library is important and that is all I will say on that subject	Virtual Summit
A lending library is vital to a community. When choosing a community in which to live people inquire about the school system the library cultural events parks. The library must be well lit friendly convenient well stocked.	Virtual Summit
A new library should be built in the North End as an anchor for new developement. I like having adequate parking at the library so mothers and kids don't have to walk in the street without sidewalks to get to story hour.	Virtual Summit
A vibrant library is a vital part of our Cit's structure. Apparently a larger facility is needed. Careful community wide input should be used for deciding its future size and location. The input should be as void as possible of special interest individuals/groups as possible. I do NOT see those inputs being used as the move to purchase the 'Lacey's' location for that purpose proceeds.	Virtual Summit
Although I think it's current location is great... it might be better if moved closer to 'A'. Need more materials and parking for expanding special programs.	Virtual Summit
Be there be up to date in facilities and programs be open	Virtual Summit
Being part of the schools and larger community who now longer has kids in the schools...	Virtual Summit
books have long been a critical part of community culture. With changing technologies i think the library can assit in reaching out servies such as internet and digital files to those who do not have access.	Virtual Summit
By locating services near the city's population center. By NOT re-locating even further from the city's population center.	Virtual Summit
By remaining in a downtown location	Virtual Summit
Consider establishing several small branch libraries in addition to relocating the main library.	Virtual Summit
Contine to provide a leading service to residents in a cost effective manner	Virtual Summit
Continue as-is!	Virtual Summit

LIBRARY SERVICES

Continue doing what it is doing now. Provide more on-line materials to help users access materials more easily and to help reduce costs.	Virtual Summit
Continue doing what it is doing.	Virtual Summit
Continue operating in an efficient way.	Virtual Summit
Continue programs that bring people in to the library maintain state of the art communications and changes in reading research and education	Virtual Summit
Continue to offer services that provide a sense of community -- children's storytelling art exhibits and consider providing some lectures.	Virtual Summit
continue what it is doing...music community reads lectures ...perhaps adequate at present!	Virtual Summit
Further embrace the technology that allows the purpose of the library to be delivered remotely at people's homes so we do not need a big physical facility.	Virtual Summit
Greater variety of DVD's to be checked out. More 'How To' DVD's.	Virtual Summit
I think it has out grown the space I think it is important to provide technology and materials to the community and schools	Virtual Summit
I think the current library is adequate.	Virtual Summit
In the world of digital communication the need for written literature seems to be fading. However I feel the library is a key component of a community and needs to be supported.	Virtual Summit
<i>It needs more space and a branch. Continue with free innovative events and speakers more current books dvds etc. Better technology. Work with the schools.</i>	Virtual Summit
It's a great library already	Virtual Summit
Keep open. My wife loves it.	Virtual Summit
Leave the library where it is. If additional library space is needed perhaps an 'Annex Library' would be appropriate in another location within the city. I visit library at least 50 times during the year and it doesn't appear to be overcrowded. Libraries don't need to provide space for meetings etc.	Virtual Summit
Library services are adequate at this time. Future plans should be in line with demographic projections to meet the number and age of our citizens.	Virtual Summit
Like most public libraries the Lake Oswego Library is woefully inadequate for this non-fiction reader. The library rarely has the books that I seek and the Library2go feature (which is supposed to have ebooks) has none of those that I was seeking (but which oddly enough Amazon.com does show as having Kindle versions). I continue to view the LO Library as a waste of tax dollars.	Virtual Summit
More programs for teens	Virtual Summit
Move it to downtown area. The Library should be part of the face of Lake Oswego. The shopping traffic will enhance library traffic and vice versa.	Virtual Summit
Move it to downtown LO.	Virtual Summit
Move to the west End Bldg	Virtual Summit
Move to the West End building where larger services can be provided	Virtual Summit
No changes needed.	Virtual Summit
Our Library should remain in the heart of downtown LO and not be divided into pieces in different parts of LO The green quiet setting is great as are the animal statues for children to explore as they come out of the library. Ideally the restrooms should be more user-friendly and updated the cosy feeling should be kept and not sacrificed even though expanding would be helpful.	Virtual Summit
Overall you do a great job. Parking is terrible and the library is crowded though. Need to choose a new library location that is best for the city as a whole not just those who will make money from an urban renewal district. All too often it appears that siting and design of larger development projects are designed to benefit a few financially not what is best for the community as a whole.	Virtual Summit
Provide more lecture opportunities for adults.	Virtual Summit
Since most of the library users I personally know first reserve a library book and enter the library only to pick up the book and then return the book via drop boxes I would suggest to install a drive thru window for pick up and drop offs thus eliminating lines and the need for additional parking. Also survey how many people currently using the computers in the library and for what purpose. Has the library turned into a free internet facility?	Virtual Summit
The current location is too buried within a neighborhood with limited/difficult parking. The library would be more useful in a spot that is more accessible by the entire community big enough to hold community events and have programs that are more interesting to our kids--especially older kids.	Virtual Summit
The 'Everybody Reads ' program should be sustained. Parking at the current library is an ongoing problem.	Virtual Summit
The library does an excellent job. If they are really interested in growing start up the bookmobile in the summer.	Virtual Summit
The library has always been a comfortable friendly place to visit. We do not need a grandiose library. Is it possible that the visits will be fewer due to the internet age and the affordability of computers?	Virtual Summit
The library is doing a fine job right now.	Virtual Summit

LIBRARY SERVICES

The library need to remain near the downtown core area of the city. Please do not move the library to the west end building. Continue cultural and civic events at the library.	Virtual Summit
The Library needs to live within it's means. NO NEW TAXES--NO NEW BUILDINGS! I use the Library several times a week and need and enjoy it's services. They do a great job but expansion is not necessary for a town our size.	Virtual Summit
The new library could be in the Wizer block to tie in with the Melinnium Plaza and shopping.	Virtual Summit
The role of libraries is changing. That should be addressed before we ask for more money towards our library system. Do we need one building or can we use space in several?	Virtual Summit
They do an excellent job with their enrichment programs with authors music childrens programs adult programs and Lake Oswego Reads. In their constricted space they are limited for presentations and need a more suitable 'living room' for programming events. A new facility has been in discussion for the 23 years that I have lived here. It's time to provide the No. 1 ibrary in the state with a No. 1 facility. Imagine what the staff could do in a functional space designed for how libraries function in todays world.	Virtual Summit
To accomplish the above: need more parking space; limited parking discourages woud be users. Move to the WEB.	Virtual Summit
We do not need a grandiose library fewer people depend on the same support it gave the community years ago. Keep it simple.	Virtual Summit
We need to expand the library. It is one of the most used assets.	Virtual Summit
How can the library enhance accessibility to library resources for all community members?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
adequate parking	Nov 3 Summit
outreach, kiosks, getting materials and services to people	Nov 3 Summit
expanded reference services with information evaluation	Nov 3 Summit
better software, increased online presence	Nov 3 Summit
physical and online accessibility	Nov 3 Summit
bookmobile	Nov 3 Summit
access for elderly or homebound	Nov 3 Summit
library as community center	Nov 3 Summit
study rooms, meeting rooms	Nov 3 Summit
local history room	Nov 3 Summit
integration with cultural aspects of city	Nov 3 Summit
transportation to the library from other parts of the city	Nov 3 Summit
need better access for very young and elderly	Nov 3 Summit
integrate transport options	Nov 3 Summit
designs that are age relevant	Nov 3 Summit
librarians as guides for information	Nov 3 Summit
public library as de facto school library/support	Nov 3 Summit
integrating access with schools, e.g. children's materials to helpful testing reading levels	Nov 3 Summit
book drops-books too in 2035	Nov 3 Summit
maintain diversity of formats	Nov 3 Summit
technology classes	Nov 3 Summit
separate entrance/space for children	Nov 3 Summit
build a new library downtown, outreach to day care and senior facilities and support school libraries	Comment Forms
central branch at current location with branches at each of the "community center/village centers." No new building! The one we already have is unique and has a unique location. Branches are the answer for needed space, etc.	Comment Forms
focus on children and learning	Comment Forms
have book returns at schools and other community gathering places	Comment Forms
inter-library cooperative system. More computers in house. More e-books	Comment Forms
more outreach	Comment Forms
move to west side (where population center is)	Comment Forms
see previous	Comment Forms
<i>strong web-based library resources, ebooks</i>	Comment Forms
updated website and search engine with added patron recommendations	Comment Forms
I think an easily accesable location is imperative.	Virtual Summit

LIBRARY SERVICES

I don't believe we need new library and that those funds would be better spent someplace else to promote the existing library and add to its services available to the community	Virtual Summit
Already doing an excellent job.	Virtual Summit
Better parking	Virtual Summit
Book mobile and possibly a branch.	Virtual Summit
Branches in different parts of town.	Virtual Summit
By locating services near the city's population center. By NOT relocating services even further from the city's population center.	Virtual Summit
Check out larger libraries and college libraries to see what they are doing.	Virtual Summit
Choose a location for a new building that is best for the library. Not what is best for a few financially. I support the use of the WEB.	Virtual Summit
consider all members what does a 1st grader want from the library as opposed to a senior.	Virtual Summit
Current location is hidden in First Addition and hard to get to/park.	Virtual Summit
Expand online resources.	Virtual Summit
I inquire as to why the Library doesn't have this book or that book and am always told that the Library doesn't have room. There are wide-open empty spaces at the WEB -- why can't the Library 'house' books there (which would be very easy to transfer when reserved by a patron)?	Virtual Summit
I love the e-book rental program.	Virtual Summit
I think the library is good as it is.	Virtual Summit
If people want to use the library there are already many ways to access it.	Virtual Summit
Increase accessibility of online access (audio downloads etc.)	Virtual Summit
Integrate with the schools and connect the parents grandparents and kids through library activities	Virtual Summit
It seems that it is quite accessible now --- short of being next door to someone. The library can't be everywhere. But as I believe it does having book return facilities in the community is a good thing. Operating hours are important too -- so that people can get there when they are available.	Virtual Summit
Love the online circulation/hold ability. The Library2Go feature is fantastic only wish they had more mac based audiobooks. Lots more ebooks available than in the past.	Virtual Summit
More central and visible location or secondary smaller locations scattered through out the community that kids can get to easily.	Virtual Summit
More ebook choices and available copies	Virtual Summit
More online programs	Virtual Summit
More online services	Virtual Summit
More use of online tools	Virtual Summit
Move it to downtown LO with an extension in Lake Grove.	Virtual Summit
Move to West End Bldg	Virtual Summit
N/C	Virtual Summit
Needs more parking spaces.	Virtual Summit
On line.	Virtual Summit
online services.	Virtual Summit
Put satellite computer resource centers in those dormant schools. Request donations of older computers for use as search engines.	Virtual Summit
Put something in Lake Grove where most all people drive through.	Virtual Summit
Same as previous answer	Virtual Summit
See previous answer about restrooms also accessible by bus or public transportation for physically challenged members of our community. More child-friendly library sections. I don't know how to make it more accessible for folks with fading eyesight - maybe more effective lighting? Also reaching to the bottom shelves is hard sometimes for the elderly or even baby-boomers with bad knees!	Virtual Summit
seems to be doing a good job - continue what you are doing	Virtual Summit
Service organizations are always looking for opportunities get volunteers to deliver and pick up from the homebound	Virtual Summit
Several locations. In schools businesses.	Virtual Summit
The library location has to be carefully considered to be accessible to the entire population of Lake Oswego. The downtown location is located at the eastern edge and not near the majority of the residents. The WEB building would be a more central location. However if downtown is the preferred location due to the synergy and economic development benefits then a branch library or a childrens library should be located in the WEB building. Secondly access to the online resources need to be improved- both in quantity and ease of navigation. Website improvements for easier searches navigation etc. would be a huge improvement. Also I have to go to neighboring Multnomah and Tigard library since the LO library never seems to have enough copies of the material i need. Its a library I use by putting things 'on hold' and waiting for it.	Virtual Summit
The library should be located in a heavily trafficked central location (near Melinnium Plaza?).	Virtual Summit

LIBRARY SERVICES

The library should stay in downtown LO for accessibility. Do not move it away from shops and restaurants. It should stay where it currently is because of the cost of a new library.	Virtual Summit
Try putting an 'Annex Library' in another part of the city.	Virtual Summit
We need to have more book drops and get the one in Lake Grove functional.	Virtual Summit
We very much enjoy using the online resources. Please continue to provide and expand.	Virtual Summit
How should we measure the success of the library?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
circulation per capita, cost per circulation, registration of library users	Comment Forms
community satisfaction	Comment Forms
improve utilization	Comment Forms
library use	Comment Forms
Since libraries are closing elsewhere, we should be happy that our library is so heavily used	Comment Forms
use both in the library, web, outreach	Comment Forms
utilization rate, % community use/support actively	Comment Forms
volume of participation, volume of books, media, borrowers. Use of building to encourage programming. Use of building to encourage citizen participation	Comment Forms

RECREATION

POLICY QUESTIONS	
Residents have identified that the City should ensure that all residents have access to essential recreation services which includes Exercise and Sports Play for Children and Nature Experiences. This is currently not available equally throughout the city and would be difficult to provide in certain areas. To fill geographic gaps and provide equitable access to these experiences recreation facilities such as traditional playgrounds nature play areas sports fields sports courts trails and opportunities to enjoy nature will need to be renovated or new ones will need to be developed. How much and under what circumstances should the city invest in providing new parks and recreation opportunities in these underserved areas? Should the city provide public places if private recreation facilities are available?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Evaluation of closed school properties?	Nov 3 Summit
What about all residential areas?	Nov 3 Summit
Improve access to existing parks instead of acquiring new parkland	Nov 3 Summit
General agreement that equal access not needed throughout	Nov 3 Summit
Be opportunistic. May be very small scale	Nov 3 Summit
Make kids access easier, safer to explore on their own	Nov 3 Summit
Opportunities to get out and walk	Nov 3 Summit
bike lanes would help with access	Nov 3 Summit
some parks are hard to find.	Nov 3 Summit
Some parks are poorly marked	Nov 3 Summit
some parks have no parking	Nov 3 Summit
table agrees: improve access to existing parks is the most important	Nov 3 Summit
wouldn't make much sense in many areas: large lots with play space	Nov 3 Summit
if a large new subdivision happens, should it be required to build a park (what is the trigger?)	Nov 3 Summit
600 acres of parks might actually be pretty good for a city this size	Nov 3 Summit
taking care of what we have, like natural areas	Nov 3 Summit
invest in better ways to get around the city and be active	Nov 3 Summit
connections with respect to private property	Nov 3 Summit
how are uses spread out. Should focus on access to different services. What do people need?	Nov 3 Summit
Add/Delete activities/services to/from existing parks	Nov 3 Summit
Always demand for sports fields	Nov 3 Summit
Parks are underused, but dog parks are overused	Nov 3 Summit
need to promote and expand small parks to become more of a magnet	Nov 3 Summit
parks should be developed for different experiences	Nov 3 Summit
ball fields are at maximum capacity whenever they are open	Nov 3 Summit
Should the city provide public places if private facilities are available?	Nov 3 Summit
aquatic center would be good. Would be nice to have a year-round facility	Nov 3 Summit
ball fields vs. hunt club, can't see city doing that	Nov 3 Summit
already have private fitness clubs-can't see city going into that	Nov 3 Summit
city should look at cost of service then determine if service is needed-cost/benefit per usage	Nov 3 Summit
should be a variety of parks options	Nov 3 Summit
more important to care for existing parks than provide new ones	Nov 3 Summit
misleading question	Nov 3 Summit
twin walugas-split each side-not maintaining open space	Nov 3 Summit
less money to maintain existing-take care of existing	Nov 3 Summit
dog park (school fields are being used)	Nov 3 Summit
expand paths/trails, include dogs	Nov 3 Summit
bike paths to downtown	Nov 3 Summit
connect parks with paths--westside too	Nov 3 Summit
sports fields used early hours	Nov 3 Summit
how to measure success in recreation? Access	Nov 3 Summit
cautious about luscher Farm	Nov 3 Summit
nice thought, not critical, in future something to try for	Nov 3 Summit
not sure if kids will be allowed to walk to park	Nov 3 Summit
access to touchstone reservoir	Nov 3 Summit
take advantage of small opportunities for improvements	Nov 3 Summit
take better care of parks we have now, don't buy new ones	Nov 3 Summit
fix drainage	Nov 3 Summit
volunteer coordinator - put together volunteer group to work with city to help maintain the ball fields	Nov 3 Summit
community service day to clean up parks/fields	Nov 3 Summit
youth teen service groups too	Nov 3 Summit
its not that far now to walk to parks	Nov 3 Summit
use of public transportation	Nov 3 Summit

RECREATION

facilities in open space?	Nov 3 Summit
better routes to get from park to park	Nov 3 Summit
some are fine with access	Nov 3 Summit
would be nice to have park on kruse way-lake grove corridor	Nov 3 Summit
filling gaps	Nov 3 Summit
accessible by walking	Nov 3 Summit
plan for future parks	Nov 3 Summit
plug in our parks to regional trail + parks	Nov 3 Summit
maintaining current parks	Nov 3 Summit
trail to connect luscher farm to cooks butte	Nov 3 Summit
connection from commercial to parks-boones ferry	Nov 3 Summit
secure trails on private property	Nov 3 Summit
connection between tryon creek and foothills	Nov 3 Summit
bike path from LO to Portland	Nov 3 Summit
Canoe access to tualatin river in LO	Nov 3 Summit
willamette access for canoe	Nov 3 Summit
discuss public access to oswego lake	Nov 3 Summit
don't feel the gaps	Nov 3 Summit
better serve city and residents	Nov 3 Summit
don't develop existing park land	Nov 3 Summit
take care of what you've got	Nov 3 Summit
city focuses on services that private doesn't provide	Nov 3 Summit
less city services	Nov 3 Summit
greater public benefit comes from city services	Nov 3 Summit
access for all is essential	Nov 3 Summit
access to reacreation is important but maximizing utilization and maintenance before investing in new facilities	Comment Forms
Does the gap map take into accoun private facilities and exclusive neighborhoods? Policy #1 includes them when considering new investment. If the public is not welcome the public is not obligated to invest there	Comment Forms
don't add new parks. Maximize utilization at existing parks and improve connectivity.	Comment Forms
generally, no	Comment Forms
maximize and optimize the existing parks. Need more fields for soccer/lacrosse	Comment Forms
only invest when taxpayers can afford it	Comment Forms
Provide more recreation on the west side as community matures	Comment Forms
serve highest demand sports/dog parks, etc	Comment Forms
The city should conduct a needs assessment to determine how facilities are currently used and how they could be better utilized. That should determine how we invest to improve and expand existing facilities	Comment Forms
the city should not make the lake public. We have far more important needs	Comment Forms
Under no circumstances should the city be looking to expand new opportunities-parks are easy to get but very expensive to maintain	Comment Forms
underserved areas are within biking, walking, or driving distance from any home in LO. Lets make sure we maintain and enhance the existing infrastructure. We need to focus our efforts	Comment Forms
We should ensure that all of our existing facilities are well maintained and upgraded where necessary before investing in new facilities	Comment Forms
I think yjat our recreation facilities are great as is but I also realize that as the years go by and Lake Oswego increases in population we must keep ahead of the curve in providing new parks etc. I am definitely opposed to opening the lake itself to public use without proper safeguards to prevent over crowding or possible vandalism or other private property encroachment. It is really too bad that the city did not buy the one public swim/boat rental area when it had the chance. But if something on that order could be worked out somewhere on the lake I would not be opposed. But to just open up the lake to all comers would be a catastrophe in my opinion.	Virtual Summit
I believe that city has adequate park space at this time	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

<p>* L. O. actually does have a large number of fine Parks already not to mention boundaries on two major rivers plus huge Tryon Creek just at our NW edge ... I don't see that availability of these resources is a real problem. In a hilly community with a lake in the middle you will never have exactly equal distribution of all resources but this isn't such a big town that great resources are very far from all residents. *I am OK with the City providing Parks and Nature trails at least two sports fields a Public Pool resource and Public Docks on the Willamette and a Public Tennis resource ... but we should not try to publically reproduce very much else. As someone who spent most of his adult life working multiple jobs so I could finally afford to buy a property on the Lake I am very worried that so many folks seem to suddenly think that this man-made reservoir with its water rights for power generation is somehow a public goody that anyone should be able to go boating on. We the current stewards of the Lake and generations before us have spent huge amounts of money in increased property taxes lake maintenance fees and easily doubled property values to maintain and improve this artificial body of water. If members of the public at</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>1) People made decisions to live where they live. In such a tightly-packed community it is not necessary or appropriate to try to create 'equitable' access to these experiences for many reasons. 2) It is usually best if private facilities provide services and the city does not. This is how the economy works - people build businesses and offer services to those who care enough to pay for them. The city was not created to replicate services already offered by private business.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>1. I think the city should improve what already exists rather than invest in more parks etc. There are plenty of parks just make them more usable and more inviting. 2. The city should not consider private recreation when deciding what to offer the public. It is good to have a choice between private and public. The city should leave private rec private and public rec public ie leave the lake and country club private.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>As a parent of young kids on the west side of town I would welcome the addition of a park that could be accessed without taking a car. It isn't possible to take bikes up the hill to the Westridge school yard (too steep for young kids to ride) and the the schools on Jean are too far. However most recreational facilities such as sports fields open areas and such are often accessed by driving regardless. In these situations I don't believe the City should be investing limited funds to save people a couple minutes drive to areas that already exist. Futhermore I do not believe it is a city's right to attempt forced access to areas that are currently private especially if there are similar recreational facilities to be found in the surrounding geography.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>As appropriate</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>City does not need to provide when private recreation facilities are available. Planning for the future - the next generation may need more because they will stay physically active longer in their lifetime. The changes are how the physical activities can be met</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>City services are adequate now and don't need to be expanded. Private recreational facilities are no business of the City. City should not meddle in private recreation facilities. Don't invent problems that aren't there.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>City should not provide recreation facilities if private ones are available. In terms of investment with all the other programs uderway I suspect the City can not afford mcuh if anything on this program.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Do not agree with this at all.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Do not think the city should continue to acquire land for sports fields only. Also think that the city does not need any more lake front property. It is ridiculous to keep making concrete parks along the lake downtown. The two we have are plenty. Do not think the city needs to provide facilities where private facilities are available with the exception of a swimming pool as young children need to learn to swim.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Equal access to recreational facilities is a worthy goal and Lake Osego is known for its pleasant living environment. However residents know the range of facilities in the locale before they purchase or rent their home - so I do not see this as a high priority. Further before the city devotes limited resources to expanding recreational facilities it must ensure that basic city services are maintained without the substantial tax / service fee increases that we have seen in recent years.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Every area is not the same and to try to provide every recreation service to every geographic area is not necessary. We have great services now and should concentrate on maintaining them to a high degree within the financial resources we have available. The city does not need to provide public places if private recreation facilities are available.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Find a way to let all residents of LO utilize the Lake Grove Swim Park not just a tiny percentage of the city. We have a crappy swim park that all of the city can use and a great swim park that scanty few use. Don't spend more money doing heroic things do the logical modest and obvious things first. I know that it is stipulated in the original deed or whatever that only that small percentage can use the park. Figure it out. THAT would be enhance the recreation in LO more than anything else. If impossible then enhance the swim park available to all as a last resort.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Fix what we have first! If you really believe in sustainability repurpose what we have and for please take care of it.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Focus on improving existing parks vs. adding new ones....no new public places or parks are needed.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>For a city our size and with schools closing due to an aging population I believe we have enough parks and recreation opportunities. We just need to be certain to maintain what we already have and to follow the intent of the natural properties rather than trying to slip in sport facilities in natural areas.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>

RECREATION

For starters the city needs to MAINTAIN WHAT THEY HAVE. Then have trails leading to what is existing today. The city should not be providing public facilities when private facilities exist- for example the tennis center on Raseekh should NOT be built as there are existing facilities not far from the city.	Virtual Summit
George Rogers Park Boat Ramp and Boat docks should be developed again- they used to be there. Lake access for the public is not an option and not a good idea for a great deal of reasons. Other than River access I think this City has outstanding parks and a lot of them.	Virtual Summit
Get more ball fields. Stop buying natural parks. We are way short of ball fields. Use Luscher farms for more fields.	Virtual Summit
Hold off on new parks and rec and on public facilities when private places exist. Honor people's financial concerns. Spend on essentials for now.	Virtual Summit
Hopefully city planning would address the gaps and not continue to pursue focal community development.	Virtual Summit
I attended the summit and noted how many people who are in the 'underserved' areas were shocked that they are considered 'underserved.' We are fortunate to have so many recreational areas available throughout our city. I would suggest not investing in providing more but instead making sure we can afford and maintain what we already have. I would also suggest that people have the opportunity to live where they want to live -- some may choose to live closer to (and possibly pay more for) various recreational options some people may not want to live close to the options. I don't think the city should provide public recreation if private options are available.	Virtual Summit
I believe that we should focus on maintaining our existing excellent facilities and playgrounds which seem to be	Virtual Summit
<i>I can't think of an area that is 'underserved.' I believe people can walk to a variety of parks and trails in each neighborhood. I've been told I live in an 'underserved' area... but I have a lot of opportunities available to me.</i>	Virtual Summit
I do not support any attempts to open Oswego Lake for public use.	Virtual Summit
I don't see the need to add more parks. We already have an amazing amount of parks. I recently noticed a park or viewing area in the old bank lot at the end of the Lake. Looks lovely but haven't noticed a heavy useage. As a resident and parent please stop spending on areas that do not generate any revenue. Stop spending take a break and enjoy what we have.	Virtual Summit
I don't want the city to 'acquire' any additional land. Not only is there the cost of obtaining the land there is a continuing expense of maintaining whatever goes onto the land. There are currently many unused areas. Plus there should be some school areas opening up as the student population drops. First and foremost there should be NO more taking of private property nor restrictions of the use of private property.	Virtual Summit
I feel that adequate opportunities are available throughout the city. Building new parks can have a significant environmental cost if natural areas are converted to playing fields and I do not support that.	Virtual Summit
I strongly feel it is NOT the city's place to provide access to the public on private places. I think this question is referring to the Lake. I feel there is access to the river in Lake Oswego to do all the water activities and there is not even a life guard there in summer. This is open to the public and should be promoted and used more. There are also public viewing areas to the lake and if in school there is the swim park. I would be very careful to get involved in this....I feel the city has other important issues to get involved in. I don't even have a lake easement and I have several friends that are very generous to take company out in their boat or entertain. I got an email from 'River Keepers' and I would watch this organization! I have experience with them on a project near Hood River. They have held up projects cost tons of money and got people not even in the area. They do email blasts to raise money for their organization. They have a group of Lawyer's that make money off of this type of issue!!!	Virtual Summit
I think it is important to provide public access for swimming and human powered boating. That body of water has utilized public funds and should be available to the public.	Virtual Summit
I think that the best and most efficient way to encourage recreation opportunities is to improve pathways (lighting sidewalks plantings) that connect all parts of the city. Think geo-caching with city groupons as destination rewards.	Virtual Summit
I think the City has these options and areas in place now except for plans at Lusher Farm. I think it is fine to have PRIVATE areas. Example the lake. I don't live on the lake or have access but I can use the river if I want to go to the water or be invited by someone. The school kids have their areas for swimming and swim lessons. I have read a few articles in the Review and I think this is a waste of the City's time when we have so many other important issues like the City Hall and police areas. I also think this is opening up a huge can of worms to the City if all recreation areas are open to the public. That means the public and not just Lake Oswego citizens. Open the areas and open it to the increase gangs etc. I would REALLY think about this and not just take the direction of a few people.	Virtual Summit
I think the City needs to focus in the current environment on maintaining what you have well versus expanding. As to 'recreational facilities' the City should not get into the gym, tennis, or other types of facilities. There are many	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

<p>I think there is plenty of opportunity and access for open spaces and recreation throughout Lake Oswego. I would like to see the land use of the old Standard Insurance facility utilized better as it currently doesn't seem to engage the community. One of the things that residents pride themselves on in Lake Oswego is the easy access and abundant opportunity to enjoy nature.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>I think we should support by what is in demand and how does it serve. We should be efficient and make better use of what we have for example maybe lit fields to extend hours or use to meet demand or turf that take less to maintain but offer more options. I think Geographically thinking is inefficient thinking. Lets do better with what we have for the whole community.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>I think what we have is fine for now. The city has put alot into fields and parks over the years and as I use them and watch there use I find sports field being use the most. I hardly ever see more than 6 to 10 people at the Foothills park and Millenium is used mostly for the open market. I think it is time to put dollars we have into street improvement and paying off debt.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>I would suggest we manage what we have in these economic times. can you say 'reset' on all these efforts</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>In my opinion there are plenty of parks and recreation facilities throughout the city. If anything I believe too much money has been spent on things like athletic fields. A lot of the use of these fields is actually coming from people from outside our community. In lake oswego I do not know of a single area that has more than a five minute drive or a 20 minute walk to a park.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>In providing recreational activities to residents private property rights must be recognized and maintained. We have a basketball hoop in our yard and it would violate my rights if everybody in the city were given equal access to my yard and hoop. The same reasoning should apply to access to the lake and it's shareholders. The shareholders collectively own the lake and pay for it's maintenance. The collective rights of the shareholders should be maintained and recognized just like an individuals rights.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>In some instances private recreation may substitute for public for example some of the facilities in Mt. Park. The question likely academic then is whether the City ought to subsidize some of these facilities. Doubt that can be done and keep the facilities open only to a selected clientele.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>It is possible to walk or bike to any number of parks playgrounds trails and so on from any place in Lake Oswego. 'Equitable' is a fuzzy undefined term. Furthermore people select where they live for the most part and what they are willing to pay for. The City should not be in the business of giving access to all things to every one. The number of parks and recreational opportunities is very ample and greater than in many communities.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>It seems that there are many recreational service site already within the city and quite accessible. It seems most important to maintain existing sites at the current level or even better; not increase sites and then not adequately maintain. I think the city well served with the combination of private and public.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Keep what we have and maintain them</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>L.O. is a very small city and currently there is a park or facility close enough for all to enjoy. Yes private facilities certainly should be recognized as recreational options in lieu of city owned locations. Many time a private corporation can do a better job in offering programs than the city with its restricted budgets. Surely L.O. is recognizing L.O. School District fields and programs as essential recreation services offered.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Lake Oswego is known for it's diverse parks ranging from high developed sports fields to natural areas. In addition to the city parks we have school fields school swim parks and two large State Parks (Mary S Young and Tryon Creek) on either end of the city. To me it's not a question of new parks but a question maintaining the existing parks and offering park and rec classes.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Lake Oswego should have public access as it is a treasure to all. It should not only be for the chosen to use. There are many educational opportunities that are currently lost. A large amount of public funding has also been used on this lake; If the public has no access these funds should be returned to the public with interest. If it were open to the public even more funding would be available. Invasive species already requires more planning and a permit there could be more awareness at the lake (as there is at other areas) to keep it pristine. I have wanted to go on the lake for years but currently have no access either.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Let people drive to the existing places. Of course it can not be equal.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Lets make sure our existing parks are world class and well maintained. We need to make sure we have enough recreation area for our children and adult population. The first priority is to maintain what we have.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>LO isn't so large that all citizens don't have access to essential recreation services. We live in a time of mobility that enables all of us to get to the existing recreational resources of our city.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>

RECREATION

More athletic field space is necessary. Additional parks like Lucsher Farms with a soccer field and dog park should be added to the north side of town - a location like the Hunt Club. Leave the Lake Corp private and have no additional public access for watercraft. It is already too crowded and too costly for local government to get involved. There are many other lakes and waterways in Oregon for public use and enjoyment. Property values will plummet and water quality may be endangered by opening up the Lake to general public use.	Virtual Summit
Need to recognize aging demographics and ability of that population to afford the tax infrastructure required to sustain the recreation infrastructure. 'Affordable housing must consider the property tax burden'	Virtual Summit
New parks or facilities should be made available only if there is extra money to do so. LO has SO many parks and recreational facilities. The city isn't that big. Underserved areas can access many parks with a short drive or walk.	Virtual Summit
No new 'investments' in more parks!	Virtual Summit
Only invest in new parks when current parks are saturated and overused. Maintain what we have	Virtual Summit
<i>Only when exiting assets such as the current integrity of Lucher Farm is not disturbed. Yes the city should provide some public place if private facilities are available.</i>	Virtual Summit
Oswego Lake is a public recreational opportunity that is centrally located and should be available to all LO citizens. Retrofitting existing facilities could be retrofitted for access at minimal cost.	Virtual Summit
Oswego Lake is a public recreational resource that is centrally located. It should be retrofitted for year round recreation for all LO citizens. The costs would be minimal but the public benefits would be huge. If private recreation facilities such as the Mountain Park recreation center are available yet underutilized the city should explore whether partnerships could result in win-win opportunities.	Virtual Summit
other than a tennis center swim facility and community center the city currently has adequate parks to serve all citizens. yes the city does need to provide public recreation facilities even when private are available	Virtual Summit
Overall the parks are very good in LO. I don't agree with placing large artificial turf sports fields at Luscher Farm. Sports fields do not fit in with the character of the area. But yes sport fields are needed. Continue to use schools. Evaluate the city golf course. if it is losing money and has poor use maybe we need to use the land for something else.	Virtual Summit
Park space is limited and should be expanded. The city needs at least 3 extra fields to cater for growth in sports like lacrosse and rugby. The city would benefit from a quality swim facility	Virtual Summit
Parks and recreation facilities make wonderful assets to any city and Lake Oswego should maximize its opportunities to provide areas for the people to enjoy the outdoors meet with other residents and strengthen their sense of community. The city should provide more spaces for the public to use and enjoy.	Virtual Summit
Private facilities should not be considered but not every part of the city is required to have facilities its not that big of a city people go out to the market etc. they can get to an existing facility however if something new is going to be developed what is already in the area should be considered	Virtual Summit
recognizing the continuing decline of children in the primary grades I see no justification of building new sports fields. Luscher farm should continue in its present form and existing school playgrounds and sports facilities should be fully utilized until school population trends upward.	Virtual Summit
recreation is adequate at this time based on current and forecasted economic outlook.	Virtual Summit
Recreation is the role of the private sector. The phrase 'essential recreation services' is definitely a consultant phrase. Recreational opportunities are not an essential service let alone one that the city should provide. WE have adequate parks right now and in this community we have ample private health facilities.	Virtual Summit
See e-mailed comment that would not fit here	Virtual Summit
stop spending money please	Virtual Summit
Take care of what has already been purchased and built. Don't expand the system	Virtual Summit
Take care of what we have first! Our public natural areas are in terrible condition and the City should put our tax money to maintaining what we purchased with public money before any new parks are considered. We have plenty of park and natural area land that could be updated to meet needs--change grass to turf for more year round sports use add lights etc... If trails and 'connectivity' is desired it must be done in a way that respects private property--the use of exactions and takings is not acceptable. And the most convenient place for children to play is in their own backyards. The city's policies should not prevent families from using their private residential property as is currently the case with sensitive lands.	Virtual Summit
The accepted theory that everyone should have a park within 1/2 mile may be incorrect. It is apparent that citizens	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

<p>The city currently has plenty of parks maybe not in my neighborhood within a 2 minute walk but parks are available. I would like to see the tennis courts on McVey by the fire station cleaned up and made usable. It is a great location for children to learn and practice without tying up the income based tennis center. The surface is deplorable and seldom cleaned if ever. Other park facilities are spit shined and well maintained except this one. The city can't be all things to all residents. Private facilities provide a service to the city not just their members. For a town of only 35 000 to have 2 golf courses is rare. Perhaps if OLCC did not exist the Muni would be in better shape. It used to be groomed far better than it is now and was a money maker paid its way. What happened? Can I make a recommendation on your selection of type fonts for this slide show? At a quick glance the letter 'l' looks like an 'I' giving the impression that folks at city hall can't spell don't proof read or dont care</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city has enough green space and parks. We need a community center with pool for all ages to use.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city has recently provided Foothills Furnace and Sundeleaf and Centennial parks. They are fabulous and available to all. The city should take a breather and focus resources on other neccessities. Hazalia was also a great addition. The city should not invest in a tennis center when there are private clubs and public outdoor courts available. The city should absolutely not get involved with making Oswego Lake a public body of water. The citizens of Lake Oswego are so fortunate to have three bodies of water available. More than 1/3 of residents have chosen to invest in a home that has lake rights. The lake is an extremely busy place that can not withstand more people and boats. The river launches are perfect for kayaks canoes and paddleboards. The new dock at Foothills is terrific. The swim parks at the lake are perfect and available to swimmers.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city is always better if there are adequate recreation facilities which make it more liveable. Yes the city should provide public places if private facilities are available. Everyone cannot afford to belong to a country club or tennis club.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should concentrate on maintaining current parks and public lands which are in disrepair. Many of the 'underserved areas' are already developed residential. There may be oportunites for small facilities such as playgrounds in some of these areas but not much more.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The City should develop private access to public properties SO LONG AS PRIVATE PROPERTIES ARE NOT IMPOSED UPON.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should invest and make available to the public parking and boating access to Lake Oswego.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should not acquire new land until it can adequately take care of what we currently own. We should encourage businesses and private facilities. Otherwise the only residents of this city will be the city staff. No one else will be able to afford the taxes and fees.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should not be in competition with private enterprise. The city already provides enough. NO expansion.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The City should not be looking to acquire new property in the near term. Focus on renovating and maintaining what we have.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should not be providing any additional (or expanding/remodeling existing) public places especially if there already exists private recreation facilities. The city is almost entirely built-out so it should not be looking to provide new parks or 'recreation opportunities' in any part of the city. What we have works well (with the exception of the LO Public Golf Course - the city should close that money pit ASAP).</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should not further invest in new parks.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should not 'invest' in parks at thjis time. LO is in severe financial straights and until there is a resolution of the \$20 000 000 outstangin loan on the WEB there should be no further new projects now. Do not reinvent the wheel. If private facilities are available then those who use them should pay a mambership fee. and should not be duplicated by publice facilities.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should not provide public places if private facilities are available. It is not the city's business to compete with our corporate citizens whose owners and employees support the city and its schools.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The City should provide access to the Lake via 'clean' rental canoes kayaks or row boats from one or more of the City -owned properties bordering the Lake. One possible location for such access could be the newly-acquired lots next to the dam on McVey; another is the new park next to the Lake Theatre.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The City should provide more access to the river through the newly remodled George Rogers Park and Foothills and the docks</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should try to make/build accessable small parks and ball fields in neighborhoods. Concentrating ball fields in hard to get to areas such a Lescher does not make any sense. City and school district should work together. There is more than enough golf space in the city. Lescher area is too valuable as open/natural area to pave or turf.</p>	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

<p>The decision on recreational facilities should be based on a long term projection of the City's demographics. For instance it is my understanding that our Community is aging as demonstrated by current and anticipated closures of schools. Correspondingly I would think that retaining Luscher Farm has a much more long term benefit than another soccer or baseball field. I can not help but share my opinion on how much less the dog exercise area is being used now that the fancy 'dog parks' have replaced the old park on Stafford Road. I remember driving by there and seeing 15-25 cars now there's maybe 5-10. The City SHOULD NOT provide public places if private facilities are available! WHY?</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>The people of the city have enough access to the lake through the Lake Oswego Swim Park the Lake Grove Swim Park and beautiful sights from Southshore Road Millennium Plaza and the new Park on State Street. There would be many difficulties faced if the lake suddenly were to have more boats and swimmers than it has. In the summer it already is hazardous to have too many lake abiding leagally allowed boats. I have seen children swimming almost hit by boats and these are drivers who have gone through rigorous tests and fees for the boats as well. Boats have to be meticulously cleaned and pass a certification that they don't carry species harmful to the lake. Regarding the 'just kayaks and non-motorized boats.' I have listened to 'quiet' canoes and swimmers at 5:00 a.m. wake me up as they talk to each other with every sentence heard over the still waters through to my bedroom (I have learned more gossip than I should know from these supposed 'quiet' people who are allowed on the lake...what might I learn from those newcomers who have no idea how easily sound travels across the water as the kayak and canoe at 5 am.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>The private facilities are the lake itself and should remain private.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>The property-tax paying citizens cannot afford more taxes to build more facilities. We are maxed out!!!</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>The question is very open. We are a small city. We have not fulfilled what was promised at Luscher farms for sports so we need to finish first what was agreed to before taking on new projects of any sort. yes the city should provide public places if reasonable to do so. We can certainly use a swim facility perhaps shared with West Linn to keep costs down.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>There is currently not equitable access to turf fields (multi-purpose) for soccer and lacrosse on the Lake Oswego HS side. Lakeridge HS has 2 turf fields on their campus and 1 adjacent at Hazelia. I work to support both Boys & Girls HS lacrosse at LOHS and we are frequently without a turf field for practice and even needed games. Our boys program continues to grow but is constrained by field availability. Both Lakeridge and West Linn programs have access to a second turf field to help alleviate conflicts and provide opportunities for participants in their sports programs. I believe there needs to be additional turf fields. If not at Hazelia it makes sense to add turf at our junior highs especially in the LOHS area. We have plenty of parks scattered throughout the city. I believe we need additional athletic field facilities for all ages of youth.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>There is no need to invest further in recreation facilities. The citizens are fortunate to have what we have. The city should maintain the space it has. The city should also support the private recreation opportunites that exist in the community (i.e. lake golf course hunt club etc.) and not try force its way on these existing resources. It will destroy the resources that make our community unique.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>These questions are too high level generic and seemingly black and white for me to comment on. For example my answer to whether the city should provide public places when private recreation is available is sometimes.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>this city has so many parks and outdoor recreation available...everyone has access from within short distance of where we live. I still think the West End building is still a great location for a large multipurpose community center.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Uncertain without more detail being provided regarding the nature of the facilities the proposed location of the facilities and the cost. However those areas supporting/carrying the burden of higher densities are the areas most in need of and most deserving of such recreational services.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>We already have sufficient parks and recreation opportunities. Please Do not spend any more money on creating more. Just budge enough to keep them up. If the private facilities are available the city definitely should not try to compete.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>We do not need to invest in new parks. We don't have unlimited money we have plenty of park space but we need to invest in additional turf fields for kids' sports and other upgrades and maintenance. I don't think there are 'gaps' in park space!</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>We have enough parks</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>We have more than enough parks and recreation facilities now. they should be maintained and community involvement in maintenance should be encouraged. No to queston 2.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>we have too many parks as it is</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>What 'residents'? The 832 survey respondents or the 200 Community Summit attendees? Where are these 'geographical gaps'? What would be the cost to fill those 'gaps'? The city should not compete with private facilities. Rather it should encourage and/or facilitate private investments in providing recreation facilities on a fee-based pay per use basis.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>What 'residents'? The 832 survey respondents or the 200 Community Summit attendees? Where are these</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Yes definitely public places. Private should not even be counted as only those who can afford can use.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>

RECREATION

Yes good athletic facilities are essential to a healthy population.	Virtual Summit
yes the city should provide public places even though private facilities are available.	Virtual Summit
The community said that renovating existing parks to maximize city investment in existing facilities is the highest priority. Is it more important to care for existing assets that may serve fewer people or to provide new ones that will provide more equity? At what point should the city not invest in facilities that provide limited service?	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
question: are the people in underserved areas unhappy with their lack of access?	Nov 3 Summit
along lake and canals, have different recreational opportunities, even if in "gap" area	Nov 3 Summit
some unincorporated areas have development opportunities that are likely to come over the next 25 years	Nov 3 Summit
don't want parks to fall into disrepair	Nov 3 Summit
prioritization factors - how is this done?	Nov 3 Summit
for natural areas, what is the best use?	Nov 3 Summit
not all recreational areas need to have grass	Nov 3 Summit
Partnering with schools might be a way to maximize recreational opportunities	Nov 3 Summit
Increase efficiencies/maximize existing facilities instead of developing new	Nov 3 Summit
What are the needs at each park?	Nov 3 Summit
Invest in what we have	Nov 3 Summit
there are policies that are limiting what people can do in their own back yard. That is where you want to play first.	Nov 3 Summit
some of these areas there are no vacant land for parks-fully developed with homes	Nov 3 Summit
small playground in neighborhood is very different than citywide facility like a driving range	Nov 3 Summit
Great thing about LO parks--they're all unique	Nov 3 Summit
discussion has been about outdoor parks. Need indoor place for kids to play/get energy out in winter, like a rec center	Nov 3 Summit
could closed schools be used as community centers?	Nov 3 Summit
start by asking what recreation returns to everyone	Nov 3 Summit
community schools plus parks plus recreation	Nov 3 Summit
use closing schools as parks and facilities-upgrade and use fields as community parks. Use all-weather turf	Nov 3 Summit
don't want luscher farm to go away but ok with the ball fields at luscher (hazalia). Kids use them all the time	Nov 3 Summit
if one more field could go on the farm, but move the ag to another area-OK	Nov 3 Summit
move behind hazalia-happy compromise	Nov 3 Summit
skate park-permanent fixtures. Location good-improve fixtures	Nov 3 Summit
sidewalks	Nov 3 Summit
hunt club? Historic bldg should be preserved but not for city to do-find private investor or go non-profit.	Nov 3 Summit
have more all weather fields (better drainage)	Nov 3 Summit
better field maintenance	Nov 3 Summit
connecting bike and walk paths	Nov 3 Summit
ivy removal	Nov 3 Summit
lake access question: canoe or kayak once a year?	Nov 3 Summit
depends on cost	Nov 3 Summit
want new indoor tennis center	Nov 3 Summit
beware of over-design and cost	Nov 3 Summit
balance new with old	Nov 3 Summit
look for future expansion opportunities	Nov 3 Summit
connect river run #1 and #2	Nov 3 Summit
Connect foothills and george rogers park	Nov 3 Summit
correcting fish passage issues	Nov 3 Summit
better kiosks system to explain where things are	Nov 3 Summit
better bike system	Nov 3 Summit
farmers market in lake grove area	Nov 3 Summit
how can city measure balance and connectivity?	Nov 3 Summit
leverage dollars, offer tax credits to gain land	Nov 3 Summit
maintain what we have-build up reserve over time	Nov 3 Summit
access should be redefined greater than .5 miles	Nov 3 Summit
invest in parks with highest use	Nov 3 Summit
priority is not acquisition	Nov 3 Summit
connect roehr and george rogers parks	Nov 3 Summit
keep city gardens and community supported agriculture	Nov 3 Summit
maintain Luscher farms as a farm	Nov 3 Summit

RECREATION

maintain existing sports fields	Nov 3 Summit
dog parks	Nov 3 Summit
access to recreation is important but maximizing utilization and maintenance before investing in new facilities	Comment Forms
Does the gap map take into account private facilities and exclusive neighborhoods? Policy #1 includes them when considering new investment. If the public is not welcome the public is not obligated to invest there	Comment Forms
don't add new parks. Maximize utilization at existing parks and improve connectivity.	Comment Forms
generally, no	Comment Forms
maximize and optimize the existing parks. Need more fields for soccer/lacrosse	Comment Forms
only invest when taxpayers can afford it	Comment Forms
Provide more recreation on the west side as community matures	Comment Forms
serve highest demand sports/dog parks, etc	Comment Forms
The city should conduct a needs assessment to determine how facilities are currently used and how they could be better utilized. That should determine how we invest to improve and expand existing facilities	Comment Forms
the city should not make the lake public. We have far more important needs	Comment Forms
Under no circumstances should the city be looking to expand new opportunities-parks are easy to get but very expensive to maintain	Comment Forms
underserved areas are within biking, walking, or driving distance from any home in LO. Lets make sure we maintain and enhance the existing infrastructure. We need to focus our efforts	Comment Forms
We should ensure that all of our existing facilities are well maintained and upgraded where necessary before investing in new facilities	Comment Forms
I am all for parks and recreation but I think our primary duty as citizens is to our school district. Just so you know I have no children in school here. I just am a believer in the best education possible. After education comes fire/police protection then all the frills that make Lake Oswego so special including recreation a vibrant shopping area great restaurants fill in the niceties. Also Lakewood center for the arts is a real jewel in our crown.	Virtual Summit
* We have a pretty mobile population. Even though we live at the South end of L.O. we often drive to George Rogers Park because our child likes it. I don't worry so much about 'equity' in that we will drive or walk to whatever public facilities in this or adjoining towns we choose to use at that moment in time.	
*What is important is that we keep up our resources so that people will want to use them in the first place. If you can prove that a certain small park is only used 28 person-visits a year then you can clearly make the case that keeping it up to standard at say \$50 000/year would be a rather poor use of public money ... but if that park gets 4000 person-visits a year while similar properties get 40 000 maybe we should invest just a bit more to add a feature that would increase its usage ... not drain its money away to handle just what is already the most popular venues. A hard question but we do need to keep enough different Parks running in enough different parts of the City so that we don't all show up in the three or four most preferred Parks crowding each other out and diminishing the experience.	Virtual Summit
Absolutely!	Virtual Summit
Add a pool to an existing park like the portland parks wilson high school pool or add a community center	Virtual Summit
Again money is not unlimited. Maintain the existing facilities.	Virtual Summit
again maintain existing parks. Monitor parks for excess and make adjustments and improvements as needed.	Virtual Summit
Agree. Take care of what we have first.	Virtual Summit
Always take care of your assets; however it did not seem necessary to re-do pirate ship at Waluga Park.	Virtual Summit
Both the renovation and maintenance of existing parks as well as green spaces should each be listed and prioritized.	Virtual Summit
Fund the projects down the list as far as the funding goes.	Virtual Summit
Care for existing	Virtual Summit
Care for existing assets	Virtual Summit
Care for existing assets unless the property is one of a kind (like the Hunt Club).	Virtual Summit
Care for existing assets.	Virtual Summit
Care for existing assets...no place serves fewer people...we may be losing perspective...all of Lake Oswego is small and accessible to all...we are not talking about accessing North Portland and even that is not far or difficult...this is not LA ! I would like to know where the inequity exists.	Virtual Summit
Care for existing first. This is a wonderful city but I think providing is taken a bit too far sometimes.	Virtual Summit
Care for existing parks	Virtual Summit
City parks director should decide when to close a service. PRAB could make a recommendation and city council would make the final decision with input from the citizens	Virtual Summit
Current facilities are plenty adequate for our existing and future population. There is a decline in the number of children in our schools and very little land available for development.	Virtual Summit
Develop what the city already has not what they may go out to buy for further development.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Existing assets must be maintained. If new assets are identified that will provide more equity they should be funded by shutting down or downgrading existing assets that provide limited service. Obviously the city should not invest in any facilities that provide limited service other than the core public services of safety and infrastructure at the point the city cannot pay for it.	Virtual Summit
Existing. But if only a small percentage get to use the Lake Grove swim park only charge them for the use. If we are not allowed to use it we should not pay for it.	Virtual Summit
Facilities that are underutilized should be evaluated and possibly eliminated if the resources can be redirected to areas of greater need.	Virtual Summit
Fix what we have first!! This is a small city with plenty of public areas for recreation. You are creating some issue of equity and limitations that just doesn't exist.	Virtual Summit
Forget this 'equity' smoke screen. There are plenty of facilities distributed around the area where people can easily get to what they want to use. The city has already gone beyond the point where it should not invest in additional facilities; especially in the current economy!	Virtual Summit
Hold off on new parks and rec and on public facilities when private places exist. Honor people's financial concerns. Spend on essentials for now.	Virtual Summit
I agree with this premise	Virtual Summit
I agree.	Virtual Summit
I do not support any attempts to open Oswego Lake for public use.	Virtual Summit
I live in the community and was never asked if renovating existing parks / facilities is a high priority or not. If I had been asked I would have said this is a very low priority. I think the question has false assumptions / premises. The city does need to do a better job: respecting citizen's private property rights; maintaining trails/sidewalks/roads/walkways (you could do an outreach to businesses and citizens for volunteers to donate \$ and time/materials for this) - this better connects citizens to the current recreational opportunities in LO; listening to what citizens actually want instead of pushing 'pet projects' of some certain council members.	Virtual Summit
I support maximizing investment in existing facilities and in this economy no investment should be made in limited services.	Virtual Summit
I think at this time maintenance is what should be completed and not spending money on new facilities. We do not have the money to be buying property for a population that is not going to grow very much.	Virtual Summit
I think we have enough parks and should maintain them. If open land comes for sale and make sense to purchase that is another long term topic	Virtual Summit
I think we need to focus on the existing infrastructure before acquiring new land or development.	Virtual Summit
I think we should care for the existing facilities we have - not everyone is sitting in the 'new' park created on the lake recently.	Virtual Summit
I would prioritize investment in existing facilities. It would be nice to have full facilities within walking/biking distance of anywhere within city limits but that is not practical due to topography and other considerations. Additionally the unfortunate reality is that even when facilities are within reasonable walking distance a majority will still choose to drive.	Virtual Summit
If existing parks are renovated to maximize city investment would not they potentially serve more people? Growth in such facilities should be justified by changes in demographics and budget ability. If you build it who will come? Utilization is perhaps a moving target. An 'under utilized' resource may be highly valuable to its users for just that reason.	Virtual Summit
If the existing facilities are only useful for limited people more should be developed to spread the usage around.	Virtual Summit
If the facility is not used - sell it! L.O. should spend more of it's money and time maintaining existing parks i.e. Westridge Park - blackberries and weeds everywhere.	Virtual Summit
If there is a clear tradeoff then it make make sense to sell/trade assets but we need full disclosure on what equality may mean. We need to consider sustainability and natural areas in this consideration. There may be areas that have little evident/direct 'people' value that are very important in term of wetlands native flora/fauna if we purchased properties for the people they should be maintained.	Virtual Summit
If you can't maintain what you have why add more facilities that you can't maintain? If this is all about the tennis center build it they seem to have a strong enough program to pay its way much like the golf course used to be. With shrinking budgets I wouldn't vote for any additional facilities until I see what you have taken better care of...even if it requires the city organizing volunteer events at sites to do the cleaning up. Lots of youth programs are looking for volunteer ops if you are looking to build community how about a barn raising style event to heighten awareness of and clean up a city owned eyesore.	Virtual Summit
Improve the existing assets and invest in them as opposed to more and keeping them all mediocre or worse--- greater connectivity between the existing ones is more important than new ones!	Virtual Summit
Invest in existing public assets but don't provide new ones. City shouldn't spread itself too thin. And City must not try to change private recreational facilities into public ones. Don't spend tax dollars trying to change private facilities that people have invested in.	Virtual Summit
It is all a balance. Recreation and parks should be a higher priority than projects like Street Car.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

It is important to maintain existing facilities but when thinking about the future it is more important to provide better facilities and more adequate facilities. We should look ahead and plan for the future---not wait for the problem to exist before we react.	Virtual Summit
It is important to the degree possible to equitably provide recreational services. Areas/neighborhoods carrying the burden of higher densities are most deserving of recreational services. And those families living on 5000 square foot lots are most in need of parks and open spaces. Enough of glamour 'parks' whose audience appears to be those not Lake Oswego residents/taxpayers. The millions of public dollars invested in 'Parks' such as Sundeleaf Plaza needs to end now. Parks and recreational facilities (and dollars) for Lake Oswegans.	Virtual Summit
It is more important to care for existing assets! We all made trade-offs in life so equity is not realistic.	Virtual Summit
It is more important to care for existing assets. If facilities provide only limited services then perhaps they are only used by a select group and should not be maintained by the city but by the group that feels they need them.	Virtual Summit
It is more important to maintain existing facilities.	Virtual Summit
It is more important to maintain existing parks with the caveat that the City should provide access to the Lake via rental canoes kayaks or rowboats from one or more of the existing parks bordering the Lake owned by the City.	Virtual Summit
It is more important to provide new recreational opportunities (assets) than to invest in maintaining ones that already exist. The city should not invest in nor support blocking access to public areas as it does now by not allowing paddlers to access Lake Oswego.	Virtual Summit
Just maintain what we already have. If we have a committment we should keep it but not expand.	Virtual Summit
Lake Oswego is not that big. Yes care for exsting assets. I don't see the facilities that we currently have being over utilized. Second part is too vague to answer.	Virtual Summit
Maintain existing assets. If something doesn't have reasonable use then why provide it? Devote the resources to existing facilities that are used	Virtual Summit
Maintain the Parks	Virtual Summit
Maintain what we have which is plenty to serve the citizens	Virtual Summit
Maintain what we have. This seems to be a rephrasing of the previous question. The city should NOT be investing in additional facilities at this time nor in the near future.	Virtual Summit
Maintain what we have. We have equilty in this town. You are free to use any park or public school park in non school hours. We have a great shoreline on the Willamette and we have a City Swim park. We need to enhance and maintain what we have. the citizens over the decades ave done a great job of giving veryone great recreational options everywhere but it is our responsibility to maintain and enhance our existing infrastructure.	Virtual Summit
Maintaining existing assets that serve a region of the city is of greater importance than investing in new facilities that provide limited service unless trends are toward increasing use of the limited service. Where pay-for-use can be implemented in limited service to help pay for the service that policy should always be considered (weighted against cost of staff to maintain).	Virtual Summit
More important to improve and care well for the existing assests.	Virtual Summit
My hope would be that common sense would prevail. If a common area is barely used I wouldn't want to see it left to deteriorate but I would hope we aren't investing in planting hundreds of new flowers every season either. On a case by case basis I have to imagine that areas that are of limited use can be maintained but with reduced service (i.e. less care for vegetation fewere garbage pick ups shut off water fountains when major repairs are needed etc). I believe existing parks should stay but extra services such as what I just described could be reduced. Hopefully this would free up funds for investment in areas that can provide more value. I am not in favor of maintaining the same level of service currently in place if the facility is of limited use.	Virtual Summit
Need to keep exisiting ones at a minimum level before doing more.	Virtual Summit
New ones with more equity	Virtual Summit
No more spending on Parks besides maintenance	Virtual Summit
No new parks or renovation of existing parks. at this time. I drive by the dog park and playing field on Stafford several times a month. It is not overflowing and until it is don't waste the tax payer's money. Now is the point in time not to spend money on parks.	Virtual Summit
No new taxes- we are taxed too high already. Yes the City should renovate the existing parks no new parks are needed.	Virtual Summit
Provide new ones including Lake access to serve more people equally. The city should invest in facilities that provide limited services to insure equal access for the physically impaired. Beyond that facilities that provide limited service by those that the facility is limited to serving.	Virtual Summit
Renovate existing parks facilities on an as needed basis. Lake Grove project should return to the priority list before the city takes over property to expand Foothills. The Foothills plaza should be last on the list after something financially responsible is done with WEB.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

See Recreation Question #1 regarding 'community'. Existing assets must be maintained. If new assets are identified that will provide more equity they should be funded by shutting down or downgrading existing assets that provide limited service. Restated question: 'When should the city stop investing in facilities that provide limited service?' Obviously the city should not invest in any limited service facility or activity other than the core public services of safety and infrastructure at the point the city cannot pay for it.	Virtual Summit
Take care of what is now public and stay off private property at all costs.	Virtual Summit
Take care of what we have first!	Virtual Summit
Take care of what we have now and no new parks at this time.	Virtual Summit
Tax payer money spent on parks for dogs is wrong. The huge investment in dog parks is not appropriate.	Virtual Summit
The answer to this question is it depends. It's a poor question. Existing facilities should be cared for obviously but that does not mean the care can be done at minimal cost to allow for funds to toward new facilities if needed. If the costs are too high then don't provide a facility. People need to vote if they want something that appears to be cost ineffective.	Virtual Summit
The city doesn't need to invest in more parks. In recent years the city has invested millions of dollars in parks which are hardly used as it is. Greater fiscal responsibility should be recognized as a primary goal. At times it seems like the city has been trying to spend money to justify its own existence. The city should maintain what it has and no more.	Virtual Summit
The city should continue to maintain current assets. If a facility is being underused figure out why. If it is used frequently it should continue even if it provides limited services.	Virtual Summit
The city should definitely renovate and maximize it's current facilities rather than providing new ones.	Virtual Summit
The city should focus on what it has and not make further investments	Virtual Summit
The city should invest in youth sports fields as these get very high use. The park down on the river is grossly under used and should be promoted.	Virtual Summit
The city should provide proper maintenance and investment in existing parks. It's very important to take care of what we have. However I do not believe we need to add additional parks or facilities. Just see to it that what we have is awesome.	Virtual Summit
The first question is poorly worded as the either/or choices are not mutually exclusive. However except for a needed North side Dog Park prioritize upkeep of currently owned assets. Government should do a cost/user benefit analysis of each recreational activity and leave the high cost per user recreation to the private sector. For example once acquired there is a small incremental cost per use for a person to walk a trail or have their children play on a swing set in a park. As the cost per use rises often because their are fewer citizens participating the government's priority to provide that activity should diminish and the private sector can better compete.	Virtual Summit
The highest priority should be keeping the existing parks in good shape.	Virtual Summit
the parks are fine as they are	Virtual Summit
There should be new assets provided such as fields to equalize the availability to our LOHS students. I don't think the city should only invest in facilities with limited beneficiaries if there are new options that will serve many more.	Virtual Summit
This is very stacked question. More equity?? We have existing parks that meet many needs. When my children were small we bought a house in the Westlake area to take advantage of walking to the great park. As the children grew we move to the Village On The Lake area to take advantage of their great easement. Life is about choices and that is what so great about LO it's not a cookie cutter park and rec system.	Virtual Summit
This strikes me as a loaded question. Are those the only two options? I think not. Who defines 'equity'? Special interests? At what point...' What kind of a question is that? What does 'Limited Service' mean? Who wrote this thing?	Virtual Summit
We are well past the point where the city should be investing in facilities that provide limited services. I do not believe the public is saying renovate existing parks - the only word I have heard citizens use is maintain existing parks which is not currently being done adequately.	Virtual Summit
We are a relatively small town and I'm not sure what areas of town do not have any facilities within reach.	Virtual Summit
We can stop investing in the trolley - there's no clear need for this. You could stop forcing development down by the sewer treatment plant. Use those dollars to enhance life for those of us already here rather than trying to grow the population that will need more services.	Virtual Summit
We do not need to invest in new parks. We don't have unlimited money we have plenty of park space but we need to invest in additional turf fields for kids' sports and other upgrades and maintenance. I don't think there are 'gaps' in park space!	Virtual Summit
We have enough parks. the new one on lakeview is a waste. please just get spending under control.	Virtual Summit
We need to adequately care for existing assets not spend extra \$ on new ones that add to the burden. The city could minimize additional costs for facilities that provide limited service by using xeriscaping and low-maintenance resources.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

We need to do a better job with current assets. Make them first in class. Sports fields Dog parks trails and bike paths make us a valued community for young families. If there is low demand it should be for the private sector to provide.	Virtual Summit
We should adequately maintain what we have before we build new.	Virtual Summit
We should care for existing. Do you mean 'equity' is how far a park is from someone's house? If that was important to the homeowner then they would/should have built that into their buying/renting decision in the first place. The park system is more than adequate in the city. Question 2 The city should use as one criteria a use per capita factor on each dollar spent to maintain enhance buy... any facility.	Virtual Summit
We should not invest in any more facilities.	Virtual Summit
Yes -- I absolutely agree that the city should maintain and renovate existing parks and not continue to develop new ones. I often walk and am disappointed at how few people I see at many times of the year at George Rogers and Foothills. I never see anyone at the new Sundeleaf park -- what a waste of the city's money to add a park on busy State Street.	Virtual Summit
Yes it is more important to care for our existing assets we do not need to provide everything for everyone. Like all its citizens The City has limited resources. The City should spend those limited resources where the most value is received and take care of what we have now before developing more.	Virtual Summit
yes lets keep what we have. With ever increasing budgets and massive shortfalls in essential areas i think we have enough parks.	Virtual Summit
Yes work on existing. We have plenty of parks. they are not over crowded.	Virtual Summit
yes care for existing properties	Virtual Summit
How should we measure success for recreation in Lake Oswego	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
all groups (various ages and interests) have places to carry out their activities	Comment Forms
cost effectiveness	Comment Forms
people engaged in active lifestyles-at all ages	Comment Forms
quality of parks and amount of use	Comment Forms
start taking care of the schools, parks, art, etc. When a city buys too much too fast it is going to make the city too expensive for families to live there	Comment Forms
use hours per capita/acre, # of programs and variety of activities available	Comment Forms
utilization of parks and noticed improvements for connectivity via walking and biking	Comment Forms
utilization rate	Comment Forms
EXISTING GOALS AND POLICIES	
GOAL 5 Section 7: Oswego Lake (recreational component) The City shall protect the natural resource energy aesthetic and recreation values of Oswego Lake.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
I think we are so blessed to have Tryon Creek State park so handy as well as all of our city parks. But we must stay ahead of the curve to provide for increased population eventually.	Virtual Summit
The city should partner with the Lake Corp. to accomplish these things.	Virtual Summit
the lake is an asset of the city however because it's privately owned the cost protect natural resources energy and aesthetic considerations should be shared with the private ownership.	Virtual Summit
... and should protect the property rights of individual citizens to the use and enjoyment of their property.	Virtual Summit
A summit should be scheduled to discuss the protection of natural resources where private property rights are delineated. What is being done now just takes private property rights without real protection of natural resources because some of the most significant resources have been excluded.	Virtual Summit
A worthy goal that has been driven to extremes by the previous council's railroading of 'Sensitive lands' ordinances that overrides the rights of private property owners. It is a shame that the worthy objectives have become a toxic issue in the community by poor execution.	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
and the Lake Corp	Virtual Summit
As far as I understand it Oswego Lake access is provided to shareholders via private property and the rest of the community via the 2 lovely swim parks. Those that truly wish to protect the lake would understand that opening it up to greater public access would contribute to its demise. It is already very crowded during peak times. I cannot imagine how much worse it would get with additional watercraft (powered or non powered!)	Virtual Summit
At what cost?	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Do not alter the privacy of Oswego Lake. The Lake Corporation has done an outstanding job of protecting this resource for over 70 years. The Corporation's strict adherence to environmental concerns have resulted in one of our communities greatest assets and a incredibly valuable surrounding tax base. Open access is a bad idea for our community. Taking away lake privacy is being promoted by a single Planning Commissioner without the concurrence of his own Commission. And his little support is coming largely from people following his internet promotions who live outside Lake Oswego. The Lake Corporation and the City embraced a Visual Access policy 30 years ago which has now resulted in today's amazing waterfront vistas and corresponding increases in real estate values. The unintended consequences of encouraging the world to expect access to Oswego Lake would devastate our community.	Virtual Summit
Do not force draconian 'green' policies on our city. I know most of you on the council think you are not. You are. Tree removal being an obvious example. It is elitist to believe that you are 'saving us from ourselves'. We will not do anything to devalue our property. That said not everyone has your values but it is their land.	Virtual Summit
Encourage green practices. I wasn't allowed to swim in it as a child growing up here and I wouldn't let my children swim in it either. Kayaking and viewpoints are alternatives.	Virtual Summit
Everyone should be able to swim kayak canoe paddleboard and kiteboard on LO Lake. It should no longer be private.	Virtual Summit
fine	Virtual Summit
Having read that which defines a public body of water in Oregon I believe that Oswego Lake falls under the definition of a public body of water. Yet strangely the Lake has escaped this reality and remains a private lake and thus private property. The City should not do anything that would adversely affect citizen's private property rights - especially in regards to regulatory requirements in the captioned areas (protect... blah... blah).	Virtual Summit
Hopefully the new sewer system will and continued efforts of storm drain settling pools will continue to improve the lake quality. Programs for the responsible use of fertilizer should be strengthened. The Lake Oswego Corporation has been largely responsible (with cooperation from the city to a degree) for transforming the lake from a 'stump filled pond' to an asset for the city due to it's visual beauty it's present recreation opportunities for all city citizens and increased city property values (and therefore tax base). Thank you Lake Corporation!	Virtual Summit
I absolutely agree with this - the Lake is an asset for all of the L O residents..	Virtual Summit
I agree with this comment. However I think that the river and George Rogers Park are underutilized from a water-recreational aspect. There is abundant access to water with two major rivers and the beaches are always empty. The City should capitalize on this and look for opportunities to host events that would bring others to the community. The rivers are a natural draw.	Virtual Summit
I agree with this goal.	Virtual Summit
I am not sure what we have to protect. I guess we can make sure the creeks and streams are clean but the rest is up to the Lake Corp	Virtual Summit
I believe protection means monitoring usage. I believe the usage in place is fair and sustainable. I would be strongly opposed to any conversation that allowed larger boats jet skis wake enhancing devices or other damaging devices to be permitted on the lake.	Virtual Summit
I believe the city should work with the Lake Corporation but it is the Lake Corporations responsibility to protect and server the interest of the Lake and the members of the Lake Corporation I do not believe the City should be engaged in any 'recreation' decisions regarding the Lake. That responsibility should fall to the Shareholders of the Lake Corporation.	Virtual Summit
I believe the management of the Lake should be left to the Lake Oswego Corporation. The LOC has done a magnificent job of protecting the lake and managing the lake. The city is not responsible for the management of the lake and should not be expending resources on areas that do not require city resources.	Virtual Summit
I do not understand this goal. Oswego Lake is private managed the Lake Corp. The Lake Corp has the responsibility for to maintain the quality of the lake not Lake Oswego. The Lake Corp shareholders pay for the maintenance of the lake; it does not make any sense for the City to take this on incredible expense liability etc.	Virtual Summit
I don't agree with Todd Prager who wants to open the lake for public use	Virtual Summit
I feel it is already protected by strict policies and rules concerning safety water quality and invasive species. i can't imagine that with all of the shortfalls to core programs that are the foundation of our community.	Virtual Summit
I have no idea what this means. Under what conditions and how much will this cost.	Virtual Summit
I have no idea what you are trying to say for this question. As a tax payer I do not want to invest any money into Oswego Lake. I enjoy the access that I currently have and don't feel the need for change.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

<p>I have seen articles regarding interest in opening lake access to the public. The subject was lightly addressed in last Thursday's public forum. While on the face this would appear to be beneficial to the city's attractiveness serious consideration must be given to the following concerns if that were to happen: 1. How will the city make up the lost revenue in the obvious reduction of property values as well as additional costs that will be incurred by the city in controlling lake access? 2. How will the city support the cost of having to patrol the lake? That cost is currently paid by private lake shareholders who live on the lake. 3. How will the city control the clear exposure of theft of items from boat houses and property owners who live on the lake? Opening this to the public would bring in an enormous potential for theft and crime to the homeowners. How will the city know who is bringing on their own personal water craft and not leaving with lake homeowners' property in the form of kayaks paddle boats canoes or small power boats? 4. How will the city maintain the water quality that has been successfully maintained by the Lake Corporation the past several years? The cost of that through alum applications and general cleanup I think they should protect what they have. Example for the lake The Lake Corp and member spend a lot of money to clean and protect the natural resource so we can all enjoy it. The City can help with run off to the lake but I think the city should focus also on our parks etc and I feel the lake is being covered in a well educated manner</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>I think they should protect what they have. Example for the lake The Lake Corp and member spend a lot of money to clean and protect the natural resource so we can all enjoy it. The City can help with run off to the lake but I think the city should focus also on our parks etc and I feel the lake is being covered in a well educated manner</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>If only Oswego Lake was a recreational component for all Lake Oswegans. If the citizens of Lake Oswego continue to be denied access to Oswego Lake then we as a City should assume no responsibility provide no financial support for its protection. If it remains a private lake then any and all responsibility regarding it should be that of the Lake Corporation.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>If the city wants to pay for the dam the costs to clean the lake and treat it license and enforce boats and and the costs of patrolling Oswego Lake then there would be a conversation starter. Otherwise lets keep things as they are. The new trend of 'everything for everyone' only applies to people who want something they did not pay for and who want it for free. People on the lake paid greatly for their properties..others who wish to experience the responsibility and joy of the lake are free to do so as well.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>In doing so the City should provide residents access to the Lake via rental canoes kayaks or rowboats launched from City-owned properties bordering the Lake.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>In order to do this without prejudice the City needs to provide public access to the lake as it is a 'water of the state' and is a public body of water. Currently the city spends funds to implement barriers specifically for the purpose of preventing the public from entering the lake such as the metal spikes cleverly disguised as a water sculpture depicting reeds and cat-tails at Millenium Park. If the city's goal is to protect recreation values that means allowing the general public--not just the landed gentry of the city--onto the lake.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>In regards to the 'easement rights' the City has in the lake for the sewer system then yes the city shall do as stated above. The City shall make sure it does nothing to destroy any aspect of the lake because of the City's use of this easement. Since Oswego Lake is a private lake surrounded by private property and the shareholders of the Lake Corporation pay for the maintenance of the lake including water quality the City shall do what is necessary not to harm the lake.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Isn't this a private lake? The city should not do anything to degrade the lake but it should be the responsibility of the owners to do the rest. (the city should not allow contamination from streets to enter the lake or the Willamette for example)</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>It all about the right balance the taking of private property for new paths or overlays without compensation for the takings is not right. The city has a swim park located off of Ridgeway that most people in LO does not know it's even there. We have an aquatic center on the river that unless your a rower like myself you would never know it's there. Let's make sure we support promote and use what we have before we even talk about about new parks.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>It seems that LO Corp is maintaining the lake well with funds provided by shareholders.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Keep the same as present. Continue to put effort in watershed run-off into lake. As far as access - the lake cannot support any additional bodies/boats on the lake. Safety on the lake is a huge component and more bodies/boats would not be a good idea.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Leave it to the Lake Corporation.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Lofty goal. On a privately owned lake this becomes tricky since the water belongs to the state the land belongs to private parties for the most part and everyone wants access. Day permits/annual license for non-motor boats should be allowed. I live in LO yet have no easement attached to my title. The swim park would appeal if I could launch a canoe or kayak or paddle board.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>No -- it is a private lake and the owners thereof (of which I am not one) seem to be doing a very good job.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Of course we need these values but there are limits. This is very ambiguous.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>
<p>Oswego Lake is owned by the property owners on the Lake. The City does own some property on the Lake. The City is a minority owner. The responsibility of your existing goals falls to the Lake Corporation not the City. The City's job is to work with the Lake Corp just as the rest of us who live on the Lake must do. Be very careful that you do not over-step your authority which I think you are now doing.</p>	<p>Virtual Summit</p>

RECREATION

Oswego Lake is private and has been taken care of very well by its owners. The City should help protect that natural resource by ensuring that neighboring surface water and silt does not enter the lake.	Virtual Summit
Oswego Lake is private land and the city has limited responsibility to it. The city is required to not pollute with surface water runoff and should not encourage trespassing. Taking advantage of its beauty should be encouraged.	Virtual Summit
Oswego Lake is used by few. It should be protected but there are higher priorities for recreation.	Virtual Summit
Since I live on the lake and have paid for this privilege for many years my biggest concern is that it continue to be as it is: A private lake with the oversight of the shareholders. I think the pathways around the lake and the parks overlooking the lake are lovely and provide the public access to views of the lake but I would not want that access to be turned into use of the lake. It is simply too small.	Virtual Summit
So long as the City is not violating the private property rights of lake owners	Virtual Summit
sounds reasonable but the statement could be misconstrued 'protect'.	Virtual Summit
sounds right - the Lake is part of a greater ecosystem (of course monitoring what residents put into the lake might be included as usage). The general stewardship to date has been working	Virtual Summit
Support private property rights of owners.	Virtual Summit
That seems to be a basically sound statement however the lake should also come under the sensitive lands designation.	Virtual Summit
The City also needs to protect the property rights of lakefront and easement owners.	Virtual Summit
The city does not need to be in the business of managing Oswego Lake as the Lake Corp already serves as exceptional stewards of the Lake its natural beauty and purpose as a center of recreation for its shareholders.	Virtual Summit
The city has an obligation to protect all bodies of water in the area from street and drainage runoff. The Lake Corp has put huge resources into cleaning the lake and keeping it clean and improving. I don't think the City should put dollars into a private Lake. It also always seems things become more costly and complicated when government gets involved.	Virtual Summit
The City has no business in 'protecting' the private lake. Lake Oswego is owned by its corporation members and THEY are responsible for protecting the natural resource energy aesthetic and recreation values of Oswego Lake. The City's decision to butt into private property is wrong and must be stopped. If it can continue then why not allow the City to buy everyone's home for the good of the City. This is the wrong way for a City to act. Do NOT interfere with Oswego Lake.	Virtual Summit
The city should ban the use of phosphate fertilizers. They should make it illegal for all of these lawn service people from using them and place a heavy fine if they are caught. They should also make it more clear that storm drains go into the lake which then go to the river which then go to the ocean. People need to be more aware of what they are putting into the water.	Virtual Summit
The city should continue to protect all aspects of Oswego Lake which would include protecting it from efforts to change it. The lake is the first attribute that distinguished this community. Without it our community would be no different from the other Portland suburbs. Its property values translate into stronger school funding than you will find anywhere. Every Lake Oswego citizen is allowed access to the lake at the city's swim park. Some have access at the Lake Grove swim park. All citizens including people from other communities can enjoy viewing access. Open access as advocated by the city's planning commission Todd Prager is grossly naive and will destroy this vital resource. The Lake Oswego Corporation's management of the lake for the past 70 years has benefited the entire community. The city needs to protect the lake from the people who are trying to upset the present balance for the benefit of outside interests like the Tualatin River Keepers etc. Our community already has access to the Willamette River which is as good as any other community. There is no intelligent need to expand access on Oswego Lake. Furthermore the city needs to do more to show respect property rights.	Virtual Summit
The City should do what it can to limit pollution to the Lake. No sure what other items might be.	Virtual Summit
The City should not expand its area of responsibility to include the lake. Private interests are already doing a good job. The city doesn't need to take on the additional expense and responsibility.	Virtual Summit
The City should not provide public access to Lake Oswego and not get involved in its operation- The Lake Corp. takes care of this already.	Virtual Summit
The city should only be concerned with watershed issues affecting the lake. The Lake Corporation expend substantial resources in maintaining the water quality aesthetic and recreation uses.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

<p>The city should protect all aspects of Oswego Lake including all efforts to change it. I am very aware of Mr. Prager's personal agenda to gain public access and I am against it (in fact isn't there a conflict of interest in a member of our planning commission to push forward a personal agenda?). The lake as is stands is critical to the viability of our community. It is a key factor in the property values which through taxes feeds our city and our outstanding schools. If the lake were ever opened up to more access (which would be a shame if the city wanted to spend money even trying to go down that road) the lake itself (the natural resource) and property values would go downhill at the detriment of homeowners the city and the schools. The City has accomplished its goal of establishing public lake viewpoints and should not spend any more resources acquiring additional viewpoints or pursuing lake access. In fact the city owns a public swim park on Oswego Lake and should financially support that park rather than incurring the substantial expense of acquiring additional public access points on the lake. Most importantly with regards to the lake (as well as a number of other issues such as historic preservation sensitive land overlaps etc.) the city should respect the property rights of all Lake Oswego citizens and understand the</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should protect the areas AROUND the lake. The city should respect those that invested in there homes on the lake. The city should work with the lake corp in support of the protecting this resouce. The city should protect the rights of those that chose to live on a semi private lake.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should respect the private property owners on the lake AND the uplands. Is this the preemptive strike on the lake's property rights? The city needs to Remove sensitive lands restrictions and Stay off the lake.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should support the lakecorp in providing visual access along with improving the access and use of the swim park. The city currently use the lack of parking at the swim park as a deliberate fund raiser through issuing tickets on Lakeview Blvd during the summer!</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should tend to ONLY those properties that the city actually owns.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The Comp Plan should ensure that private residential property and the rights of all citizens are protected and not violated using recreation as an excuse. The lake is private property and should be respected as such.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The Lake is a private lake and is being maintained by the Lake Corporation. The City needs to insure that these property rights are not infringed upon and where the City has an interest ie the sewer oine the City needs to insure that is properly maintained.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The Lake is private and too small already to handle the traffic it gets from modern boats. The city has interest in the first three areas but should not become involved in the 4th area.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The Lake is privately owned. It is the Lake owners responsibility to maintain it. The Lake will maintain its contribution to the values of living in Lake Oswego if it remains the unique special place it is and has been for many years.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>the lake is what makes this community different. We need to protect our watershed but i think the lake corporation should take care of their own property</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The LOC is doing an outstanting of Lake Oswego no change is need. If the City was involved the cost would triple</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The maintenance and access to Oswego Lake should remain under the jurisdiction of the Lake Corp and not the City of Lake Oswego. The swim park owned by the School District provides swimming access to the school children in our Community. The Willamette River provides access for the Community at-large to enjoy other swimming and water sports. The lakefront property owners spend a substantial amount of money each year to maintain the water quality so it can be safe for swimming.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>This goal should be changed to: 'The City shall protect the natural resource and aesthetic values of Oswego Lake.' I'm not sure how it will protect the 'energy' of the lake and the City should not invest in 'recreation values' in a lake whose residents take care of that themselves.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>This is important but the city is currently doing little to implement these policies. Most of the lake has no zoning and the city stands idly by and allows a private corporation to monopolize recreational access.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>This is okay provided that the city stays away from private lands. NO expansion of city lands or city or public access to private property.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>THIS IS THE CONTINUING RESPONSIBILITY OF THE OWNERS OF THE LAKE THE LAKE OSWEGO CORPORATION. Obviously there must be cooperation between The Lake Corp and the City to accomplish this. The underlying control however rests with The Lake Oswego Corp.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>This should be a significant priority of the city when done in complete cooperation and with the consent of the Lake Corporation.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>Yes but only on public property. Private property owners should have the right to keep their property private for their own use however they see fit.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>Yes but the city needs to lead by example by taking care of public lands first. We also have to balance this with private property rights. Restrictions also have to be equitable throughout the city. The wealthier neighborhoods should not be given special privileges.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>Yes I think this is a good goal including protecting the existing privacy of the lake.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>Yes it should be an exact continuation of the present policy with the Lake Corporation in the lead.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>YES! Protect it for Lake Oswego Citizens first and not the public</p>	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

1. Cooperate with the Lake Oswego Corporation to protect the natural resource energy aesthetic and recreation values of Oswego Lake.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
As I wrote previously I am absolutely opposed to opening up the lake to all comers. But if a controlled swim/boutrental place could be secured similar to the one we had many years ago I would have no objections. As far as keeping the lake in a healthy condition I think that continuing with the Lake Corp currant [lan is probably the best way to go. From personal experience I ca tell you that the water is as clear now as it has been since I was a ;ittle girl some 80 years ago when my family built a home on North Shore. So I am for following what the Lake Corp recommends.	Virtual Summit
Just what I said	Virtual Summit
Absolutely	Virtual Summit
Absolutely and providing Lake access via rental canoes rowboats and kayaks to LO residents would be an excellent way to do so.	Virtual Summit
Absolutely the city should cooperate with the Lake Oswego Corporation which has been the leading steward in protecting and maintaining the lake for decades. If it weren't for the Lake Oswego Corporation we would not have a viable and healthy lake which keeps property values up to help Lake Oswego have the excellent schools it has. I have already answered on previous questions that the city should not be pursuing additional viewpoints or try to acquire any access so I will not go over that again here. I will state that the city should be doing what it can to work with the Lake Oswego Corporation to prevent the public from trying to access the lake via the parks (what would happen if invasive species were introduced to the lake and not only choked the lake but also the city's \$100 million LOIS project) and feeding the water fowl (does the city care about or even know what feeding bread does to the waterfowl)	Virtual Summit
Absolutely you must cooperate.	Virtual Summit
Absolutely!	Virtual Summit
Again I guess protect the natural environment watershed etc.	Virtual Summit
Again if the Lake Corporation continues to seek to bar Lake Oswegans from Oswego Lake-You Can Look But Don't You Dare Touch-than any support/cooperation by the City of Lake Oswego should terminate.	Virtual Summit
Again in a manner that respects private property rights.	Virtual Summit
Again let the Lake Corp have it we have enough items that need funding.	Virtual Summit
Again the present policy works well.	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
Agree it is a visual attraction for people.	Virtual Summit
Agree with this but only to the extent necessary without sepnding any money to do so. Let the Lake Corp continue to do what they have been doing for the last 50+ years. They have created a great asset for the City like the Oswego Lake Country Club.	Virtual Summit
Agree.	Virtual Summit
Agreed	Virtual Summit
Agreed. Since the Lake Oswego Corporation is responsible for maintaining the health of the lake it is important that the two groups cooperate. I have not seen this existing goal in action and think both parties need to focus more on collaboration.	Virtual Summit
all for that -	Virtual Summit
All properties should be subject to the same standards. I should have rights of recreation on my property which has been identified as sensitive lands like Oswego Lake Front owners have.	Virtual Summit
Certainly there needs to continue to be a cooperative involvement in this important asset.	Virtual Summit
'Cooperate' is the operative word. If something can be done jointly then good but it is still important to respect the fact that it is a private lake.	Virtual Summit
Cooperate only when the Lake Corporation wants it. Don't interfere with Lake Oswego Corporation business. This is not City business. Don't fix what isn't broken.	Virtual Summit
Cooperate when asked - not legislate as with the Oswego Lake Water Council. while this may not currently be a legislative body it is a pretty certain bet it eventually will be.	Virtual Summit
Cooperation is great. It is a private lake. If the lake or access is made public the property values of lake front homeowners will drop. Consequently property values throughout LO will drop. We have to respect private property rights and Lake Osweco is a private lake.	Virtual Summit
Currently I have a hard time finding any 'value added' in the Lake Corp. They act as a private police force but if a genuine water quality issue arises they call for the city to address the issues. If they were genuinely involved in protecting the lake they would stop allowing development of the privately owned buffer around the lake and require it be managed as a shoreline riparian zone.	Virtual Summit
Definitely. I think these folks are working hard for not only the shareholders of the Lake Oswego Corporation but also the community.	Virtual Summit
Existing polices seem to be woking fine.	Virtual Summit
fine	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

How many times can you ask the same question. I guess eventually you will get the answer that you want.	Virtual Summit
I agree but it would be nice to open up the lake and make it more visible as has been done in Sundeleaf Plaza.	Virtual Summit
I agree the City should cooperate. The Lake Corporation and Shareholders have created a great asset for the city. The City should do what is necessary to maintain the easement for the sewer system to run through Oswego Lake but should make sure the City does nothing to impair the quality of the lake for those areas listed above.	Virtual Summit
I believe the LOC has overly cooperated with the City in many aspects. Selling a chunk of land for the new park on State Street was a monumental mistake. The aesthetics are horrible and it will continue to be under utilized which defeats the purpose of having it at all. It could have been a gathering place for the downtown section of Lake Oswego but because of poor design will never be utilized for more than a bathroom rest stop.	Virtual Summit
I don't know much about this goal - is there a lack of cooperation currently? Is there conflict between what the Lake Corp does and the protection of natural resources of the Lake?	Virtual Summit
I have lived in Lake Oswego since 1973 and I have watched the city grow and have watched the recreational opportunities grow as well during that period. The city of Lake Oswego has worked well with the Lake Oswego Corporation to protect the Oswego Lake and the current system is working well. Everyone in Lake Oswego benefits from this wonderful asset Oswego Lake. We should not tamper with a system that has worked well for 50 or more years. The land around the lake is private property and should be respected as such.	Virtual Summit
I think they are doing a great job! I have lived in the area for several years and each year the lake is looking better. If public we would be moving backwards and open for liabilities and safety. Go use the river that we already have access to	Virtual Summit
I think this has been done in recent years with the parks in the downtown area.	Virtual Summit
If other homes in the area are going to be designated as 'sensitive' due to winter run-off then the homes on the lake most certainly should also be designated.	Virtual Summit
If the city wants to 'cooperate' with the Lake Corp. fine. But the Lake Corp is a private group and the property on the lake is private. Stay out of it.	Virtual Summit
If this is about keeping the lake managed as it is then I would say yes. It is very well managed and improves the value of all of homes. Even those of us not on the lake.	Virtual Summit
Keep the Lake as it has been maintained by LOC. Public access to the lake would be the beginning of the end as we know the City of Lake Oswego today.	Virtual Summit
Lake Oswego Corporation does an exceptional job as stewards of the lake serving the needs of shareholders maintaining a safe environment for all users of the lake and protecting the lake against excessive impact that would result from too much or unsafe traffic. As a result the lake and its shareholders are an asset to the entire Lake Oswego community preserving high property values and as a result high property tax revenues to support our community. This environment should be preserved not opened up to broader utilization and possible detrimental impact by others. Additional waterways in the case of the Willamette River just steps away are available for use by that segment of the community that does not have lake access through land ownership on the lake or easement access. The river not the lake is able to withstand the impact of greater access and utilization.	Virtual Summit
Let the lake corp take the lead in protecting the lake. Let the city be a partner with the lake corp. Protect the value of the homes of all citizens INCLUDING those that chose to own homes on the lake.	Virtual Summit
NO COMMENT	Virtual Summit
No public access should be allowed because of the topography of the Lake does not allow for it it is too small and homes are too accessible from the Lake and could cause too much risk for homeowners. Recreation needs to be limited to existing swim parks.	Virtual Summit
Not really. I think they often have the interests of those living on the Lake at heart rather than the City as a whole. More public access to the Lake.	Virtual Summit
not sure what this means. The city owns some shares of the Lake Corporation and simply needs to participate along the lines of what the overall lake corp decisions are for the health of the lake. The city should not hurt the water quality and be a good steward of surface water run off that is reasonable.	Virtual Summit
Of course we should cooperate with the LOC. Our lake is a vital part of what makes this city what it is. I don't live on the lake or use the lake but I do enjoy the setting that it provides. Also the lake attracts a lot of financial resources that enable LO to be the city it is.	Virtual Summit
same as goal 5 section 7	Virtual Summit
See previous answer. The Lake is private property and is not for the City to stifle private property rights.	Virtual Summit
See prior comment.	Virtual Summit
Success can be measured by the wildlife habitat in and around the lake. The city was not careful of the fish and other wildlife during sewer construction.	Virtual Summit
Sure cooperate but bring the Lake properties into the sensitive land program.	Virtual Summit
That is a sound idea.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

<p>The city has a vested interest in cooperating with the Lake Oswego Corporation. It should recognize that a group of citizens have made substantial investments in preserving the key attribute of this community. The city should also recognize it's responsibility to manage the watershed. The Lake Oswego Corporation has adopted management practices to protect the lake from invasive species. The city should support these efforts. Open access will only increase the risk of introducing species that will cause extensive damages to infrastructure including the city's new \$100 million LOIS system.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The city should work as a partner with the Lake Corp recognizing the large past and ongoing investment the owners and easement holders have invested. The recreation value as is must be protected to guarantee safety and accountability. The city should be promoting the new river front park for recreation and ease of river use.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The continuing water quality is one measurement. Measure stability of property values of lake front property an important source of the financial viability of the City.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The existing arrangement should be continued.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The lake already being well managed. The homes around it are heavily taxed and I fear City involvement in the lake would lessen property values and decrease tax revenue for the City... as well as adding expense. Doesn't make sense.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The Lake Corp and the city are separate. they should remain so</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The lake Corp has done a wonderful job with protecting our lake. Water Quality safety and invasive species protection. The city should continue to partner with the lake corporation and continue to up grade current parks and swim easements only!</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The Lake Corporation is largely responsible for the transformation from 'a stump filled lake' to the beautiful city asset that it is today. Truly a valuable arrangement that is saving the city tons of money. The city should support the Lake Corporation in all of it's endeavors.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The Lake Oswego Corp has done a very good job managing their property and hydroelectric project and the best part they don't use any of our taxes. If I or anyone wants to use the recreational values of the lake I can go to one of the 2 swim parks or rent or buy one of the homes on the lake or a home with an easement right on the lake.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The Lake Oswego Corporation has been a wonderful steward of the lake.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The LOC has shown over the years that they are quite capable of managing the lake and perserving the lake. The city should be focusing on other concerns.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The shareholders foot the cost of the Lake Corp. Do other citizens wish to offset the thousands that shareholders pay each year?</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>The simplest thing is to leave the lake private. I live on the water and we've worked long and hard at great expense to clean up the lake. We live by a set of self-imposed rules to maintain the quality of the water. General public access will simply degrade what we've worked to build. You can talk all you want about putting rules on the general public but you know that won't work well enough to provide the protection we have now. Incidentally there is public access occurring now from the city frontage. I've seen kayakers putting in that were not lake licensed. I've seen kayakers up at my end of the lake - main canal - that were clearly not lake licensed. So it's already going on. Encouraging public access from any government platform will just make this worse.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>This goal is essential to protecting the lake and respecting the role of the Lake Corp.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>This goal should remain the same.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>This is a good policy but the Lake Corp needs to cooperate with the city on protecting these values too. The city should not abdicate its planning responsibilities to a private corporation.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>This is an absolute priority given how much the lake drives the value of property around the community.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>This policy should be changed to: 'Cooperate with the Lake Oswego Corporation to protect the natural resource and aesthetic values of Oswego Lake.' See my comment on the goal related to this. Regarding measuring success we should focus on the contents of water runoff into the lake from city storm sewers.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>Yes</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>Yes</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>Yes</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>Yes but the city should not be 'afraid' of challenging the LO Corporation.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>Yes I think they are doing an incredible job with the lake quality that the entire community can enjoy by having it as our name.They invest a ton of money and time. I thin we have the Lake Oswego Corp as our eye and ears to keeping clean and safe. Like I said I don't have a problem and if you want to live or use the lake buy a house with easement!! use the river and the lake when invited adn I am fine with that. It has worked for years...why mess with it!!!! Spend the City time on other issues like puclic safety</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>yes keep private property private by not regulating how one uses their property.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>Yes The City should cooperate with the Lake Corporation.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>Yes the City's cooperation is a necessity for The Lake Corp. to accomplish it's continuing goals and responsibility to the Corp's owners.</p>	Virtual Summit
<p>Yes the Lake Corp should take the lead..</p>	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Yes. It is interesting that in a survey of Lake Front homeowners conducted several years ago their most valuable use of Oswego Lake was visual access. This is very same value promoted in the current policy of Visual Access as adopted by both the Lake Corporation and the City. A continuation of this policy is best and has huge ongoing advantages.	Virtual Summit
You'll have to elaborate on this one. The city under the present staff and mayor is not capable of cooperation.	Virtual Summit
2. Establish significant public viewpoints to assure that residents of the community can identify with and enjoy Oswego Lake.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
AS I have already said if a swim/boat park could be established similar to the one we used to have many years ago the would be OK with me. But I am absolutely opposed to just opening up the lake to all comers.	Virtual Summit
again the lake is privately owned. It is not publicly owned by the entire community. It's an aesthetic resource that enhances the community and its values but should not be publicly available except to those owners that have either boaters when privilege.	Virtual Summit
View points are fine as long as they don't violate private property	Virtual Summit
Absolutely and providing controlled access to the Lake via rental rowboats canoes and kayaks would be an excellent way to do so.	Virtual Summit
Accomplished! In addition many citizens have access to the lake via easements and every citizen of Lake Oswego has swimming access to the lake via the city easement and the Lake Grove School easement. The city should make the residents of Lake Oswego more aware of these opportunities. I heard that the city was thinking of reducing hours or closing the city easement due to lack of use.	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Agreed provided that the council does not engage in underhanded tactics such as the use of eminent domain to force private property owners to bend to its will. Council has a poor track record of property 'investment' in recent years and needs to be more realistic and consultative with the community in this connection.	Virtual Summit
As I have already indicated on previous questions I think the city has already invested in and established lake viewpoints for the public on Headlee Walkway and at Sundeleaf and Millennium Parks. The city should not spend any more on acquiring additional viewpoints. Residents of the community have so many ways to identify with and enjoy the lake already. We have the viewpoints. We can use the swim park on the lake (so the city should support that park instead of looking to spend more on additional viewpoints). Thousands of residents have easement rights or live on the lake. We all have the right to choose if we want to spend our money for those options. The current structure has worked so well for decades and is keeping this community viable. Did the city write this question or did Mr. Prager?	Virtual Summit
As long as you aren't violating private property rights. No condemnation or other legal tactics.	Virtual Summit
City has been making great headway with this program. There are a number of viewpoints available. If additional sites come up for purchase (or property donation - like forming small donated parks) - great but trade off is always maintenance and use numbers.	Virtual Summit
Do not agree	Virtual Summit
Do that without infringing on private property of owners.	Virtual Summit
done.	Virtual Summit
Don't we already have these. Didn't we just spend a small fortune on a park which offers a viewpoint of the Lake. Did you really think the design of the park through. I have sat on one of the benches in the summer unfortunately it was short lived. There is no shade. Now you can't sit there due to the rain. Love the bathrooms though.	Virtual Summit
Enough public viewpoints have been provided. No more need be provided. If you want more viewpoints why limit to just the lake. Why not in Skyline area to show whole city? Why not in Mountain Park to show whole city? Stay away from the lake.	Virtual Summit
Enough viewpoints are currently available- Develop the boat docks and ramp and George rogers park.	Virtual Summit
How? Condemnation? Purchasing more land around the lake? I'd like a viewpoint on my property too but I have too many trees in the way.	Virtual Summit
I believe the city has plenty of public viewpoints and the citizens have adequate access to the lake. The system has worked well for a hundred years and the lake is better now than it has ever been. There is no need for the city to be involved in lake management or developing additional park facilities.	Virtual Summit
I believe there are sufficient public viewpoints today that allow residents of the community ample view of the Lake. These have been further enhanced in recent years in the downtown area.	Virtual Summit
I believe we currently have these with the addition of the new park and Millinium Park.	Virtual Summit
I concur but both the City and the Lake Corporation must be mindful not to encourage a perception that open access may be in the future. The comprehensive plan must carefully manage expectations.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

I don't know what you mean by 'significant public viewpoints' or 'identify with and enjoy Oswego Lake' but if it means infringing on the rights and privileges of a private corporation and/or private property the city should stay out.	Virtual Summit
I think the City has done a great job with Millineum Park. It is a great community area/farmers market. Not sure Sundaleaf park was such a great investment (mostly due to lack of area parking/usage). I think an expansion of Millineum fountains would have been a better plan (tear down hotel) and re-build nicer hotel/restaurant in connection with Lake House/Theater/Sundaleaf Park. still need to fix additional parking which is possible across State Street. It seems that most of us know someone on the lake or have lake access that allows us to get out on the lake. I think having a private lake in your backyard adds to the mystiqueness of the community.	Virtual Summit
I think this goal is accomplished or complete. We have already existing visual locations. Dont spend any more money.	Virtual Summit
I think this is commendable. The lake is beautiful and should be available for viewing to all.	Virtual Summit
I think we already have them. Sundeleaf Park is beautiful and it is always empty. Seems that getting more views would requireing land purchase. No thanks goal achieved move on.	Virtual Summit
I thought that's way we tore down the bank buildings and built 2 parks overlooking the lake. What's next tear down the hotel: STOP!!!!!!	Virtual Summit
If the City can work with existing view points without purchasing more property to do so would be best proposition.	Virtual Summit
It would appear that existing view points satisfy this goal compared to other needs of the community	Virtual Summit
Lifestyles of the rich and famous? No viewpoints so that folks can see how the other side lives are not a serious attempt at providing or promoting recreational value.	Virtual Summit
Millennium Park and the addition along the waterfront by the theatre are beautiful. How about making the lots on McVey a viewpoint also. Not a swim park but a viewpoint. THis gives access when the sewerline needs servicing- and we now it will as it ages. Selling for new homes to be put back is very short sited.	Virtual Summit
No - this further frustrates the many citizens who cannot access the lake	Virtual Summit
NO enough of look but don't touch...There are now more than adequate publicly-financed 'viewpoints'-Millenium Park Sundeleaf Plaza South Shore. Focus precious park and recreation dollars on other critical needs.	Virtual Summit
NO Absolutely NOT. The lake is the back yard of many residents. What if another citizen has a lovely garden in their back yard. Shoudl the city provide public viewing access to that back yard. The lake is privately owned and the private property rights of citizens should be respected. Success would be respecting the private property rights of others. The city probably has its eye on the lot next to the lake corporation. if a park is built there or public access is suggested there it would be theft of privacy.	Virtual Summit
No. There are already view points. This is private property we are discussing. Keep the City away from taking any more property rights away.	Virtual Summit
No. Where? Are you going to codemn houses and knock them down? If there was an easy inexpensive way to do this it would be one thing but there is not.	Virtual Summit
Oswego Lake is privately owned by the residents who live on the lake as set forth by the land deeds. Those residents are the ones who pay the fees to manage the lake. The City has 2 viewpoints already which never would have been allowed in years past as there was a right to privacy to those who live on the lake. The City does NOT need any more viewpoints.	Virtual Summit
Public viewpoints only if it does not adversely affect private property owners.	Virtual Summit
Respecting the importance of private property. Removing valuable property from the tax role must be weighed against the value of adding more public viewpoints. Measure loss of tax revenue against public gain?	Virtual Summit
See Lake Grove Swim Park comments in a previous question.	Virtual Summit
Several currently exist? History of the city has included public access to the lake. This should be explored.	Virtual Summit
Since the development of Millineumn Park and the new park on State Street and other existing view points around the lake I believe that their is adequate visual enjoyment of the Lake by the citizens at- large	Virtual Summit
Stop buying expensive real estate like you have been (e.g. US Bank building).	Virtual Summit
That has been established at the new park at high cost. Land purchase price \$2M plus the cost of the park \$1.7M. Don't forget the loss of the taxes that the bank paid to own the land....	Virtual Summit
That is fine --- but where how obtained and the cost are key issues. I think the residents identify with the lake now.	Virtual Summit
That would be of value	Virtual Summit
The city already just spend MILLIONS on the new park area. Use what we have and spend money on other issues	Virtual Summit
The city does not need to expend time and money that can go to other more pressing needs to gather the viewpoints of the public with respect to Oswego Lake.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

The city has added plenty of view points. I stop at the park off state street often. It is empty. We are fine.	Virtual Summit
The city has already added significant viewpoints on the east end. We should do a better job maintaining what we have ie: Southshore Viewpoint. Trees could be limbed up to provide better views.	Virtual Summit
the city has already attained this goal in lakewwod bay. That is a significant viewpoint.	Virtual Summit
The City has already done a good job of establishing public viewpoints. Rather than establishing (and paying for) more it should maintain the ones we have. Therefore I would change this goal to say 'Maintain existing public viewpoints...'	Virtual Summit
The city has been successful at accomplishing this policy but needs to provide physical access as well. Success would be retrofitting viewpoints to allow all LO residents physical access.	Virtual Summit
The city has done this already through Lake Village. The lake is small and surrounded by village like roads which makes it impossible	Virtual Summit
The city has enough viewpoints. There is no need to invest money in any more. It should maintain it's existing viewpoints.	Virtual Summit
The City has provided sever viewpoints for community residents to view the lakd and identify with it. The City should use its limited funds to maintain the existing viewpoints and recreational resources.	Virtual Summit
The East end parks are a great start. Let's try to get views from the other sides.	Virtual Summit
The lake is private! We don't need to see more of what we can't have - enough!	Virtual Summit
The lake is really only viewable with ease from Millinium Plaza and State Street. I don't feel this to be an issue since it is a private body of water. Many lakes in different parts of the country have limited access or no access. Just like many golf courses or other private entities have limited or no access to non-members. I believe the LOC engages the community beyond the water perimeter by hosting events like the Antique Boat Show and other like events that bring non-residents to the water. Another example is the 4th of July Firework Show that is lit by barge on the lake. All of these events support the community and the business that do business in the area.	Virtual Summit
The new park is well done. Spend time keeping up this park and others we already have. There are adequate viewpoints.	Virtual Summit
The public should have access to Oswego Lake through the lake front properties that are owned by the city including non-motorized boats.	Virtual Summit
The public 'viewpoints' are a waste of taxpayers' monies! 'Identify with' Oswego Lake?	Virtual Summit
There are a few already. It is a private Lake on private property. The recent and prior opinions being expressed do not negate that fact. There are at least thousands of places I would like to have access to for my own gratification. Perhaps one of them is your back yard....	Virtual Summit
There are already plenty of view points to enjoy the lake. With all the issues the city is facing I do not see a need to invest money to create additional view points. The newly designed plaza is gorgeous and a great asset to the city. It might be interesting to see if the city can actually make money by providing tours via a small boat such as the one used by the Lake House. This would provide a view of the lake that might be intersting to many while also providing funding to pay for itself.	Virtual Summit
There are enough public viewpoints of the lake. Further pusuit and money spent on this goal is not of value.	Virtual Summit
There are enough viewpoints. The city should stop buying land for this purpose.	Virtual Summit
This goal / policy has already been achieved. It should be checked-off the list.	Virtual Summit
This goal has been accomplished with the addition of Sundeleaf and Millenium Parks to the other viewpoints around the lake (such as the two swim parks).	Virtual Summit
This has been accomplished via the recently established park and viewing areas on State Street. I do not like to see the City purchasing more lake front property and thereby reduce tax producing properties!	Virtual Summit
This has been done and is a positive move for people to enjoy the visual peace and tranquility.	Virtual Summit
This has been done. I don't know what more needs to be created. We have an entire city that extends out to boones ferry road down to durham/tualatin up by mountain park and over by knaus road. We need to remember there is a whole city of beautiful walks and communities that we should not be ignoring. It seems a bit inappropriate to be so tunnel visioned.	Virtual Summit
This should no longer be a policy of the city. With the new park on State Street there currently are enough 'viewpoints' for the community. The city SHOULD NOT continue to purchase private land to establish additional viewpoints. Between the cost of the land and the cost to create a park or view point it is not a wise use of city funds. Dollars spent to do so should be used instead to fund needed city services; such as keeping up with street repairs on ALL streets in the city - including neighborhood streets that get little traffic beyond the existing neighborhood; being sure we have plenty of police and fire protection.	Virtual Summit
Viewpoints are fine if they restrict unauthorized access. We do not need to spend tax payer money on many of these but some may enhance our community.	Virtual Summit
We already have enough public viewpoints no need to spend anymore of the resident's tax dollars.	Virtual Summit
We already have enough view points please do not waste any more money.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

We already have viewpoints of the lake; do not need anymore.	Virtual Summit
We as a city have achieved our goals for parks and view points such as Headlee Walkway Sundelief and Millennium. We should not spend anymore of our resources when our parks and trails and bike lanes need attention.	Virtual Summit
We have enough of these now. Quit buying property and building parks. Enough already!	Virtual Summit
what? We just spent over 1 Million for the park on West Lake. Plus the views about. please we can see the lake. can you stop with this.	Virtual Summit
Where are the current viewpoints and where might there be future ones?	Virtual Summit
Who really cares? There's plenty of things to see and do in the greater metro area.	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
Yes but not by encroaching on private landowners property.	Virtual Summit
yes these viewpoints should be on public property.	Virtual Summit
Yes this is definitely important.	Virtual Summit
Yes so long as that does not interfere with the reasonable privacy rights of lake owners.	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
Yes. I like Sundeleaf plaza and it would be nice to have more dining options such as the Oswego Lake House that just closed on the lake.	Virtual Summit
YYou alrwyady spend over 3 million dollars just on Park near Lake House (I heard nick names 'potty Park' by several) I think we do have areas to enjoy the lake right now and spend the money on other things!	Virtual Summit
You have to define what 'enjoy' means in relationship to the Lake itself. Many definitions would not be acceptable.	Virtual Summit
3. Work with the Lake Oswego School District to preserve its rights to the existing swimming easement in the Lake Grove Area Swim Park.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
There should be no question about this. It has always been the Lake Grove school district park and should be continued as such. Just as the Lake Oswego swim park is for the east end residents. I see absolutely no reason to change either one.	Virtual Summit
I agree with the cities intention here to make Leigh Gross one area available to the school district for students use	Virtual Summit
... while supporting the goals of the Lake Oswego Corporation for environmental management and safty on the lake.	Virtual Summit
Again I think the school Dist is doing a great job.Leave them alone	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
agree strongly!	Virtual Summit
Agreed	Virtual Summit
And broaden such rights to include ALL Lake Oswego residents. If this were done the existing swim park at the east end of the Lake could be closed with consequent savings during the Summer while ensuring all residents with access to swimming in the Lake.	Virtual Summit
Are such rights in jeopardy? Yes of course any and all rights should be preserved to the existing swimming easement.	Virtual Summit
Are these rights in danger? I thought this swim park had protections already that ensure it stays a swim park for all school children.	Virtual Summit
Are they losing it? How was it established?	Virtual Summit
As I understand it the entire LO school district doesn't enjoy the right to the swim easement. These rights pertain to a limited section of one school district. By stating the way you have you create the appearance of a much larger 'right' than really exists. Just stop it.	Virtual Summit
Continue supporting the existing swim easement	Virtual Summit
Do we really need this policy? Aren't the swimming easement 'rights' already solid -- I haven't heard of any problems in this area (other than resident concern about using the easement for sewer work staging).	Virtual Summit
fine	Virtual Summit
Goal is the number of attendees	Virtual Summit
Good	Virtual Summit
How could they lose their rights unless the city tried to take them?	Virtual Summit
How does the School District currently use these rights? I need to be educated.	Virtual Summit
However it was intended for citizens living in the lake grove district to use the lake hopefully that can be maintained. I don't know that anything different needs to be done. If it is a school district run park then let the school district handle it. The city doesn't need to be involved too.	Virtual Summit
I for one agree with this.	Virtual Summit
I agree this is very important for the kids	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

I agree with this if all of LO citizens can use the Lake Grove swim area. It is my understanding that since I live in 97034 I can not use the swim park. If public dollars are going to be used for the swim park or any park for that matter then all citizens should have access.	Virtual Summit
I am not aware that the existing rights are at risk?	Virtual Summit
I am okay as long as we keep the spending under control...getting the theme	Virtual Summit
I believe the Lake Oswego School District is deemed by a legal deed to be the 'manager' of the Lake Grove Area Swim Park. I'm not sure how the legal documentation identifies The School District however I think the City should do nothing to interfere with the 'management role' that the School District has over this easement. The wishes of the person who died and donated the Lake Grove Area Swim Park land should continue to be met. There is probably no need to have this land in our city policies unless needed so for upkeep of the property - which serves a great many people in the community.	Virtual Summit
I can't believe this is a goal. It already is their right as with many others this is a given.	Virtual Summit
I did not realize these rights were being threatened by anyone except the City itself.	Virtual Summit
I think this is working fine right now and again use the money and efforts on other things like public safety!Or go to the river and use it....that is part of Lake Oswego and put expanded things there if needed	Virtual Summit
If the Lake Oswego School District doesn't have any rights to the Lake Grove Area Swim Park today I don't think it is in any position to insist it should have any in the future. To the best of my knowledge that area is owned and maintained by specific property owners for their use; not for the 'equity' of the community.	Virtual Summit
If the system is not broke don't fix it. The school district has managing the lake grove swim park very well. The city should stay out.	Virtual Summit
If you mean keep as is fine.	Virtual Summit
It is essential to preserve those existing rights.	Virtual Summit
It is important that this recreational resource for many citizens of the city be preserved.	Virtual Summit
It would make sense to increase access to this beautiful site throughout the year rather than limiting access to just summer months when schools are on summer recess. there are a great many residents on the city who are not bound by the school calendar and could enjoy this resource even when children are in school. Children would no doubt enjoy access after school and weekends.	Virtual Summit
It's the Lake Oswego School District's park not the city's how about the city takes care of it's swim park!	Virtual Summit
It's the school districts easement/ relationship. Are there any 'threats' to not retain the swim park or is this another loaded question?	Virtual Summit
Lake Oswego School District works well on its own. Do not get into their business. We don't need to employ City staff to do school district work. Stay out of their business.	Virtual Summit
Leave it as is.	Virtual Summit
Let the school deal with it. Why is the city getting involved? Stay focused.	Virtual Summit
Limit swim park to Lake Oswego children and their families- it is deeded for this purpose and needs to remin this way.	Virtual Summit
LOSD has done a fine job as stewards of the swim easement and the easement remains a tremendous asset and access point for members of the community to Oswego Lake.	Virtual Summit
Management of the swim park by the School district should be preserved. this is the access point for those who do no live on the lake.	Virtual Summit
NO	Virtual Summit
no the existing arrangement is exclusionary. How ridiculous is it that my 7 year old can swim at the Lake Grove Swim Park but I can't even sit on the grounds to watch her just because we don't live in the old Lake Grove school district? The two swim parks are not equal. The Lake Grove Swim Park has a restroom facility and a snack bar. The other swim park on the east side is horrible. Is this Lake Oswego's idea of separate but equal? Also why is it that the city's July 4th fireworks can only be seen by people living on the lake and those who can walk to the Lake Grove Swim Park? I pay higher taxes because of the location of my house on a higher end street but I don't have lake frontage and I choose not to join my neighborhood's lake easement because we don't own a boat. My tax dollars are used for these fireworks that we can't enjoy because we don't have access to the lake. Why not shoot off the fireworks at Foothills park over the Willamette so everyone can an equal chance to see them?	Virtual Summit
Not sure i guess working with the schools is good. However i think the school already has an ironclad easement.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
Preserve the rights of those in the exisitng school district. Also preserve the rights of the homeowners that are on the lake.	Virtual Summit
See comments to 'work with Lake Oswego Corporation' - applies equally here.	Virtual Summit
Sounds good.	Virtual Summit
sure. Lots of folks currently use it.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

That's fine. No one (few perhaps) understands precisely what the deal is for the LGASP.	Virtual Summit
The City and the School District should continue to work together. I am not familiar with the existing swimming easement rights.	Virtual Summit
The city should support the LO School District.	Virtual Summit
The LO school district already has what it needs. Why is the city sticking its fingers into an area it has no business. Do we have too many city officials with nothing better to do.	Virtual Summit
the losd is doing a fine job of overseeing the swim park.	Virtual Summit
The park was deeded to the school district because the original investors thought that they would best protect it for the children of Lake Grove. They did not deed it to the city because they thought that the city would not best look after the interests of the children. I think that this intent is as sound today as it was originally and that the city should keep out of it.	Virtual Summit
The Parking at the Lake Grove Area Swim Park is not adequate and dangerous. I think the value of having this easement should be reconsidered.	Virtual Summit
The school district and all lakefront property owners should be treated equally. The city should not spend resources (staff time or otherwise) to address private property owner's rights.	Virtual Summit
The School District does a great job with their Swim Park. We enjoy it all summer long and often invite others as a guest to join us well. Any work to maintain the status quo would have my vote.	Virtual Summit
The school district has it's right to the swim park and no has complained about that situation. If the district needed help in maintaining park and the city had the resources fine. But the swim park is in better shape now than 10 years ago!	Virtual Summit
The school district has rights I do not know why the city needs to help preserve them.	Virtual Summit
The school district should not have responsibility for the swim park. Perhaps this should be handed over to a joint partnership between the lakecorp and the city?	Virtual Summit
The season is too short and it isn't provitable. There needs to be more awareness brought to the community that it is a place families can go to enjoy the surroundings of their community and also the water.	Virtual Summit
There is no need for this goal as the school district has an easement that is well written and secure.	Virtual Summit
There's no problem with this. The swim park has covenants that protect it. What rights are at risk here?	Virtual Summit
This is a deeded right. I dont think there is much to protect.	Virtual Summit
This is a positive policy but the swim park is only open 2 months a year. This valuable recreational resource is being underutilized. Success would be to open the park year round and allowing the park to be used by LO residents for kayaks and canoes.	Virtual Summit
This is a three way equation which must also involve Lake Corporation.	Virtual Summit
This is critical especially given the lack of indoor swimming facilities. As a lake property owner this is the minimum that we should be doing for non lake easement residents	Virtual Summit
This is good for the community.	Virtual Summit
This is odd. The LOSD should have nothing to do with the easement or operations/management of the swim park. The easement should be managed by / under the jurisdiction of the LO Parks & Rec.	Virtual Summit
Why is this a school district issue? It should be a city issue and all LO residents should have access.	Virtual Summit
Why is this necessary? Is there an issue?	Virtual Summit
Working with and upgrading current assets such as the east end swim park is great. Spending resourse on new parks or view points when the current ones need work would be wasteful.	Virtual Summit
Works fine as it is. The School District already has deeded rights.	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes -- ok goal	Virtual Summit
Yes - swim park is a summer asset particularly for junior high students gaining limited independence - seems to be a good gathering place for parents with pre-school children - so far seems to be well run provides limited number of jobs and appears to be a community asset. There could be a time when it could be as much an asset to senior citizens but parking and mobility get to be the issue for these areas.	Virtual Summit
Yes and open it up to others as well. Need to provide school district with support for this.	Virtual Summit
Yes I agree	Virtual Summit
Yes!	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
Yes. Definately I have enjoyed many days at the Lake Grove Swim Park. Can't understand why is closes when school gets in. Lovely park for all ages and those with young kids that don't attend school cannot continue to enjoy it when the other kids are in school. What a waste.	Virtual Summit
Yes. That was the wish of the person who donated the land and we should respect those wishes.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

GOAL 8: Parks and Recreation The City shall plan acquire develop and maintain a system of park open space and recreation facilities both active and passive that is attractive safe functional available to all segments of the population and serves diverse current and long-range community needs.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
That is a pretty broad statement. Sounds like it might include condemnation too. I would want to be able to accept or reject someones idea for a facility i.e. vote on anything controversial.	Virtual Summit
okay	Virtual Summit
A very broad statement that can cover areas outside the intended scope.	Virtual Summit
Acquire? No more purchasing until we can take care of what we have. No building sports fiels when we have a declining population of school aged children. No more taking of private property for public use or benefit.	Virtual Summit
Acquisition should be measured against maintainance and development of existing resources and in view of demographic projections	Virtual Summit
Acquisitions using tax payer money should be limited.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
Agree however greater focus needs to be given to maintaining our current 'system' and realization that not all 'diverse' needs can reasonably be met by our City.	Virtual Summit
Agree but it should not attempt to or acquire any property that interferes with the private enjoyment and use of property owned by its residents - meaning it should not attempt to acquire any more properties with access for viewpoints to Oswego Lake.	Virtual Summit
Agreed further we need to maintain a first in class facilities. Nature paths through passive and larger dog parks for open non recreational locations	Virtual Summit
Always giving due consideration to the prudent financial/budgetary responsibilities of the city.	Virtual Summit
and realize that as the population ages people will stay active into later years than currently particularly in this type of community	Virtual Summit
Are you asking me a question OR are you now telling me that whatever my answers were for the previous questions don't mean anything.	Virtual Summit
As a general goal ok.....but within the confines of maintaining existing facilities at the best level being mindful of the budget and also respecting the rights of private property owners in any plan to 'create' open space.	Virtual Summit
As long as these parks do not cost the tax payers any more money or allow public access to the Lake. We have plenty of great parks as it is.	Virtual Summit
Being a long time resident of LO I believe that all of these wonderful ideas must be paid for. The City has made some pretty stupid 'investments' in the past and as a result I do not trust politicians to continue spending money that we do not have. There is a lot of 'pie in the sky' in goal #8.	Virtual Summit
Disagree. We should maintain and utilize what we have.	Virtual Summit
Do a better job of making the city walker friendly. Try to reflect the nature of the property in the recreation and public use choices such as Luscher Farm.	Virtual Summit
Do not acquire new park land.	Virtual Summit
Doing a great job on this at Foothills Millenium Plaza Luscher and the newest site along State.	Virtual Summit
Done.	Virtual Summit
For the reasons stated before the City should maintain its existing system instead of 'planning acquiring and developing' new sites. It should not be a goal to aquire but a goal to maintain and only aquire if there are specific needs identified in the future. The city should continue to develop Lusher Farms into additional sports facilities which is what we approved the bond for it to do.	Virtual Summit
great goal	Virtual Summit
How about maintaining and developing the parks we have it's not like we have a lot open land for new parks.	Virtual Summit
I believe the city has already done a good job of this. I believe the city needs to focus on preserving they system of parks we already have and not worry about expanding this system. There is a limited amount of real estate within the city of lake oswego and until the city's geographic size increases I do not believe we need additional parks.	Virtual Summit
I do not recommend further spending.	Virtual Summit
I think current amount of parks etc. is adequate.	Virtual Summit
I think the city should maintain what we have.	Virtual Summit
I think we have that with very few exceptions. We are a small community and do not need to provide everything to everyone.	Virtual Summit
I would like to see more parks/open space - and not recreation facilities. These costs money and server only a few whereas openspace and parks can be enjoyed by all.	Virtual Summit
Including boating and swimming in the Lake to the extent not inconsistent with the Lake Corporation's right to use and control the Lake.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

keep what we have. We live in one of the most diverse outdoors around. There are litterally hunderds of ways to enjoy the outdoors. Lets not waste valuable time and money pursueing specialities. stick to what we have.	Virtual Summit
Looks good.	Virtual Summit
Maintain is also a critical word here. We need to be able to afford what we have before we build new.	Virtual Summit
maintain what we have. we have one of the nicest parks system around.	Virtual Summit
Maintain what you have before acquiring more.	Virtual Summit
Maintaining a park system is good but do not get involed with maintaing recreation facilities leave it to private sectors.	Virtual Summit
Maintaining what already exists is a great goal. Although fruit and nut trees in public parks would be nice. We could have plants that earn their keep instead of just providing visual appeal. Edibles could do both.	Virtual Summit
Mission accomplished. Might continue with community pool and tennis center.	Virtual Summit
Need to have more athletic fields with turf for year-round use.	Virtual Summit
No Public access to the Lake	Virtual Summit
No! Sensitive Lands regulations should be placed on public properties and removed from private properties. In that way we can use our yards as we would a park or recreation facility.	Virtual Summit
No. We have enough already! The one exception may be around the Hunt Club because it is unique. This shoud be done though with keeping the Hunt club there also.	Virtual Summit
No. Work with what we have. Stop spending money for something that is unmeasurable.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
OK within the financial constraints of the City and to the extent that the open space approach does not infringe upon private property.	Virtual Summit
OK if within the financial constraints of the City. Also this policy should not acquire or develop open space that would infringe upon private property.	Virtual Summit
Only if the area makes sense. Besides Lusher to still be decided I think we have enough areas.	Virtual Summit
only to the extent that core services are funded. the city should not make purchases akin to the West End Building.	Virtual Summit
Open the LGASP to all residents.	Virtual Summit
Pretty broad paintbrushe here not asking for a comment.	Virtual Summit
Rather than aquisition the City should concentrate on upgrading and maintenance to best utilize what we have.	Virtual Summit
Solve Lusher Farm and move on	Virtual Summit
Sounds good but not if you are taking from others and violating citizen's rights.	Virtual Summit
Sounds more like a mission statement. Not sure what it means though.	Virtual Summit
Stop buying new parks. Take care of the ones you have. Only if LO annexes new land should additional parks be made. Also stop buying properties that are generating tax revenue. Next thing you know you will buy the motel downtown and lose that tax revenue too. I don't trust the city when it comes to buying real estate.	Virtual Summit
Sure but not at the expense of private property rights.	Virtual Summit
Take better care of what we have now and NO new parks or open space.	Virtual Summit
Take care of what we have first!	Virtual Summit
The city boundaries are fixed and the community well provided for in terms of parks and open spaces. We need to broaden the tax base rather than shrink it to ensure the city remains affordable to future (and existing) residents.	Virtual Summit
The city can 'develop and maintain' anything they already own. But they should not 'plan' or 'acquire' any more property. It appears that every time the city 'acquires' its citizens lose.	Virtual Summit
The city has a lot of parks. Personally I think we need to stop acquiring and settle in with making what we have work well. allocate funding to improve streets sidewalks go underground with powerlines make it attractive and safe. We are well served with parks.	Virtual Summit
The city is almost entirely built-out. The existing parks and recreation facilities are adequate. We have no need for additional plans/aquisitions/renovations at this time. Please make sure that any plans respect the private property right of private property owners.	Virtual Summit
The city shouldn't acquire any new P & R facilities unless approved by the citizens of LO through the ballot process.	Virtual Summit
The long range plan should take into consideration the changing demographics of the City's population. A slightly diffierent focus may be required it the age of it's citizens continues to rise.	Virtual Summit
This has been done.	Virtual Summit
This is over-stated and implies no limits to the work of the city. Space is limited and already owned by someone! To acquire more space for parks and recreation implies further public encroachment on private property and removal of property from the tax roles. The city needs to focus on better managing and utilizing existing city-owned parks and space.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

This would imply public access to the lake. The 'jim crow for the poor' laws: expensive boat licenses inspections etc. or other unnecessary restrictions should not be allowed to substitute as hoops that the public needs to navigate to be able to enjoy a public lake.	Virtual Summit
We already have enough public parks and recreational facilities no need to spend anymore of the resident's tax dollars on these types of nice to have wants.	Virtual Summit
WE do not need to acquire or develop any more parks. We have adequate facilities now. I would recommend selling off those that are not currently being used. The focus needs to be on maintaining these for the current and future needs.	Virtual Summit
We have done a good job with all this except the 'maintain' part. Our open spaces especially need better care and restoration. Stop building new and maintain what we have.	Virtual Summit
We have enough parks.	Virtual Summit
We have more than enough parks and open space. There should be no more acquisition except in an exceptional case like a land gift or extrordiary opportunity.	Virtual Summit
We have plenty. can we just manage what we have	Virtual Summit
What we have now for the exisitn population is fine and enough. We should work to maintain them in the best condition possible. I do not think acquiring more propery is in our interest at this time oe is it economically in our interest.	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
Yes but the priority should be to maintain what we have.	Virtual Summit
yes sounds good. But don't make this community path system go through private property.	Virtual Summit
Yes. I love our parks and open spaces.	Virtual Summit
1. Plan for park and recreation facilities which: a. Provide for active and passive recreational opportunities; b. Educate and entertain the public through interpretive and recreational programs; c. Promote enjoyment of the cultural arts; and d. Enhance the environmental quality of the City.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Also pretty broad. I would like to have a vote on anything controversial.	Virtual Summit
Again are you asking or telling me. I agree have educational programs and promote the arts. DO NOT spend any more money on buying land to add more parks but upkeep what we currently have. If any money should be spent it should be on changing the traffic flow through Lake Oswego downtown. I do not shop downtown because I don't enjoy having speeding cars going past me as I walk to the shops.	Virtual Summit
Again take care of what we have first. Review what we have and ways to get the most effective long-term use and make those adjustments before investing in new sites.	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Are accessible and usable for all levels and limitations of abilities.	Virtual Summit
As 'cultural arts' is included it seems appropriate that 'historical resources' - a part of our 'cultural resources inventory' also be specifically noted in this facilites plan.	Virtual Summit
City does a wonderful job in providing opportunities to enjoy and learn about the arts etc. - I see no need to expand the current programs.	Virtual Summit
City is doing a great job now. Level is fine.	Virtual Summit
Define #1.d. What is environmental quality of the City?	Virtual Summit
Define 'environmental quality of the City' so as to affect City property only (not private property) and the city should take care of invasive weeds (like english ivy) and stormwater problems on city property / roadways before starting some magnanimous new environmental some-thing-or-another program which is based upon fraudulent pseudo-science like global warming.	Virtual Summit
Don't go crazy with un\$ustainable green policies.	Virtual Summit
Enough with the public art. We have a lot of nice art but is more really a priority right now?	Virtual Summit
Environmental wquality is perhaps the most important item long term. Not sure what passive recreational activities are - ?entertainment.	Virtual Summit
fine	Virtual Summit
How many people use the parks? What is the economic multipler driven by the parks. Is the city meeting the rerequisites of it's NPDES stormwater permit.	Virtual Summit
I do not feel this is necessary	Virtual Summit
I don't think it is the responsibility of the City to provide for recreational facilities. There are adequate recreational facilities in Lake Oswego today.	Virtual Summit
I see nothing wrong with this with our existing resources.	Virtual Summit
I think parks are wonderfull but how many do we need. I guess if we are maintaining them yes maintain them in a fashion that benefits everyone regardless of age and abilities.	Virtual Summit
I think the city already does this just continue what was started	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

I think the City has done a good job on the Parks saying that I do not know what was paid for them. I am now of the opinion that we are getting over the top on some activities. Do we really need 4 concert venues? I enjoy them but think we are overdoing them . They aren't so special anymore because there are so many of them and I can always go next week and then... I miss them. Activity fatigue.!	Virtual Summit
I think we already have these just maintain and improve on the ones we have	Virtual Summit
In the above items a. through c. look benign enough but item d. is a ticking bomb. Basically I don't trust the city and its design on 'environmental quality'. This usually ends up with someone from city hall telling me what I can do with my property.	Virtual Summit
Just no more new tax dollars- we are taxed enough already.	Virtual Summit
LO is doing just fine here. No expansion is needed. We need to exercise fiscal responsibility and pay off debt and not just keep looking for ways to expand. Maintain what we already have. We have plenty.	Virtual Summit
Looks good.	Virtual Summit
maintain these goals	Virtual Summit
maintain what we have. I think success of the parks will be demonstrated by attendance. I know that we get countless visitors from other cities that participate in our parks and rec programs.	Virtual Summit
Many families are constantly on-the-go. Your goals above need to be more balanced between active (organized) recreation and enjoyment of our natural environment as a way of enjoying our families and friends in the beautiful country where we live.	Virtual Summit
Maximize maintenance with existing facilities	Virtual Summit
no interest in supporting this	Virtual Summit
No Public access to the Lake	Virtual Summit
No. We have plenty of under utilized parks and facilities already. Invest in better utilization of those facilities.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
OK. However more emphasis should be placed on active. We have a lot of passive.	Virtual Summit
Once again only if you aren't violating the rights of property owners.	Virtual Summit
Our citizens are intelligent enough to figure out how to enjoy what we have without being educated by the City. That is not the job of government.	Virtual Summit
repeat	Virtual Summit
Success will be measured in the number of volunteers who will accomplish these goals.	Virtual Summit
The city should provide access to recreational activities but the city should leave the education part should be left to the experts in the field. For instance if the city educates people about kayaking they are competing with local businesses that provide that service. The city can't be all things to all people we need to understand that there are limits.	Virtual Summit
There is no need to expand existing recreational facilities. Present and future demand will be met with the existing facilities.	Virtual Summit
These facilities need to be city wide - with connecting trail/bike routes.	Virtual Summit
These goals sound nice but are broad and leave room for endless interpretation.....such as 'active and passive recreational opportunities'.	Virtual Summit
We are already good to go. Enhance the environmental quality of the city? What does that mean and what is the cost/benefit analysis.	Virtual Summit
We don't need to add more. Stay the course.	Virtual Summit
We have that already.	Virtual Summit
Why is the city in the entertainment business? How do you reconcile these disparate goals with 'enhance the environmental quality of the city'?	Virtual Summit
With goals such as these acquisition should be put aside altogether. Programming and development appears to be of primary importance.	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
Yes lets be first in class. Lets not compete with private recreational providers but instead fill the gaps.	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
You are doing this.	Virtual Summit
You can't be all things to all people. I'd rather see fewer programs run well than a lot of poorly done programs. Stay within your budget and make it work.	Virtual Summit
2. Identify and acquire lands which satisfy current and/or long-range community recreation needs in terms of size and usability for planned activities and facilities.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Also pretty broad. I would want to have a say/vote on any thing that was controversial.	Virtual Summit
yes subject to cities community involvement and approval	Virtual Summit
Again I believe the parks system to be sufficiently large for the geographic size of lake Oswego. I do not believe we need to plan to expand the existing system.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Again no more purchases. Take care of what we have.	Virtual Summit
All within fiscal constraint.	Virtual Summit
As per my earlier note I wouldn't want to see funding spent on acquiring lands if there are similar resources available but just not as close. My only caveat to this is for items such as kid's parks which typically are accessed via walking where distance becomes a factor.	Virtual Summit
At least some city-owned lake front parcels should be used for public boat ramps etc. These should not be restrictive--they should have adequate parking. We have too many public areas in this town that are clearly maintained strictly for specific neighborhoods--they have no parking and nearby street parking isn't always available.	Virtual Summit
Bad idea.	Virtual Summit
City government has not handled this very well in the past	Virtual Summit
City is almost entirely built-out. No acquisitions are needed.	Virtual Summit
City should leave land acquisitions to developers. The city could take over the closed or closing schools for parks such as Palliades.	Virtual Summit
Current level is fine.	Virtual Summit
disagree	Virtual Summit
Disagree.	Virtual Summit
Do current demographic projections justify any growth in this area? This should be the primary measurement and second should be the cost of new facilities after the maintenance and programming of existing resources.	Virtual Summit
Do not acquire new land.	Virtual Summit
Do not purchase more park land when we don't take care of what we have.	Virtual Summit
Do not spend more money acquiring more land for recreation needs. Use funds to improve what we currently have. Work with schools to improve on their recreational facilities to ensure that fields do not flood and cannot be used during prime sports times. Work on improvements to Elementary playgrounds.	Virtual Summit
Done.	Virtual Summit
Enough is already owned by the city. Please don't remove more property from the tax roles and increase the cost to all of us.	Virtual Summit
I think we have more land than we can care for right now and am not interested in the city acquiring more when there's no budget to take care of what we have.	Virtual Summit
I think we have plenty at this point. It seems as though as the city looks to continue to acquire they forget about the rights of the property owner. The city should respect the property rights of all Lake Oswego citizens.	Virtual Summit
I would like to see the City partner with private entities to save the Hunt Club... not just for it's historical significance but because of the open space it provides.	Virtual Summit
IF affordable and prudent given basic budgetary guidelines.	Virtual Summit
If elementary schools have been closed - the use of Uplands as possible turf athletic field and new district swimming pool would be a great idea.	Virtual Summit
If it makes sense and not to just waste the money	Virtual Summit
Instead focus on acquiring rights-of-way for safe walkways and trails that connect recreational areas.	Virtual Summit
Lets make the current ones better	Virtual Summit
Limit spending of tax payer money.	Virtual Summit
LO has vast recreational facilities maintaining and replacing existing facilities within our available funds should be the focus.	Virtual Summit
NO	Virtual Summit
No buy nothing. We live in a fantastic area. We need to focus our energy and finances towards important essential criteria.	Virtual Summit
No we have an adequate supply of beautiful parks	Virtual Summit
No more acquiring and identifying - maintain what we have and sell the properties not currently developed. We obviously do not need them.	Virtual Summit
No new acquisitions are required.	Virtual Summit
No new acquisitions!	Virtual Summit
no new purchase of land.	Virtual Summit
No! Any acquisitions should be only sensitive lands properties who owner's have lost their property rights.	Virtual Summit
NO. The city buys too much land. I can only think of one parcel that the city could add to its holdings that would add value and that is the Hunt.	Virtual Summit
No. We are fine.	Virtual Summit
No. We have enough!	Virtual Summit
No. We have made a mess of acquisitions. I suggest you stop	Virtual Summit
no. Absolutely not. Stop and reinvest in the current infrastructure.	Virtual Summit
NO.....LO does not need to acquire more.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

OK	Virtual Summit
Only if it makes sense to connect paths etc	Virtual Summit
Only if there is a plan to improve and maintain the existng green spaces. Attempts to do so via private groups have failed. Lamont Springs Natual area is a shameful example	Virtual Summit
Only if valuable monies are not needed for streets police schools the elderly.	Virtual Summit
Only within available funds	Virtual Summit
Only within the financial constraints of the City.	Virtual Summit
Please do not take any more property off the tax rolls. We have way above average number of acres of parks and open space. Focus on taking care of what we have.	Virtual Summit
See previous comments: The City needs to more adequately serve the needs of the existing residents in a cost effective manner rather than devote limited dollars to another grand 'vision'. Let's focus on consolidating our existing strengths before moving on to the next big thing. The community is deeply concerned about the affordability of living in this wonderful city and council's recent track record on property 'investment' has been very poor.	Virtual Summit
see previous.	Virtual Summit
Sell the west end building put it back on the tax rolls and use those funds for acquiring truly useful property.	Virtual Summit
Sounds good but pretty general.	Virtual Summit
Stay away from the lake.	Virtual Summit
The answer to this is simple. NO MORE ACQUIRING OF LAND.	Virtual Summit
The city does not need to acquire any more land. It should manage what it has.	Virtual Summit
The City has enough lands now to handle future needs especially with Tryon Creek Park on our doorstep. I would change this to 'Cooperate with the Lake Oswego School District to optimize City and District properties use for community and school use. An example of this might be for the City to install a turf athletic field at a grade school for school and community use.	Virtual Summit
The City hasn't been the best judge of acquiring property and budget constraints need to have important consideration. As a general rule 'identifying' lands is an ok process but the 'acquiring' needs much thought.	Virtual Summit
The city is close to being built out and we have existing parks which need improvements. What I'm I missing?	Virtual Summit
The city seems to have plenty of real estate. Now just need appropriate recreational development.	Virtual Summit
The city should not acquire any lands without a vote by the citiizenry. I would refer everyone to the WEB. This is clearly an example of the city overstepping its bounds with the grand idea that they could build a swim facility among other things at a cost of over \$25 million to the citizens and still rising. Clearly the city needs to be reined in on land acquisition.	Virtual Summit
The city should not have aquisition as a goal but as a reaction to a specifically identified need. Other than expanding Lusher Farms I am unaware of any existing need to aquire properties. We need to make better use of what we have.	Virtual Summit
The 'taxpayers' cannot afford to fund anymore facilities. Do not purchase anymore lands!!!	Virtual Summit
There is no need to acquire further lands.	Virtual Summit
This city does not need to be spending any more money.	Virtual Summit
This should be put on hold until much better economic times and other properties we own are sold or paid for.	Virtual Summit
THis sounds like a blank check. Scarry	Virtual Summit
Uncertain with the very limited dollars available if the acquisition of additional lands is a reasonable goal. However if you are simply desiring an aspirational goal such is acceptable.	Virtual Summit
We do NOT need any more land. LO has not even utilized all of the land we currently own. Let's be responsible and develop what we have before we even think about expanding.	Virtual Summit
We don't need to buy more land. Look what the city did with the Safeco building. What a bonehead move. Think!!!	Virtual Summit
When economic health returns to Oregon - go for it.	Virtual Summit
Work with school district on this. There's a fair amount of land that could be better used.	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
yes sounds great. But don't plan a public path that goes through private property unless the city is prepared to make a market rate offer for it.	Virtual Summit
Yes. But make sure it can be used right away or soon - not like the Safeco Building which needs costly rennovation. Would have been better off to buy land and build new? Set up a way type of endowment for people to donate land for certain purposes (arts sports fields cottage housing parks etc)	Virtual Summit
3. Coordinate park planning acquisition and development with affected neighborhoods and with other community projects and programs.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
It all depends on the scope of some yet unseen plan. Not without citizen input and/or a vote. These statements are entirely too broad for me.	Virtual Summit
A similar answer; NO MORE ACQUIRING OF LANDS.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Absolutely.	Virtual Summit
Acquire nothing. Work with what we have. coordinate with what we have.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
Agree.	Virtual Summit
Always work with the neighborhoods.	Virtual Summit
Coordinate with those citizens whose property will be affected.	Virtual Summit
Coordination is important. The City should not undertake these actions without coordination before during and after the process.	Virtual Summit
Could be better. In the past it has seemed that the city just developed and started projects without appropriate information for or input from the citizens.	Virtual Summit
Done.	Virtual Summit
Fine goal if more parks were needed. They are not.	Virtual Summit
How people frequent and care for these parks. Are they trashed? Do people let their dogs make a mess? Do runners walkers and cyclers treat each other with respect?	Virtual Summit
I believe coordinating planning and development with the locals is a great idea. As for acquisition I believe the city's footprint is large enough already.	Virtual Summit
I couldn't believe that this is not done today.	Virtual Summit
I don't think the city should continue to acquire but certainly if the city is doing any planning acquiring or development it should definitely work with the affected neighborhood and other projects and program. And again I do not support any continued acquisition or development when it is impacting private property and individual's property rights.	Virtual Summit
I have no idea what this even means but sure sounds like another way to waste money	Virtual Summit
I think it is unfortunate that with the passage of the PNA Zone that parks and open space can now be built on.	Virtual Summit
I think we have plenty of parks already. We need to focus on making sidewalks and good streets that are lighted to get to and from all of the parks we have.	Virtual Summit
important	Virtual Summit
Location is not as important as does it meet a demand. We are a community that is able to extend to first class facilities.	Virtual Summit
Looks good.	Virtual Summit
Need more balance between the goals of the existing plans with the rights property owners. The 'sensitive lands' issue has significantly undermined the community's faith and trust in council.	Virtual Summit
No acquisition and development. Stop this and the infringements on private property.	Virtual Summit
no.	Virtual Summit
No. We are done with this. There is no more land!	Virtual Summit
Of course.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
only if does not drive up the cost of introductory housing.	Virtual Summit
Only if there is an opportunity for a SMALL neighborhood park for a neighborhood that doesn't have one.	Virtual Summit
Planning should always take into consideration and dialog with those affected. NO NEW acquisitions! We have plenty. Development should seriously consider those most impacted or we become like most other bureaucratic cities in the US. Local control is part of the reason people choose to live here. Where would the city be without the people.	Virtual Summit
Private homes should take precedence.	Virtual Summit
Private property rights should be measured in looking at this goal.	Virtual Summit
reasonable.	Virtual Summit
Stop acquisition and development! We are maxed/taxed to the limit!!!	Virtual Summit
The city should always coordinate these things with affected neighborhoods etc. Why is this even a question? Unfortunately the city officials don't always want to listen to the citizens.	Virtual Summit
The goals need to give consideration to private property rights.	Virtual Summit
The neighborhoods should be informed of plan	Virtual Summit
This is a delicate issue: how to include n'hoods in the process without ignoring the larger picture: that parks are for the enjoyment of all. We need to remain focused on the goal of why parks are developed and ensure that special interests or perceived problems (such as possible higher crime/vandalism etc.) are allowed to dictate excuses to exclude folks.	Virtual Summit
Use common sense!	Virtual Summit
We have spent enough on parks.	Virtual Summit
We should remember that we are a small community and we should only have what is really necessary. Just because we are Lake Oswego does not mean we need to spend money irresponsibly.	Virtual Summit
What does this mean? So vague and open ended.	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes of course. A balance is needed which provides for community needs and respects affected neighborhoods.	Virtual Summit
Yes --- stupid not to 'coordinate'.	Virtual Summit
Yes when we can afford it and if the neighbors want suck facilities over other needs.	Virtual Summit
Yes- great idea.	Virtual Summit
4. Distribute park and recreation opportunities by type throughout the City as land availability allows.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
here again a very broad innocent sounding statement but there again I think there should be considerable citizen input	Virtual Summit
Again I believe we have enough for the size of our city. We do not need to buy land for more open space.	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
And our budget allows	Virtual Summit
As long as it means you stop wasting money on more land purchases or take on more costs	Virtual Summit
Based on real NEEDS	Virtual Summit
Concentrate on existing park and recreation facilities. We don't need more with the existing and foreseeable population	Virtual Summit
Current level is fine. The city is not that big that one has to travel/walk far to enjoy a park.	Virtual Summit
Done.	Virtual Summit
DON'T UNDERSTAND THE APPLICATION OF THIS POLICY	Virtual Summit
Fix the ones we have!	Virtual Summit
I do not believe any redistribution of park and recreation type needs to be done. The city is not so large that one cannot get to the type of recreational activity desired with a short car bicycle ride or walk.	Virtual Summit
I don't go along with this 'distribute' idea. We have many good facilities throughout the city. We cannot afford to have every type of facility in every neighborhood. If there is some type of facility I want to use; it is not too hard to get to it today.	Virtual Summit
I think we have plenty already.	Virtual Summit
Ideally resources should be assessable anywhere in the City with a 10 minute drive or 20 minute cycling trip.	Virtual Summit
If only cost effective	Virtual Summit
I'm not convinced we have park shortage issues.	Virtual Summit
I'm not sure what the concern is. There are so many parks with a variety of recreation opportunities already. Sports fields are lacking but some turf and lights at existing locations could potentially fix that problem.	Virtual Summit
Improving sidewalks/trails/roadways to inter-connect citizens to currently offered recreation opportunities would be a better utilization of resources.	Virtual Summit
In practice it doesn't always work that these are evenly 'distributed'. A good goal yes but at what cost.	Virtual Summit
It is more than just land availability. There are capital costs and maintenance expenses.	Virtual Summit
It would appear we have enough availability of opportunities for the foreseeable future. Better maintain existing opportunities.	Virtual Summit
It's impossible to have every neighborhood equal facilities. If that's what this goal is I'm not for it at all. This town is not so big that a few minutes in a car bike bus can't get you everywhere.	Virtual Summit
Lake Oswego is not big enough to give this goal any urgency.	Virtual Summit
'Land availability' - if this means more taxes the City needs to stop spending monies we cannot afford!	Virtual Summit
'Land availability' should not be the driving factor specifically identified need should be.	Virtual Summit
Land availability? It can only come from private property.	Virtual Summit
Maybe but I think we have a lot of areas right now	Virtual Summit
no	Virtual Summit
No more buying!	Virtual Summit
No new funds.....	Virtual Summit
No new land grabs!	Virtual Summit
No.	Virtual Summit
No. You don't need to buy more land.	Virtual Summit
Not really. Some parks because of unique situations may have a different focus than others	Virtual Summit
Not sure that's necessary. Fewer really excellent facilities might be most appreciated while acquiring land for potential future facilities.	Virtual Summit
Not sure what this means. Again we don't need new parks.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

OK	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
only as needed. Not as land allows.	Virtual Summit
scale back the activities we have made a BIG mistake of the West End project. Did not we learn a lesson?	Virtual Summit
See previous comment. We have enough!	Virtual Summit
Sure as long as we have existing land.	Virtual Summit
The city does not need to acquire more recreation opportunities. The community has plenty on resources as it is. Many parks are empty as it is. The city needs to stop trying to expand its purpose.	Virtual Summit
The current Sensitive lands Regulations make it too risky for anyone to buy residential property in Lake Oswego. Unless private property rights are respected I could not recommend anyone buy property in LO. Why would anyone buy a piece of property that only the animals can recreate on? We need to move back to the point where value is attributed to people and their children and their rights to domestic pets. Change the onerous Sensitive Lands Policy now.	Virtual Summit
These questions just keep rephrasing the original intent in a different way.	Virtual Summit
This is too general!! Playgrounds for small children and some walking/biking trails should be located 'throughout the City ' but there is no need for athletic fields swimming pools horse trails indoor tennis facilities etc. to be scattered around the city.	Virtual Summit
To the extent that it is affordable and agreed to by the citizens I would agree.	Virtual Summit
Uncertain. Greater needs exists where greater population density exists-these existing areas deserve priority. And opportunities which provide the greatest community benefit-somewhat but not entirely by the numbers-should be the priority.	Virtual Summit
We are good right now. The change we need is to sell what we aren't using and adequately maintain what we are using. That is not being done at this point.	Virtual Summit
We do not need everything to be everywhere. Given a reasonable choice distribution would be desirable.	Virtual Summit
We have lots of available land that the city already owns. NO NEW land aquisition! Also no violation of personal private property rights.	Virtual Summit
What is wrong in getting on your bike or your car and going to the park that fits my needs? There is no 'right' that says we all need equal parks within a 5 minute walk.	Virtual Summit
Work wiwth what is already there.	Virtual Summit
yes good idea.	Virtual Summit
yes.	Virtual Summit
5. Ensure a long-range balance is maintained between land acquisition and facility development efforts to: a. Avoid the irrevocable loss of land suitable for park and recreation uses; b. Minimize future land acquisition costs; and c. Provide for the activity needs and preferences of the community.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
There again a very broad statement. Any recreational facility should definitrly have input from the citizens who could possibly be affected.	Virtual Summit
agreed	Virtual Summit
A. is impossible unless we have an unlimited city resource other than taxpayers. We can't have everything we want. There may be some opportunity loss. However we should not spend money we do not currently have regardless of how great an opportunity it is. We do not need any more land. LO doesn't utilize the land it now owns. Lake Oswego can not be all things to all people. Some activities may have to be paid for by those enjoying them. It is not the responsibility of a city to meet every whim of its citizens.	Virtual Summit
Adequate assessment of long-term needs along with critical prioritization should direct the use of limited recreational dollars. Seek to re-use adapt current City assets to provide for current and future needs. Seek other publicly-owned assets-such as elementary school sites for use in providing recreational uses. Acknowledge that not all needs and preferences can be met.	Virtual Summit
Again for long range use modify or reorient the lands the city currently ownes. Don't 'acquire' more lands.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
As stated we have enough parks	Virtual Summit
At this time I do not think it is in our interest for a better Lake Oswego to worry about irrevocable loss of land suitable for parks when we should be maintaining and reducing cost.	Virtual Summit
Balance seems fine. If Stafford Triangle is added - obviously balance that area.	Virtual Summit
City is almost entirely built-out. No aquisition is needed.	Virtual Summit
Do not assume that the 'taxpayers' can afford the lands that you want! Stop spending our 'property taxpayer' money!	Virtual Summit
Enough currently for the forseeable future population	Virtual Summit
Ensure endowment for proper maintenance	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Focus on improving and maintaining what we have! We do not need to keep purchasing additional land and burdening future generations with its maintenance. The exception would be small purchases of land for playgrounds for young people.	Virtual Summit
Forget about facility development until the economy turns unless the City can pay for the development without increased in taxes and fees.	Virtual Summit
Good goals --- but shouldn't get out of hand.	Virtual Summit
Have we learned nothing from the purchase of the WEB? Time to stop making purchases reduce staff and encourage citizen volunteers to help care for what they value and sell off what they don't.	Virtual Summit
how about we just stop. we do NOT need to acquire more	Virtual Summit
I think long range planning needs to be tabled until the the Safeco fiasco is dealt with the schools have better financing and the economy picks up.	Virtual Summit
I think we do this. If the land makes sence and it affordable then consider it	Virtual Summit
I whole heartedly agree with minimizing costs. I do not believe and future acquisitions are necessary unless the physical boundaries of the city are increased. However fiscal responsibility needs to be addressed. The city should be looking at the livability of the cost of all of these programs not just recreation but all costs.	Virtual Summit
If the City has the available funds and a piece of land is available the City should consider purchasing it even if it will not be used for several years.	Virtual Summit
Just no new tax dollars please.	Virtual Summit
Meet demand by making the current facilities better and more effeicent.	Virtual Summit
No let development save property. We dont want to be in the real estate business.	Virtual Summit
NO acquisition	Virtual Summit
No land acquisition is needed.	Virtual Summit
No purchases until you take care of what we have. Spoiled children!	Virtual Summit
Not a high priority based on population projections Take care of what we have.	Virtual Summit
Only if we have money in the budget. Get Trusts to donate land to the city	Virtual Summit
Please. Government is not responsible for citizen activity 'needs'.	Virtual Summit
Private homes should take precedence and no new revenues should be raised. Lower our taxes as we cannot afford them now.	Virtual Summit
Providing for the 'activity' needs of the community seems a bit paternalistic. I think we've got a pretty good mix of uses right now.	Virtual Summit
See previous comments about the need to balance the tax base with the reduced revenues that result from the city increasing its ownership of land within the city boundaries.	Virtual Summit
should work with what you have and not acquire more land	Virtual Summit
So if this is a goal then why is the city going to sell the two lots next to the dam that were used for the sewer project? Wouldn't long range planning dictate that the city hold on to these lots as a future boat ramp for public access to the lake? Or how about another lake side park for being able to sit by the water like the new park by the movie theater?	Virtual Summit
Sorry I don't agree. Lets control our budgets first	Virtual Summit
Stop aquiring land. Reinvest in the infastructure we have.	Virtual Summit
Stop looking over te fence and look at what you have already.	Virtual Summit
The balance needs to include consideration for private property rights. People have made large investments to live in this community. It shouldn't be the city's business to take any of it away.	Virtual Summit
The is too subjective..... What is suitable and necessary? Of course we should minimize land acquisition costs but who decides what is necessary?	Virtual Summit
The question you must ask of yourself is 'At what point do we take a breather on all of this stuff?'	Virtual Summit
The West End Building is a perfect example of the city's inappropriate use of funds. We measure success on this existing goal/policy by the city living within its means and not purchasing facilities without an agreed-upon plan for use of said facilities.	Virtual Summit
This goal is not tied to economic realities. Not only do land acquisitions take private property off the tax roles but encumber citizens with ongoing costs.	Virtual Summit
This implies that you want to buy and bank land. The WEB is a great example of banking at high cost without a plan or a need. This also implies again a desire to remove property from the tax roles for vague and ill-defined reasons.	Virtual Summit
Very broad!	Virtual Summit
We have all the parks we need. they are not over used. take care of what we have	Virtual Summit
We have done all this. NO MORE!	Virtual Summit
We have enough parks.	Virtual Summit
we have plenty of park land. We need more homes and businesses to bring people and tax revenue.	Virtual Summit
Where is all of this land? Stafford-if so collect the money from the Developers not from the taxpayers.	Virtual Summit
Work with developers to set aside park land in multi residential developments. The city does not need to do any land speculation	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

yes	Virtual Summit
YES BUT PLACE LIMITS ON EXPENSIVE ATHLETIC FIELD DEVELOPMENT AND MAINTENANCE. IMPOSE USER FEES	Virtual Summit
Yes but we do not need to provide unlimited soccer fields for a for-profit youth soccer club program. We need to have enough for our school and city league teams.	Virtual Summit
You don't need to buy more. Use what we have. Stop taking taking away valuable tax revenues. All it does is shift the burden to the rest of the property owners.	Virtual Summit
6. Require developers to pay their equitable share of future park acquisition and development costs.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Maybe under certain circumstances such as providing adequate acreage for a park in a large development	Virtual Summit
absolutely	Virtual Summit
Absolutely	Virtual Summit
Again how many more areas do we need that do not generate revenue.	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
agreed	Virtual Summit
Are developers the bad guys? Citizens should pay for what they value and not bribe others to do so.	Virtual Summit
Are there any big developments going on in LO which will result in the need for more parks?	Virtual Summit
As a general rule. NO. If the park is an integral part of a huge development it can be looked at uniquely.	Virtual Summit
Developers already pay for road school etc etc improvements.	Virtual Summit
As long as there is an equitable return?	Virtual Summit
Beyond 'Foothills' are there any remaining ares of the City that makes that a requirement?	Virtual Summit
Cost of housing is high in LO so now what the developers to pay more. Get a clue developers pass along the cost directly to the buyers driving up the cost of homes even more.	Virtual Summit
Definitely.	Virtual Summit
Depends. Maybe for large developments but not onesy twosey.	Virtual Summit
Developers should be getting no tax breaks!	Virtual Summit
Developers should pay their share towards sewer water schools roads -- but we don't need any new parks.	Virtual Summit
Development costs should include the costs of increasing density. On the other hand we need to keep costs as low as possible to attract younger families into the community.	Virtual Summit
'Equitable share' is in the eye of the beholder. We should not disincentify development.	Virtual Summit
Great idea.	Virtual Summit
Hmm what does equitable share mean? Too general for me.	Virtual Summit
I agree that developers should pay a fee when developing a new area to allow for 'public' space.	Virtual Summit
I don't know what our current requirements are.	Virtual Summit
I don't really know the implications of this. If it stops developers from building homes attractive to young families or from attracting job-friendly development I would say 'no' to this policy! Otherwise it might be ok.	Virtual Summit
I think they already do. Don't make it even MORE difficult to build in Lake Oswego	Virtual Summit
In favor of this.	Virtual Summit
Keep adding additional fees to developers and their won't be any development	Virtual Summit
lets have the funds go to what we have now to keep them looking good	Virtual Summit
most definitely	Virtual Summit
No the developers do not use the parks.	Virtual Summit
No (and I am not a developer). This should be a City expense. Developers already are stuck with all sorts of fees. Charging them is just one to lay off the cost to someone else.....in the long run the new homeowner. Don't raise new homes any more than you have --- encourage new homes.....which then are added to the tax role.	Virtual Summit
No acquisition. Developers should provide green space and the city should control wetlands use etc	Virtual Summit
No! Developers are gouged by fees now. The City should stop the current exploitation.	Virtual Summit
No. Don't dump additional fees on new development. Keep current fees in line with current holdings and let those who use the facilities pay for their maintenaince and operation on an ongoing basis.	Virtual Summit
No. Sounds to me a lot like residtribution of wealth. Who is going to determine what 'fair share' is? The government? I think not.	Virtual Summit
No. Developers just pass this along to the consumer and increase the cost of housing	Virtual Summit
No. That is a ridiculous comment. Pay for what you need. If you can't pay. Don't buy it.	Virtual Summit
Not in the current environment.	Virtual Summit
not needed	Virtual Summit
Of course they should. I'm not encouraged by the fact that this question is even asked. Is there any intellegence in our local government? I am truly concerned. This is not a good reflection on our city.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
Possibly	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

possibly we dont want to chase them off specifically if it raised the already high cost of land in our community.	Virtual Summit
Reasonable levels.	Virtual Summit
Systems development charges for roads sewer water are one thing even schools. But to increase charges on deveopment when we need more jobs in construction is not the answer.	Virtual Summit
That sounds like a sin tax to me. We already make it difficult for developers in this city. We also make it difficult for homeowners to improve their own property. That is a rediculous idea. Maybe we should have a pay as you go use of our streets. The people who move into the developments or operate businesses out of the developments will pay their fair share when they pay taxes. That is simply a greedy idea. Shame on whoever thought that up.	Virtual Summit
There should be some cost but not too high or they will not develop in Lake Oswego that is already hard to build in!	Virtual Summit
They already do. Do not raise them.	Virtual Summit
this eventually will be passed on to the omeowners or businesses that use these developers	Virtual Summit
This is the dumbest idea I have seen in this presentation. You extract your pound of flesh in the negotiation of the contract with the developer(s). Why even make #6 a part of this plan? Surely you have been doing this forever as part of the negotiating process.	Virtual Summit
Too subjective..... What is their 'equitable share'? This gives city officials too much power to collect funds that can be diverted to pet projects.	Virtual Summit
Totally agree	Virtual Summit
Very important. Development needs to address the long-range goals of the community by contributing to the community at the time of development. These costs should not be written off as 'future taxes by the residents' or other similar comments. It's true that development is expensive and that at least some of the costs are divided among the buyers but we need to ensure development is more than gleaning profits without contribution to the community that made it possible.	Virtual Summit
We do not want to discourage new comunities by adding to much cost in this envionrment.	Virtual Summit
We do this through Parks SDC's	Virtual Summit
What do we mean by 'developers'? Is that the big guys like Williams Dame and White or are we talking about someone who is splitting a lot and putting up a couple of houses? But again I don't think we should be so focused on acquisition and new parks as we should be with restoring and maintaining the land we already have.	Virtual Summit
What is an 'equitable share?' Nebulous term.	Virtual Summit
What is equitable share? It is the property owner who pays the development fees in the end. Those fees are currnetly so high that the cost of development and home/business ownership is being priced out of reach for many of the people we are trying to serve/attract.	Virtual Summit
What is equitable?	Virtual Summit
What is equitable? Sounds good on the surface.	Virtual Summit
What is 'their equitable share'?	Virtual Summit
While I like the idea of sharing the costs with developers this drives up the costs of housing. I believe Lake Oswego has a real problem with affordability. Affordability is key to providing for a wide diversity of citizens of all ages. Therefore I am not too keen on this idea.	Virtual Summit
Why? Maybe we have enough parks already.	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
yes please do that.	Virtual Summit
YES 11111	Virtual Summit
Yes and all other costs that they incur such as sewers roads police and fire protection.	Virtual Summit
Yes! and sidewalks in front of new homes also!	Virtual Summit
YES! Carefully review current System Development Charges to determine fairness and adequacy. Do not allow developers to provide marginal lands for 'Open Space' in place of SDC.	Virtual Summit
7. Plan and develop a system of pathways which can connect open space and park facilities with neighborhoods.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
If new neighborhoods are established maybe. I think we have numeropus ways to get out and walk around the area. Is anybody complaining?	Virtual Summit
Agree. The city actually does not have very good bike trails or corridors connecting neighborhoods. The city is not designed nor do we have very good pathways for pedestrians or bicycles.	Virtual Summit
As long as it is in the financial consntraints of the City and the open space approach does not infringe upon private property.	Virtual Summit
As long as it respects and supports private properties and values.	Virtual Summit
As long as no new taxes are created to do this.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Before you develop more pathways you need to take care of what the City does not maintain or provide for now i.e. new and wider sidewalks on Country Club Road to Iron Mountain Road and new sidewalks on State Street especially north of A Avenue and on B Avenue. These are unsightly and embarrassing.	Virtual Summit
But not through private property	Virtual Summit
By among other things completing the trail easement along the Willamette River from George Rogers to Foothill and extending the trail along Rosemont Road out wo Salamo in cooperation with West Linn.	Virtual Summit
certainly a goal of every neighborhood but sometimes cost prohibitive and sometimes land area does not allow. continue to search for solutions but definitely a goal worth pursuing as is practical.	Virtual Summit
Continue to prioritize acquisition by purchase or donation of the privately owned gap in the trail system between Rohr Park and George Rogers Park.	Virtual Summit
Develop a bike/walking path to make the Childs road path from IS (Pipers Run) to Stafford contiguous. It's a matter of time before someone dies biking or walking on Childs.	Virtual Summit
disagree	Virtual Summit
Disagree because of the nature of the roads. Very limited space to make pathways	Virtual Summit
DOES THIS INVOLVE THE STEALING OF PRIVATE PROPERTY? Show me the plan to purchase these right of ways from willing sellers.	Virtual Summit
Don't put pathways accross Private Property.	Virtual Summit
Finally something that makes sense.	Virtual Summit
Good idea where reasonably possible.	Virtual Summit
Great as long as the city doesn't do it at the expense of landowners property rights.	Virtual Summit
	Virtual Summit
Great idea but be sensitive to personal property rights. Lets not be Tigard and be bullies!!!!	Virtual Summit
high priority	Virtual Summit
How easy and how much? I don't see a big problem in this area right now.	Virtual Summit
I do like this idea. While I believe we have plenty of open space and parks for diffent uses and do not need additional parks and recreation facilites I do believe better pathways are a great idea. One area of facilities that is lacking is a community swimming pool. I would support the addition of that.	Virtual Summit
I think this is already in play	Virtual Summit
I thinks all LO citizens would like to see a system of pathways for biking and walking. What I don't understand is why we don't have sidewalks or pathways today. We spend money on projects like a traffic circle on Iron Mtn that is totally unnecessary we don't maintain our streets to acceptable standards we spend money on speculative properties like the WEB and former Lacey's. With the amount taxes that we pay our infastructure is not up to par.	Virtual Summit
I would add 'while respecting the rights of property owners impacted by any pathways.'	Virtual Summit
If it does not take away from street and road maintenance.	Virtual Summit
If you want to put paths through property already owned by the city fine. If you're talking about access through what is now private property....No Way.	Virtual Summit
Implement and maintain are not mentioned. We've seen maps for decades some buildout signs but when the street sweeper cleans all the muck off the street and does not do the pathways or sweeps to the pathways that horrible.	Virtual Summit
Lake Oswego absolutely needs a system of bike paths and walking paths that allow actual travel by foot or bicycle. Little strolling lanes here and there just don't cut it. How do we measure success: try riding a bike from Mountain Park Plaza to City Hall or Lake Grove? Or from the Lake to Lake Grove? Was is safe? Practical? A fairly direct route?	Virtual Summit
Love this one.	Virtual Summit
More walkways and openspace is good for all and plesent to view.	Virtual Summit
No since I know that this means taking away the rights of property owners is a path 'needs' to go through their property. The City needs to focus on maintaining our roads - those are what connect our neighborhoods.	Virtual Summit
No! This opens the door for the city to steal private property and to charge residential owners for what the city should actually pay for.	Virtual Summit
No. Stop wasting tax payer money. Most of Lake Oswego is well established. Giving up land rights for common space is unacceptable. This is not a new community. The initial planning stages are over. Work with how to make the most of what we have.	Virtual Summit
nope	Virtual Summit
Not at the expense of any private property rights. The city needs to respect the investments made by its citizens. When it doesn't appreciate such a fundamental right it becomes a threat to the livelihood of its citizens.	Virtual Summit
Not at this time. What we have now seems to be working and is not something I hear people saying should happen now.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Not if it is taking personal property.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
OK provided they do not infringe upon private property.	Virtual Summit
ok. Seems costly how about some signs?	Virtual Summit
Only if the plan respects private property owner's rights.	Virtual Summit
Only if the rights of private property owners are not subjugated by this policy	Virtual Summit
Only if you are not violating private property. Stop the dedication requirements and exactions!	Virtual Summit
Only on public property. Stay off of private property	Virtual Summit
Only where needed and appropriate.	Virtual Summit
Pathways are fine provided they are not created via the taking of private property. Current policies force people who are doing 'development'--even the smallest project-- to potentially have to provide their private property for a public path.	Virtual Summit
Pathways are great but I just wish there were more safe walkways/sidewalks in my neighborhood of Uplands.	Virtual Summit
Private property rights should not be impacted by this goal. You should include language stating the city's commitment to protecting private property rights above the idea of developing pathways.	Virtual Summit
Sounds good. I think making the community bicycle friendly might be a greater priority.	Virtual Summit
Success via usage figures	Virtual Summit
That is great provided you aren't making a path through private property. Public space should be used for this.	Virtual Summit
Hope you can fit it into the budget without increasing spending. Fiscal responsibility.	Virtual Summit
The pathway around the lake and the river are just fine. Don't add more.	Virtual Summit
This could be improved a bit perhaps. Not a high priority	Virtual Summit
This is dangerous. The City needs to respect private property and some of the planned pathways violate that principle badly!!	Virtual Summit
This would be a great idea. Today too much of the existing bike routes are on major roads. But this should not be a grab for 'takings' of private landowners property or rights.	Virtual Summit
This would be nice as long as it doesn't infringe on the rights of property owners.	Virtual Summit
We have enough paths. Maintain the current paths. Springbrook Park needs gravel on their paths.	Virtual Summit
When the land is available and the pathway can be developed at a reasonable cost with available funds. We can probably get more value for our money in other ways. We can use existing streets to connect to the parks.	Virtual Summit
Where appropriate	Virtual Summit
why	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
Yes and bike paths for those of us who can't run or walk for exercise.	Virtual Summit
Yes BUT DON'T ENCROACH ON PRIVATE LAND OWNER RIGHTS.	Virtual Summit
Yes if land is available and will be used	Virtual Summit
Yes ok to do but being mindful of private property rights.	Virtual Summit
Yes support continued use of pathways to connect our neighborhoods and community.	Virtual Summit
Yes and/or use sidewalks to connect system.	Virtual Summit
Yes as long as it does not raise taxes!	Virtual Summit
Yes that is desirable if not too expensive to maintain	Virtual Summit
yes! The city is currently very difficult in this respect. Work with RR to extend Headly walkway to 5th	Virtual Summit
Yes!! See my prior responses	Virtual Summit
Yes. I like how the city buys property on the open market strips off the space for the path then re-sells the property. Very fair to everyone.	Virtual Summit
Yes. Should have a bike path around the lake and around lake O	Virtual Summit
8. Develop parks that: a. Are compatible with adjacent land uses by using setbacks and effective buffering and screening to minimize impacts of	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
How many new parks do we need? Are the ones we have being over loases?	Virtual Summit
#2 - do not agree - seems like certain events would naturally create situations that do exceed normal capacity and that is OK because it is a community event. It makes no sense to me to constrain the purpose of the park in the first place.	Virtual Summit
a and b sound reasonable. c sounds like another try at getting the O.K. from citizens for the city to steal private property as they did in the sensitive lands restrictions.	Virtual Summit
a and c above are definitely critical. I think that we are already too far along to succeed with b above.	Virtual Summit
a. and b. are too easily used to fight the development of public spaces. Parks like other developments increase usage. That fact should not be a basis for opponents.	Virtual Summit
Again distributed parks help a lot. Planned Lescher development is in the wrong direction in this respect.	Virtual Summit
Again I don't believe we need additional parks. However a swimming pool would be a good idea.	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Agree	Virtual Summit
Agreed	Virtual Summit
As long as the design makes since and is not expensive to maintain. I use the new paking arrange and planting where North Lake Physical Theraby is as an example. Very expensive for a side street in Lake Oswego.	Virtual Summit
Basically what you are saying is to build a park no one knows is there no one utilizes so there isn't traffic so you can also achieve the quiet goal. Get real. We have plenty of open space and parksAnd if not build it where it will be utilized encourage the utilization of it and make it an appealing place for people to choose to go.	Virtual Summit
Common sense to be applied	Virtual Summit
fine	Virtual Summit
good policy	Virtual Summit
Green space/parks in new developments granted to the city by developers is ok but no new 'major parks' are needed	Virtual Summit
I agree with a. and b. However I'm not sure the 'where possible' is strong enough to prevent people from requiring 'transit bicycling and walking' 'modes' be adjacent to parks.	Virtual Summit
I dont think right now we should be developing any parks.	Virtual Summit
I think this has already been planned. Most parks I can think of have easy access by many transportation sites	Virtual Summit
I think we already have this	Virtual Summit
No	Virtual Summit
No comment	Virtual Summit
No comment; provided the city does not: 1) take any private property or 2) limit the owner's use of his property.	Virtual Summit
No more parks	Virtual Summit
Nope. maintain what we have	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
Only develop more parks where it is critical.	Virtual Summit
Respect private property when developing parks.	Virtual Summit
So we are going to tell property owners that they can use part of their property and I'd I guess that there would be no compensation for the takings? STOP!!!!	Virtual Summit
Stop worrying so much about the environment and more about residents and the affordability of living in LO. The laws are onerous and overreaching as it is.	Virtual Summit
sure. Again just do it with available parks and roads. lets not disrespect private property.	Virtual Summit
The proposed new tennis center on Stafford road does NOT accomplish b. or c. above. It would generate lots of traffice especially through the neighborhoods. And there is no transit and very few people would walk or bike there -- it is the farthest point from population center. Very poor location.	Virtual Summit
This is a perfect exxample of a question that has been done by the consultaants and planners. No mention of personal vehicles just public transportation bikes and walking. We have cars and intend to continue to use them. If Portland like this type of thing - fine. The majority of LO residents plan on continuing to drive. Let's use their tax dollars on City roads - they are deplorable in many areas.	Virtual Summit
To the extent that a new park is developed sensible goals	Virtual Summit
We don't need any new parks other than finish projects underway.	Virtual Summit
We have enough parks and I would not vote for a bond to pay for park development. Inflation in LO due to rising taxes and fees (sewer and water) is already unreasonable. I would not recommend anyone move here.	Virtual Summit
We have this.	Virtual Summit
We want to minimize impact to current neibors. Although walking and biking distances are great I do not believe it is a deal breaker. If there is a property that meets criteria and demand I do not believe this proximity issue is as important. If it is in the comunity and there is demand the comunity will get there.	Virtual Summit
When possible again at a reasonable cost so as to get the most for our limited resources.	Virtual Summit
yep.	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
Yes support these objectives.	Virtual Summit
9. Maintain parks and facilities in a manner which will prolong the life of capital assets reduce operational costs assure safe and accessible use	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
I am all for good maintenance of existing facilities before we start new parks that will also need maintenance.	Virtual Summit
In regard to 'operational costs' how about when feasible seek to reduce operational costs. Also perhaps clarification a to 'resources'.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Agree make sure maintenance is funded	Virtual Summit
Agreed.	Virtual Summit
always protect/preserve your assets.	Virtual Summit
As opposed to what alternative?	Virtual Summit
dha	Virtual Summit
Don't build more. Use what is there better.	Virtual Summit
Don't see this happening right now. Our natural areas are a shameful mess because of neglect.	Virtual Summit
good idea. just keep up what we have	Virtual Summit
good stewardship policy	Virtual Summit
I always feel sorry for families that are adjacent to the wilderness parks as the city does nothing to see that the growth of vegetation doesn't infringe on their property.	Virtual Summit
I completely agree.	Virtual Summit
I would like to see the City focus on taking care of our public park and natural areas and use our tax money there rather than all the oversight that is currently being done on private residential property.	Virtual Summit
Is this a 'Maximize the benefit while Minimizing the cost type of a question?	Virtual Summit
It appears this is not currently a high priority of the city. Capital assets are not well managed.	Virtual Summit
Keep the focus on maintaining- that would be progress.	Virtual Summit
Maintain OR sell of.	Virtual Summit
Maintain what we already have.	Virtual Summit
Make sure there are handicap accessible portions for aging population.	Virtual Summit
Make them Sensitive Lands without capital assets.	Virtual Summit
measure success by the care with which people use and preserve our parks. Folks can be thoughtless and feel like the rules don't apply to them.	Virtual Summit
No Comment.	Virtual Summit
Of course we should maintain our existing parks and facilities to protect our investment.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
P&R also needs to set the example with regard to irrigation. All too often I see sprinklers going when it is raining or the ground is saturated. For example Frepons Park.	Virtual Summit
see previous comments	Virtual Summit
Should be the number 1 priority and goal before expanding the park system	Virtual Summit
The city should maintain its parks which should include being mindful on the impact that the general public has on adjacent properties. More effort needs to be made to protecting water from pollution caused by the public.	Virtual Summit
there are conflicting and obvious goals	Virtual Summit
This has been in all my answers. Lets be first in class	Virtual Summit
Very good!	Virtual Summit
Very important. Maintenance above acquisition.	Virtual Summit
We have not done an adequate job of this. We will lose stands of trees if we don't start removing ivy from our open spaces.	Virtual Summit
What parks are being maintained? George Rogers Park has a 'terrible perimeter' that is hazardous on the north side and has never been maintained! This park is used a lot and it doesn't appear that anyone cares that someone could be badly injured there! Dogs should not be allowed in the parks 'at all' as some of their owners are leaving feces for the rest of us to walk in!	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
yes absolutely.	Virtual Summit
Yes maintain what we currently have	Virtual Summit
Yes only makes economic sense in the long run.	Virtual Summit
Yes take care of what we have before we acquire more.	Virtual Summit
yes do this.	Virtual Summit
yes- great idea.	Virtual Summit
Yes we should maintain and improve the existing parks. I'm not sure about conserving resources at any price as the new lake front park. All that money and so little park and single backed up bathrooms.	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
yes.	Virtual Summit
10. Provide accessibility to all park users according to applicable state and federal laws.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>

RECREATION

It depends. I would question whether school parks should be interferred with.	Virtual Summit
...but not beyond.	Virtual Summit
Accessibility is not possible with all parks. Sounds good but may not be totally possible.	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
agreed.	Virtual Summit
As long as it doesn't infringe on the rights of property owners.	Virtual Summit
Assume State and Federal laws are not for the city to negotiate around?	Virtual Summit
Be careful on this one!!!! I would say no and follow city law. You can really open up to trouble and cost the city a lot of money. Think this through. You could get Oppupied Lake OSwego!!!!	Virtual Summit
Do you mean make them accessible for handicapped? if so then yes.	Virtual Summit
Dumb questions.	Virtual Summit
I assume you mean wheel chair access?	Virtual Summit
I think our parks are for the Citizens of Lake OSwego first to use and then open to others. The more you open land and water you are inviting problems that will cost more police etc!	Virtual Summit
If it is the law then future projects and remodeling work should conform to the law.	Virtual Summit
If this is supposed to be an ADA-type requirement it should be less obtuse.	Virtual Summit
I'm not the best resource for this answer but know that many of the fields are not easily accessible for folks who have physical restrictions.	Virtual Summit
Including access to the lake for residents by establishing a system of canoe kayak and rowboat rentals from City-owned properties bordering the Lake as provided by Oregon law.	Virtual Summit
Is this ADA requirements? If so then yes.	Virtual Summit
Lake access is not feasible affordable or practicle. No new park taxes. And no new Foothills development at a cost of \$58 000 000 to the City or \$3413 per household in Lake Oswego.	Virtual Summit
Limit access by pets. Give tax payers the priority.	Virtual Summit
No don't provide accessibility especially those that comply with the Law!!!! I would note there could and should be better accessibility for handicapped people. An excellent example is the extremely limited handicapped parking to the Millenium Park where the Farmer's Market and Concerts are held.	Virtual Summit
Not every park has to meet all needs. So do we need ADA approved paths on Iron Mtn Nature Park no.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
Only if it can be done without increased taxes and fees. The cost of living is already too high in LO.	Virtual Summit
Seems like and obvious statement. Why do you have to write it.	Virtual Summit
Sounds reasonable to obey the state and federal laws.	Virtual Summit
sure	Virtual Summit
That should be true of public parks. Other private resources should not be accessed by the city or public.	Virtual Summit
The basic state and federal laws are more than adequate as is. No measurement necessary just adhere to the law. The measurement is already in place. Stop overreaching.	Virtual Summit
The city should protect Oswego Lake from open access which will destroy the character and quality of the lake. It is well understood that it is human nature to overuse an limited resource and cause the eventual destruction of the resource for all. The efforts made city planning commissioner Todd Prager show a complete misunderstandng of this community and how it developed. The fact that the city has allowed him to pursue his private agenda causes one to question the honesty of our city officials. It further reflects an deep misunderstanding of the value of the lake to funding for school and city operations.	Virtual Summit
This happens	Virtual Summit
This is a trick question. Come on!	Virtual Summit
This is really an odd statement. I suggest we keep things as they are. no change	Virtual Summit
This would be allowing the public access to a publicly-owned asset: Oswego Lake. The state already recognizes that the lake is public; the city dodges the issue with cleverly worded press releases. If it takes a lawyer to write the press release then something is being hidden.	Virtual Summit
To best possible. No far spending a billzillion dollars to provide access to a difficult slope trail that has no real benefit/usage.	Virtual Summit
Very likely many of these state and federal laws are too restrictive. no other comment at this point.	Virtual Summit
We do a good job of this.	Virtual Summit
Why would the City do otherwise.....but to the extent that some facilities are 'grandfathered' and don't require improvement then made any changes consistent with budget restraints.	Virtual Summit
within reason	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
Yes in a way that is also reasonable in cost. It does not have to be an elaborate and costly proposal.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Yes support.	Virtual Summit
yes do this	Virtual Summit
yes of course	Virtual Summit
11. Develop major neighborhood park and play field facilities in conjunction with schools wherever possible.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
That sounds OK if it is OK with the school involved. Most of the time it works very well and it takes the maintenance expenses off the school district.	Virtual Summit
Again where? If the school district wants to fine otherwise no.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
and as the use of schools change sometimes the challenge will be communicating what is available and confirming maintenance of the areas. The greatest need with all parks sometimes comes down to basics - restroom facilities and water fountains (and the ever present need for dog trash disposal and human trash disposal emptied regularly)	Virtual Summit
Consider the costs!!	Virtual Summit
Does not necessarily have to be attached to a school. Look how nice Waluga ball fields are.	Virtual Summit
Done	Virtual Summit
Done a good job with this too.	Virtual Summit
Fine if they are on existing school property.	Virtual Summit
However I would hate to see any more of the Luscher Farm property converted to sports fields. It's important to keep the tie to rural roots in that area.	Virtual Summit
I believe our park system does not need expansion unless the geographic boundaries of the city are expanded.	Virtual Summit
I do think there is an excellent opportunity to better utilize school facilities.	Virtual Summit
I think we have done a good job of that.	Virtual Summit
I thought we had them but they have too many restrictions for public use even though they are public.	Virtual Summit
If possible	Virtual Summit
If the emphasis is that any development should be in conjunction with schools I agree. If the emphasis is that there should be development of more major neighborhood parks I disagree that this should be a goal. Taxpayer funds should be used primarily to maintain not acquire and any acquisition should be in response to an identified need not as a goal in and of itself.	Virtual Summit
Maintain status quo with the exception of Swim Parks as previously commented.	Virtual Summit
New schools yes	Virtual Summit
NO !!!!!!!	Virtual Summit
No more development. the grounds around the schools look like one would find in a very low-income city. Just look at Waluga and Bryant.	Virtual Summit
No. Major neighborhood parks are not needed in this community. Our city shouldn't strive to be Beaverton.	Virtual Summit
Not if it means increased taxes and fees. Enough is enough.	Virtual Summit
Now you are making sense	Virtual Summit
of course.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
Possibly a joint effort with school properties and parks could be a win win situation for everyone.	Virtual Summit
should only be developed in conjunction with schools	Virtual Summit
Sounds logical.	Virtual Summit
Spending of tax payer money must be prudent and smart.	Virtual Summit
Success would be plans that are respectful of nearby homes and neighborhoods but also emphasize the connection between parks and schools.	Virtual Summit
The City should not be asking the 'property taxpayers' for more monies. We simply cannot afford any more property taxes!	Virtual Summit
Totally agree!	Virtual Summit
We already have this	Virtual Summit
We are a small town. Everything is close. No special consideration is required	Virtual Summit
We don't need more fields. We could use another indoor tennis facility. It pays for itself.	Virtual Summit
We seem to have this and do this.	Virtual Summit
We should not duplicate school assets instead work in conjunction to spend wisely. For example Waluga has great Soccer opportunities that could be made more efficient and better quality. Why build a new soccer field in Briant Woods? We have to consider the school assets. Especially when looking at the map that was created that showed non served areas with a school next to it. Blue Heron...Westridge School	Virtual Summit
what a concept working with the schools now we are getting somewhere. Of course do this why wouldn't you want a partnership between the two largest land owners in the city.	Virtual Summit
While at the same time being fiscally responsible and allowing the citizens to vote on them.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Without splitting the community	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
Yes good idea- Palisades School should become a Park and community building.	Virtual Summit
Yes such siting is appropriate.	Virtual Summit
Yes there should be synergies with the schools and this could be a way to relieve the School District budget.	Virtual Summit
Yes as long as it does not raise property taxes	Virtual Summit
Yes for sure.	Virtual Summit
YES!!!!!!	Virtual Summit
Yes. The schools should have field priority. They are best located and equipped to handle parking and traffic.	Virtual Summit
12. Preserve and enhance distinctive natural areas which are located on parklands.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Sounds OK if the neighbors agree.	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
As long as such activities do not extend into Oswego Lake.	Virtual Summit
Do not err in favor of the environment vs. private land. If it's our land keep the city's hands off of it. You should have nothing to say about it. Don't even concern yourself with it.	Virtual Summit
Does this mean a volunteer ivy/blackberry brigade?	Virtual Summit
I think private property rights should not be violated unless there is some serious issues such as public danger involved.	Virtual Summit
If appropriate.	Virtual Summit
If it makes sense/cents.	Virtual Summit
If not already doing but most have some group or city doing this already	Virtual Summit
In many cases preserving an existing natural area on an existing park would be desirable to additional development of the natural area. In some cases future development of park land we already have may be the best alternative.	Virtual Summit
It is and important value but should be enjoyed with walking paths	Virtual Summit
It would be nice if we could maintain existing areas. IVY *****	Virtual Summit
low priority	Virtual Summit
Natural areas are really not natural but are created. Mayor Hoffman's house with the tree grove on it could be a natural area. Parks rather than private back yards should be used for Metro Compliance. Unless LO begins to acknowledge and respect private property rights LO will not prosper as a City and a decent place to live.	Virtual Summit
no	Virtual Summit
no manage what you have	Virtual Summit
Not so concerned about this.	Virtual Summit
Ok	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
Only if the 'parklands' are city property.	Virtual Summit
See previous comments about city not maintain natural areas and letting ivy kill trees.	Virtual Summit
seem to be doing fine with this - don't know that more needs to be added but preservation of current stock should suffice to keep the city liveable and working on communication and awareness about community culture and values should continue to increase the ease (ok sometimes seems glacially) of these areas.	Virtual Summit
sounds like a way to spend more	Virtual Summit
Success here means fewer invasive plants more attractive resources for wild birds animals and native plants.	Virtual Summit
The city needs to lead by example with regard to non-native plant removal and sensitive land use restrictions.	Virtual Summit
The city should preserve natural areas. There is no need to enhance areas.	Virtual Summit
The problem of invasive species particularly English Ivy in our natural areas is a huge problem that if left unattended will destroy the forests. I do not know the solution but ivy pull activities are of only marginal help. Can we outsource more effective ideas to preserve our forests?	Virtual Summit
This is a good idea when dealing with our existing parks it's just good stewardship of the land.	Virtual Summit
This seems to be left to the 'friends of' to maintain and enhance. Why isn't the city doing this?	Virtual Summit
very important	Virtual Summit
We need a balance to this statement so that we don't go overboard on 'distinctive natural areas.' I would suggest changing this to '12. Assure a good balance between achieving good community use of parklands and preserving and enhancing distinctive natural areas located on them.'	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

What are 'distinctive natural areas'?	Virtual Summit
What does 'enhance' mean???? Quit spending more money that the taxpayers don't have!!!	Virtual Summit
Where is makes sences but other areas such as the in between at Walugua parks should be developed.	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
Yes - and apportion a greater share of the sensitive lands requirements to city owned parklands to reduce the restrictive burdens on private property owners	Virtual Summit
Yes - as long as this question really means public lands and not private property.	Virtual Summit
Yes but within reason.	Virtual Summit
yes get rid of the english ivy before it destroys the green scapes.	Virtual Summit
Yes if it makes sense to	Virtual Summit
yes on parklands.	Virtual Summit
yes only the distinctive natural areas that are on public park land. Not the natural areas in the back yards of private property owners.	Virtual Summit
Yes support.	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
13. Integrate open space pathways and view corridors with parkland and facilities.	
<i>Comment</i>	
<i>Source</i>	
I suppose it's OK	Virtual Summit
???	Virtual Summit
Again only if the pathways are on city property not private property.	Virtual Summit
again where possible by supporting private property and values.	Virtual Summit
AGAIN... I am hearing private land confiscation and I will never agree to that.	Virtual Summit
Agreed provided the rights of property owners are NOT subjugated by this policy.	Virtual Summit
As far as it can be done with public spaces - continue education of private owners - see where 'view' practicality can take place (homeowner pruning trees for views helps the view goal keeps the city budget away from the annual costs of pruning - a more 'holistic' approach to achieving this goal through public/private partnership	Virtual Summit
As long as it use existing publicly owned property and no through the takings of privity property or rights.	Virtual Summit
As long as private land is not going to be impacted.	Virtual Summit
As long as you are respectful of private property.	Virtual Summit
Critically important! Makes fewer resources more productive and inviting.	Virtual Summit
Done that.	Virtual Summit
How about adding where appropriate or where feasible? At times some locations would not seem appropriate for 'facilities' beyond what currently exists.	Virtual Summit
I again suggest that you just maintain what you have. this survery feels like you are asking the same question in a dozen ways to get people to say what you want. speak straight. I will. Do not spend more money on views access etc.	Virtual Summit
If it makes sense and cost is in line	Virtual Summit
if it makes sense/cents.	Virtual Summit
If possible but not a high value priority.	Virtual Summit
low priority	Virtual Summit
Maintain what we already have!!! Forget 'view corridors'!!!	Virtual Summit
No we've done enough.	Virtual Summit
No. It's our land and the Council should have nothing to say about it.	Virtual Summit
Not on Private Property	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
OK but not at any expense.	Virtual Summit
ok.	Virtual Summit
Only on public property. The theft of private property rights must stop!	Virtual Summit
sure	Virtual Summit
The open space pathways and view corridors should only be on public lands. Do not place restrictions or regulatory burdens on private property owners.	Virtual Summit
This has happened	Virtual Summit
This is good providing you respect private property rights.	Virtual Summit
this is too broad	Virtual Summit
This question is redundant. Integraton should not be made at the exense of private property rights.	Virtual Summit
We have enough promote the use of them. Open the RIVER area more in the summer	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

We need to change this to: '13. While respecting private property rights integrate open space pathways and view corridors with parkland and facilities.'	Virtual Summit
Where possible with respecting property rights. Lets not be Tigard	Virtual Summit
While protecting the rights of adjacent private property owners.	Virtual Summit
While protecting the rights of homeowners...	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
Yes but without encroaching on the rights of private property owners.	Virtual Summit
Yes within reason	Virtual Summit
Yes- Just don't aquire the Lake front hotel- we all use this hotel for our out of town guests and would further complicate the lack of Lake access- no public lake access! Public Lake access is not practicle or affordable. The home owners would not pay for the policing or Lake Corp duties if the public had access- the City would have to pay for the Lake Corp dutiesa and a major condmenation action to allow public access. Tax dollars would be lost as there would be a diminished Lake front hoe value. The Lake front property owners pay more taxes to the City than any other group of homes in the City- consider the existing home owner rights that were deeded and that the existing homeowners paid for- in their deeded Lake access. The Lake was developed specifically to enhance the value of the land associated with the Lake. The tagline for the development was 'Live were you play'. Roughly 11 000 homes in Lake Oswego have deeded lake access. Dening that exclusivity would deny those deeded property owners rights and deminish their deeded property values.	Virtual Summit
yes.	Virtual Summit
14. Inform and involve the citizens of Lake Oswego in the development and operation of the Parks and Recreation system and in evaluating the effectiveness of parks and recreation activities.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Very important!!!	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
And your not doing this now why not?	Virtual Summit
Boards and commissions tend to be the same people...	Virtual Summit
Citizen imput is important. Equally as important is preventing individuals like planning commissioner Todd Prager from impose their private agendas on citizens.	Virtual Summit
Do a better job of reaching folks who wouldn't always attend meetings in person like this survey!	Virtual Summit
Does that mean increase the volunteerism?	Virtual Summit
Doing that right now	Virtual Summit
doing well so far - sometimes seems like too much information or attempt to communicate	Virtual Summit
e-mail as discussed previously.....	Virtual Summit
Getting input from a large number of citizens would be desirable. All development and operation evaluating should be done with associatied costs included.	Virtual Summit
Good.	Virtual Summit
I think this is already done	Virtual Summit
I thought this is what Parks and Rec did?	Virtual Summit
involvement is always good so long as it is balanced. Too often it is only the people intent on using the resource who's voices are heard.	Virtual Summit
nope	Virtual Summit
not sure what information is needed	Virtual Summit
Of course	Virtual Summit
Of course the city should do this.	Virtual Summit
Of Course the City should. Much more than they do now. For instance go way back to my comment on a potential new library location.	Virtual Summit
Oh the citizens are informed just no one listens.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
One has to get in touch/be connected to the users and payors but don't over do it.	Virtual Summit
Sounds Good.	Virtual Summit
That is being done now but citizen comments are given little weight in the predetermined agendas.	Virtual Summit
This is good provided private property rights are respected and decisions are not made based on jealousy or greed.	Virtual Summit
Very important inform involve and LISTEN TO.	Virtual Summit
WE have already done that - and the City does what they want anyway. And as with all surveys citizen involvemnet groups etc. the outcome is predetermined by the City.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

why bother most don't listen	Virtual Summit
Why?	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
yes a parks over seeing group is good just like budget committee.	Virtual Summit
YES BUT INVOLVE ONLY AS NEEDED	Virtual Summit
Yes support.	Virtual Summit
yes.	Virtual Summit
Yes; most definately!	Virtual Summit
15. Enhance the quality of life in the community by providing recreation programs that are creative productive and responsive to the needs of the public including those who have special needs such as the elderly disabled and disadvantaged.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Good idea	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Agree. Make accessible to all. However some parks will have steep hills to climb. These don't have to be fully accessible. My 80 year old mother wouldn't want to climb it anyway.	Virtual Summit
agreed.	Virtual Summit
As I said a few questions back the accessibility for elderly etc. is truly inadequate. As another example summer concerts in Westlake are close to impossible to attend.	Virtual Summit
As long as it is fee based	Virtual Summit
As long as there is need	Virtual Summit
At reasonable cost and funded by user-fees; not new taxes.	Virtual Summit
Can we continue to support the breadth of existing programs?	Virtual Summit
City does a good job of this.	Virtual Summit
Do we need a covered area to provide shade particularly catering to special needs persons who want to linger in the Millenium Park or Sundeleaf Plaza areas and view the Lake? It is difficult on summer days to find a combination of shade and Lake views.	Virtual Summit
Doing OK in this area	Virtual Summit
End the goal at 'the needs of the public'. Otherwise define the 'special needs' categories. At what age is someone elderly; how is someone deemed to be 'disadvantaged'?	Virtual Summit
Forget providing recreation programs!!! The 'property taxpayers' cannot afford more recreation programs and we cannot be all things to all people!!!	Virtual Summit
happening.	Virtual Summit
I already see this but you can expand more. Get the senior center involved or Down network and they can help. Or Lions Boy Scouts or Rotary projects to do this	Virtual Summit
I think we do this sufficiently now.	Virtual Summit
I thought we did.	Virtual Summit
Including access to the Lake by rental canoes kayaks and rowboats from City property bordering the Lake.	Virtual Summit
It appears the programs are diversified and accomplish the task already	Virtual Summit
No comment.	Virtual Summit
no... we cannot afford	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
Perhaps partner with proffessionals in this area	Virtual Summit
Recreation programs should be expanded for the Willamette River. The city has made a substantial investment along the river and it would be interesting if the city informed its citizens just how many people actually use it.	Virtual Summit
Some activities for all of our citizens is desirable. We do not have to provide extensive recreation programs for everyone. People should on their own avail themselves of the outdoor resources that we provide.	Virtual Summit
state and federal law exist already that's enough.	Virtual Summit
sure	Virtual Summit
sure	Virtual Summit
to the extent it makes sense/cents.	Virtual Summit
UNCERTAIN	Virtual Summit
Using existing facilities.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

We have wonderful churches and private organizations already providing activities to our citizens. This is not one of the jobs of government.	Virtual Summit
We need a place to do this. Need to improve the utilization of the WEB.	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes but in a cost effective way. I also thought that Lake Oswego already did plan for this alreadylike expensive ramp near millinum park that I have never seen anyone with special needs use	Virtual Summit
Yes - City does a great job already	Virtual Summit
Yes in general but such an effort can lead to a costly set of programs to accomplish the goal. Keep the budget in mind -- parks can't be everything to everyone.	Virtual Summit
Yes it should be comprehensive but we should not spend on the few and not have enough for the demand. For example Fencing class with gear vs. a Swimming pool.	Virtual Summit
yes.	Virtual Summit
16. Give City residents priority in pricing and scheduling City sponsored activities.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Sounds good to me	Virtual Summit
????????????????	Virtual Summit
absolutely	Virtual Summit
Absolutely. We should not be providing activities at reduced cost to groups or individual who reside outside the city.	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Agree.	Virtual Summit
Don't we already do this?	Virtual Summit
great policy	Virtual Summit
I believe the resources of the city are for the benefit of the city. we should not be activity trying to bring people from outside our city to consume our resources.	Virtual Summit
I don't think this is exactly happening now in my current experience with trying to get field time for our lacrosse programs compared to other users that have access to LOHS and Hazelia.	Virtual Summit
Including boat rentals on the Lake.	Virtual Summit
It is our parks!	Virtual Summit
Luscher farms is a great example of this	Virtual Summit
No comment.	Virtual Summit
No Footholls development at a cost of \$58 000 000 and \$3413 per household; no Lake access beyond what is already existing.	Virtual Summit
Of course. The citizens are paying for these activites anyway. If there isn't any priority pricing the citizens are subsidizing the citizens of other communities. The fact that this question is being asked causes concern about the intelligence of those running the programs.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
ok	Virtual Summit
Sometimes. There may be exceptions.	Virtual Summit
sure. why not provide discounts to city residents who are footing the bill overall.	Virtual Summit
The City needs to reduce the amount of activities it sponsors as the 'property taxpayers' who pay for these activities cannot afford to live here with our excessive property taxes!!!	Virtual Summit
Try to get third party organizations to sponsor and co-ordinate activities with input and approval of the rec. department of the City.	Virtual Summit
We already do this.	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes I'm suprised at some of the park and rec classes at times their more folks from West Linn in these classes than folks from LO	Virtual Summit
YES of course! And indeed City residents NOT those who live in unincorporated Clackamas County and who happen to have a Lake Oswego address. This includes those who are LOSD 'patrons' would are not City taxpayers.	Virtual Summit
Yes - we're already paying for the city-sponsored activities through our taxes aren't we?	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Yes within reason. And I wouldn't just say 'City resident' but 'City property owners'. The owners where ever they live are the people who pay the way.	Virtual Summit
Yes you do that.	Virtual Summit
YES not so much on pricing but absolutly on access	Virtual Summit
yes!!!	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
yes.	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
Yes. I understand there are a number of people from West Linn who use our programs. It would be nice to make sure people from outside the city service boundary pay their way. We want a vibrant program however so it can't be so punitive that people from elsewhere won't want to join in the fun!	Virtual Summit
17. Coordinate with state federal regional and other local jurisdictions and with local friends of groups in the planning and implementation of parks and recreation policies and programs.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
S ounds OK maybe	Virtual Summit
Agree that we should optimize the use of what we already have and that public parks should be accessible to all.	Virtual Summit
As I keep saying watch out for 'Special Interests' having too much control.	Virtual Summit
Change to read: 'Comply with state federal regional and other local jurisdictional laws when planning and implementing parks and recreation policies and programs.'	Virtual Summit
Coordinate yes -- but don't do it endlessly.	Virtual Summit
depend who become the 'local friends groups' in the future and what they really stand for?	Virtual Summit
DO whats good for the comunity not the speical interest 'Friends'	Virtual Summit
for what purpose? who is writing this stuff?	Virtual Summit
i AGREE WITH THE L O TEWARDS. THE 'SENSITIVE LANDS' TAKEOVER BY THE CITY IS VERY UNFAIR TO WE LO PROPERTY OWNERS. THE CITY COMMISSIONERS SHOULD ABANDON THIS DECISION AND TREAT ALL L O PROPERTY OWNERS EQUALLY. I AM SEVERLY RESTRICTED AND PENALIZED FINANCIALLY BECAUSE I HAVE A SEASONAL STREAM IN MY BACK YARD. MY NEIGHBORS ACCROSS THE STREET ARE NOT. WE BOUGHT OUR HOUSES AT THE SAME TIME. THERE HOUSE HAS GAINED VALUE MINE HAS LOST VALUE.	Virtual Summit
I don't know about 'friends' group but citizen involment for sure.	Virtual Summit
I don't know what a 'friends' group is. Sounds like a dangerous statement. I don't think you have to coordinate with regional or state jurisdictions. Let's do what is right for LO. If the state or metro wants to pay for and maintain something then it is ok to coordinate with them but don't go out of way to do so.	Virtual Summit
I don't think we need to get too involved. We can keep it simple as much as possible. There are concerns if we have too many views which also can bring regulations and raise costs; friend groups may be self serving and not really representative of the community. Caution is needed here.	Virtual Summit
I have reservations about this 'coordination'. We already appear to have people coming into LO from areas outside LO telling us what we need to do or not do in order to meet their desires. I'm not too supportive of this.	Virtual Summit
I'm not sure the implications of the 'friends' part of this statement. Could this be made more clear?	Virtual Summit
Local friends groups YES! State and Federal will force itself enough no reason to encourage them.	Virtual Summit
Metro's Carlotta who laughed at the fact that pathways would have to go through private backyards? Tryon Creek's board member Stephanie Wagner who participated in the second look task force rediculous round table event? These are not people I would want to coordinate with on my city's parks and recreation programs. We are choosing the wrong people to coordinate with... or are they choosing us?	Virtual Summit
NO	Virtual Summit
NO be careful on this!!!	Virtual Summit
Not sure city is doing this	Virtual Summit
Of course!	Virtual Summit
of course.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
So long as you respect private property.	Virtual Summit
sounds cumbersome. how about we keep things local. Like the dog park	Virtual Summit
Sounds like a plan to bring in outside interests in for something that should be for and by the people of Lake Oswego. Don't like this as an over- arching policy.	Virtual Summit
Such coordination should not be more or less important than coordinating with property owners.	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

They are our parks we should control them not outsiders.	Virtual Summit
This sounds like a lot of bureacracy - for what purpose? Do not agree with this goal; only do this process when necessary.	Virtual Summit
This sounds very political. Therefore I don't like it. Who are the 'friends'. I always back away from these groups as they have an agenda.	Virtual Summit
This works only when private property rights are respected.	Virtual Summit
We could always do better communication is so hard to make effective with so many interests.	Virtual Summit
What about the neighborhood association network you strive to maintain-not metioned here and should be listed before the 'friends' groups	Virtual Summit
What are friends groups? RiverKeeper ect no they have their own agenda. With other governmental groups such as the State Parks a big yes.	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes but lets not be influence or fooled by outsiders that have private issues. This formate of online suggestions for 'Our comunity' should not have to much weight! The forrums where accual LO residences take their time to share their visions and what is important to an 'LO resident' should be paramount. This forum invites those like Tualitan River keepers and others that have no concern for LO but becuae this is a blind format they can have influence like they live here a make it seem like there is a real demand when there is not.	Virtual Summit
yes - give such groups a stake in the parks to make sure that they stay usable	Virtual Summit
Yes and eliminate any duplicaiton.	Virtual Summit
yes.	Virtual Summit
18. Coordinate and cooperate with school districts and other organizations to meet park and recreation needs of the City and the region and to assure optimum efficient use of all parks and recreation facilities and resources.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
As long as everyone involved agrees	Virtual Summit
Coordination and cooperation - yes but without infringing on the rights on privately owned facilites and resources.	Virtual Summit
absolutely	Virtual Summit
Again - there can be much improvement in this area. I have seen a big lack of coordination in the past year.	Virtual Summit
Again these are the resources of our city. They should not be managed for the benefit of the region.	Virtual Summit
again absolutely	Virtual Summit
again this could be a winning partnership	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
As long as this means that we will see a reduction of existing Parks and Recreation offerings with a focus on these services being provided by the private sector and non-profits.	Virtual Summit
Definitely. We don't have enough facilities and we need to collaborate and cooperate between city and shools.	Virtual Summit
Didn't you just ask this	Virtual Summit
Does that mean solicite the students to help maintain their own programs and parks? Yes! Businesses? Yes!	Virtual Summit
Eliminate 'the region' unless the park or facility has received significant financial regional contribution for both its acquisition and development.	Virtual Summit
I believe a good job of coordination take place. Continue the process.	Virtual Summit
I think this is done with Lkae oswego school dist already	Virtual Summit
Including opening up the Lake Grove swim park to all Lake Oswego residents.	Virtual Summit
Needs of people in the City should come first.	Virtual Summit
No comment.	Virtual Summit
NO ESPECIALLY 'OTHER (ATHLETIC) ORGANIZATIONS'	Virtual Summit
of course.	Virtual Summit
Of course.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
Perfect	Virtual Summit
Safety first!	Virtual Summit
Same answer as prior.	Virtual Summit
Think this is being done.	Virtual Summit
This is tough when we have different users. Some are more vocal and organized than others.	Virtual Summit
Use what we have	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
yes schools are key.	Virtual Summit
Yes the school district and city should work together on parks and fiels.	Virtual Summit
Yes!!	Virtual Summit
yes.	Virtual Summit
yes.	Virtual Summit
19. Ensure the opportunity for Lake Oswego residents to have access to parks and recreation facilities and programs regardless of income.(Long Text)	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Don't they already?? The one possible exception could be the Lake Grove swim park which belongs to the school district	Virtual Summit
Absolutely.	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Agree.	Virtual Summit
As long as they can prove they can not afford it! If they walk in with an I-Phone 4 I would not help them.	Virtual Summit
Delete.	Virtual Summit
Didn't know we restricted them. Some programs require higher funds. Volunteers can help keep costs down.	Virtual Summit
Don't they already?	Virtual Summit
Eliminate 'ensure'; substitute with 'provide'.	Virtual Summit
Fees should reflect the real cost to everyone.	Virtual Summit
I believe there are programs in place that can continue.	Virtual Summit
I do not undersatnd this? What would be done differently?	Virtual Summit
I don't think access is presently denied because of income.	Virtual Summit
i don't think income seperates anyone from using a city owned asset unless you are pondering a fee based usage system which I would object to.	Virtual Summit
I think we have this with our abundance of parks and recreational facilities.	Virtual Summit
If the facility is free....then people of all income have access. If there is a charge then there is a charge perhaps less if one is from LO. But LO doesn't need to tie itself in knots trying to make sure everyone all all times and under all circumstances can use anything that is available.	Virtual Summit
Including Lake access for swimming and non-motorized boating by opening the Lake Grove swim easement to all LO residents and setting up a boat/canoe/kayak rental operation from City property bordering the Lake for LO residents.	Virtual Summit
No access to the public to the lake	Virtual Summit
Not at the expense of private property rights.	Virtual Summit
Not the job of government.	Virtual Summit
of course	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
Pathways are key see my prior responses.	Virtual Summit
Public areas only not private areas.	Virtual Summit
sure	Virtual Summit
that seems to be a given except unless going with low-serving options that might require an additional fee to maintain a facility - in general parks are open and free.	Virtual Summit
There should be facilities available for all to use. Everyone does not need or want to do everything.	Virtual Summit
They already do just use them	Virtual Summit
They have access already. Again what are you trying to do? I am NOT in favor of any changes	Virtual Summit
They have this now. What does this really refer to?	Virtual Summit
This is already available. If you are thinking of the lake again they have th swim parks or the rivers. I can;t think of any other examples.	Virtual Summit
This is too general. If this means that we need all types of parks within walking distance of every home in Lake Oswego (as some might interpret it) I disagree with having this statement at all.	Virtual Summit
This sounds good on the surface but you still need to give some priority to those who are paying the full fare for use of a facility.	Virtual Summit
This would be good for public programs. However private property rights should be respected and that is often balanced by income.	Virtual Summit
What does this mean?	Virtual Summit
what. parks are open. we have lots of them. this is a silly statement. please we are doing fine with the parks we have and the access provided.	Virtual Summit
With in limits	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

Within reason. Public parks should be accessible regardless of income but this statement is written as if there is an obligation on the City's part to 'ensure' everyone can enjoy them and the statement doesn't state whether it is referring to public or private recreation facilities. I don't believe the City needs to insure that every resident can enjoy a private golf facility nor that the City would be responsible for insuring rides to a park for someone who doesn't have a car. Income should not be a deciding factor for public access but it is not the city's responsibility to ensure everyone can get to every recreational facility.	Virtual Summit
Wrong. This implies that some of us subsidize the cost of others. There have to be limits.	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
yes as long as the it is equitable.	Virtual Summit
Yes but only inasmuch as it doesn't cost tax dollars.	Virtual Summit
Yes we do this	Virtual Summit
yes for facilities but for programs that have incremental costs it must be balanced. To exagerate the point if parks is doing nature trip to Hawaii then equal access is not rational reasonable or necessary. In such a case the event should pay for itself and maybe contribute to parks funds so those who can't take advantage get some benefit form the participation of those who can.	Virtual Summit
Yes- just no Lake access.	Virtual Summit
yes.	Virtual Summit
Yes. As long as it does not infringe on the rights of property owners.	Virtual Summit
GOAL 15: Willamette River Greenway (recreational component) The City shall protect conserve enhance and maintain the natural scenic historic economic and recreational qualities of the Willamette River Greenway.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Absolutely	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
agreed.	Virtual Summit
as long is it does not impact private property and property values	Virtual Summit
Don't know what that means. The City should enhance and maintain the park(s) along the river.	Virtual Summit
Good.	Virtual Summit
How is the City doing this now? The Willamette River Greenway is a mess!	Virtual Summit
I like the progress so far more linkage will be the measure of success here.	Virtual Summit
I think this is a good idea I would like to see a boat ramp and boat docks at George Rogers park. Kayakers and canoers would benefit.	Virtual Summit
If it makes sense. I think we should use this body of water available to us more.	Virtual Summit
If we are responsible for the area.	Virtual Summit
If we have to have Sensitive Lands Regulations Willamette River Greenway owners should be subject to them just as stream cooridors owners are. (What fool created the current regulations and exclusions anyway? Surely they were not thinking about environmental protection!)	Virtual Summit
isnt it already protected sounds like a property rights issue.	Virtual Summit
It is one of our newest and premeir assets. Make it first in class	Virtual Summit
Need to be very careful with any Foothills development in this respect	Virtual Summit
No since I believe this really means there will be a takeover of private property to accomplish this.	Virtual Summit
not sure what this means.	Virtual Summit
Only as it applies to public lands within the Willamette River Greenway. The City should not place any regulatory burdens on nor attempt to acquire private property within the WRG.	Virtual Summit
Only where the city county or state is not infringing on private property.	Virtual Summit
Should only occur if it doesn't overlay on the rights of the private property owner. These 'overlays' may sound nice but it is the property owner that pays the burden.	Virtual Summit
So long as there's respect for private property.	Virtual Summit
sure as long as it makes sense/cents (based on usage cost etc.).	Virtual Summit
Taking private property along the river for public paths should not be done.	Virtual Summit
The City has done a great job creating the Greenway. Now how best to utilize all the space?	Virtual Summit
The city should not encroach on the rights and privileges of the land owners.	Virtual Summit
The Tualatin River has a equal need	Virtual Summit
Then why were the big condos below Furnace street allowed to go in on the Greenway? This should not have been approved.	Virtual Summit
This sounds great as long as the city doesn't do it on private property	Virtual Summit
Use and enforce sensitive land use regulations equitably in all areas. Don't exclude areas that have higher property values or potential urban renewal districts (i.e. Foothills).	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

We are in an urban setting our parks and aquatic center on the river are under used today.	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
yes of course	Virtual Summit
Yes this is already started. I think the River has so much more to offer then the lake and I would focus on this resource that we already have.	Virtual Summit
YES a under used area	Virtual Summit
Yes to natural scenic and historical. If economic means the train and highrises at the cost of loss of environmental benefit or the trades the city has made to restrict other properties in the sensitive land outrage- NO NO NO	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
Yes.	Virtual Summit
1. Designate the Willamette Greenway Boundary on the City's Comprehensive Plan Map consistent with the location established by the Oregon Land Conservation and Development Commission.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
I suppose that's OK but I really don't know	Virtual Summit
Agree	Virtual Summit
agreed.	Virtual Summit
As long as not not affect existing houses on the river.	Virtual Summit
Can not comment; do not understand all the detail references used here.	Virtual Summit
Designate yes but I haven't seen the OLCDC boundary and we should consider our own.	Virtual Summit
Don't know what you mean by this.	Virtual Summit
Ensuring respect of private property	Virtual Summit
Haven't seen it	Virtual Summit
I don't know what this is.	Virtual Summit
I have no idea what the implications of this might be.	Virtual Summit
I haven't seen the map. Does it include room for the train?	Virtual Summit
I would put money in other areas	Virtual Summit
Isn't it like that already?	Virtual Summit
Maybe open a boat ramp in an area or small not power boats?! think this plan is in place for green space	Virtual Summit
No - the change and progress we need is to see a reductionn and elimination of the takeover of personal property rights. The Ciity government should be in the business of protecting citizen rights - not be complicit in taking those away. We need protection from State and Federal regulations - and environmental acitvist groups.	Virtual Summit
No comment.	Virtual Summit
No it already part of our city.	Virtual Summit
No! Not unless there is a full and clear disclosure of what this will mean for the owner's property rights. It this involves a taking without compensation forget it.	Virtual Summit
No. Keep the city's hands off of private land and private landowners decisions for their land. Less is more.	Virtual Summit
not sure. I am not familiar with what this means.	Virtual Summit
OK	Virtual Summit
OK. What about Foothills?	Virtual Summit
See previous comments.	Virtual Summit
Should only be done on public property and not at the expense of the private property owner.	Virtual Summit
Support the rights of private property owners on the river.	Virtual Summit
Sure where it does track across private property.	Virtual Summit
This may depend on the LCDC boundaries	Virtual Summit
This sounds scary and costly and limiting. Doesn't the State manage waterways?	Virtual Summit
Uncertain seems appropriate however more detail necessary as to any 'unintended consequences'.	Virtual Summit
What ever it is now.	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
2. When appropriate require dedication of public access easements within the Greenway and to the Willamette River as part of the development review and approval process.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>

RECREATION

There again I really don't know	Virtual Summit
Absolutely not. It is never appropriate nor required to take over personal property.	Virtual Summit
Again too general. where?	Virtual Summit
agree	Virtual Summit
Always respect private property rights.	Virtual Summit
As long as it doesn't interfere with the private property ownership rights!	Virtual Summit
Do not approach the 'taking' issue that might result from such a policy.	Virtual Summit
I am very concerned with this!! We need to respect private property rights and the City appears to be moving the pendulum too far into the disrespecting property rights arena.	Virtual Summit
I disagree with point 2 when it involves private property. It's theft. What does 'Protection Open Space' mean?	Virtual Summit
I do not know where the 'Greenway' is and cannot comment.	Virtual Summit
I don't know what this is.	Virtual Summit
I don't think 'require' you already have several to use just clean them up and open again	Virtual Summit
I knew it. This would get around to stealing private property. This must have been written by the planning department. NO. Success is measured in any community by the respect for each citizen and their rights including private property.	Virtual Summit
I support natural access but only where it is available. I do not support forcing private property owners to concede their rights or home values.	Virtual Summit
No -- it is not appropriate to force such requirement on private property owners --- unless the public sees a benefit AND is willing to compensate the property owner. Such requirement are just more more instance of the 'public' forcing demands on the property owner without recognizing there is a cost to doing so.	Virtual Summit
No not if they were not there before.	Virtual Summit
no this requirement would be a 'taking'.	Virtual Summit
No! The City should respect the Constitution of the U.S. and of Oregon and should buy the easement at fair market value.	Virtual Summit
NO! It's NOT appropriate for the city to decide.	Virtual Summit
No.	Virtual Summit
No. It sounds like the City would then take over private land. This would be wrong.	Virtual Summit
Not across private property	Virtual Summit
Not at the expense of private property ownership.	Virtual Summit
Not if it takes away personal property rights.	Virtual Summit
not sure what this entails/means.	Virtual Summit
NO--this is theft. Respect private property!	Virtual Summit
Plan must respect and place a priority on property and privacy rights	Virtual Summit
Respect Private Property owners.	Virtual Summit
Respect the rights of waterfront property owners.	Virtual Summit
So you want to hold developers at gun point to take part of their property? NO!	Virtual Summit
There cannot be 'dedicated' public access if it is forced through private land.	Virtual Summit
They already exist use the ones we have. I think this is making a bigger problem than it is by only a few people	Virtual Summit
this sounds tricky. but if the developers of the private properties are willing. Sure but i do not support taking property rights away from someone.	Virtual Summit
WHAT? REQUIRE someone to give you their property or you won't approve their permit? NO! This should not be allowed. If someone wants to voluntarily give an easement that's fine. It should never be required.	Virtual Summit
When appropriate	Virtual Summit
When respect of private property allows	Virtual Summit
Wherever this is possible. It is hard to walk along the path and suddenly not be able to proceed due to a private property restriction which seems to be something that could have been worked out because the pathway is a long way from the house for example.	Virtual Summit
Wrong. Private property should be honored and protected.	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes appears appropriate if the desire for connection is to be achieved.	Virtual Summit
yes as long as this does not affect private property owners.	Virtual Summit
Yes I agree.	Virtual Summit
yes this is important see my prior responses.	Virtual Summit
Yes if they don't interfere unreasonably with private property rights	Virtual Summit

RECREATION

3. Designate the Willamette Greenway as Protection Open Space.	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
Why is that needed?	Virtual Summit
good idea	Virtual Summit
Appears appropriate however uncertain as to if there are 'unintended consequences'.	Virtual Summit
depends if on private property - portions that are state federal city owned - fine.	Virtual Summit
Don't know the definition so can't specifically answer.	Virtual Summit
Hesitate to declare anything that ties up everything. We need a whole lot more information on this entire issue before any decisions should be made	Virtual Summit
I don not have enough information to address this are.	Virtual Summit
I don't know much about this one but it does sound important to do incrementally.	Virtual Summit
I don't know that this is. Are you taking property from private parties?	Virtual Summit
I don't understand the implications of this.	Virtual Summit
IF and only if we can maintain that space parklike rather than just let it sit and be 'natural' with all the bad things that results in.	Virtual Summit
If you want I thought many areas already were	Virtual Summit
I'm not sure of the meaning or affect of such a declaration.	Virtual Summit
I'm not sure what all is incorporated in 'Protection Open Sapce'. But if it involves taking of private land or limiting an owner's use of his land it should not be implemented.	Virtual Summit
is it necessary sounds like more government over indulgence	Virtual Summit
It should be subject to the same regulation as Sensitive Lands Properties. Ideally only publicly owner land should be protected and made into natural areas.	Virtual Summit
Keep these goals and policies and implement them	Virtual Summit
No	Virtual Summit
no	Virtual Summit
NO NO NO	Virtual Summit
no why? This again seems like overstretching.	Virtual Summit
No thanks	Virtual Summit
No.	Virtual Summit
No. Protect private property rights.	Virtual Summit
NO. You're overreaching. Isn't it curious that this issue is tucked neatly away at the end of this survey in the hopes (I'm sure) of no one actually making it this far. Listen to the people who are affected. No means no.	Virtual Summit
Not sure what 'Protection' Open Space is. These questions appear to be written in 'planner speak.'	Virtual Summit
not sure what this means/entails.	Virtual Summit
only areas that are public property should be protected open space - not private property	Virtual Summit
Only on public property	Virtual Summit
Protect private property owners' rights.	Virtual Summit
What does that mean to the land owners in that greenway?	Virtual Summit
What does that mean? What are the consequences of that?	Virtual Summit
What does this mean? Does it affect current houses on the river?. If so No.	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
Yes	Virtual Summit
YES	Virtual Summit
yes- wildlife needs to be protected.	Virtual Summit
	Virtual Summit
Policy Wall Responses	
<i>Comment</i>	<i>Source</i>
add community gardens and urban agriculture as a focus area. If it does not belong in recreation put it somewhere else	Policy Wall
it has turned a profit in the past but not everything the city does has to make money. The municipal golf course is a great recreational asset. It is important to manage it well to minimize the cost to the city.	Policy Wall
landscape with native drought resistant plants. We must conserve water. Make maintenance easy by way of design	Policy Wall
please don't turn luscher farm into a sports arena. It's an irreplaceable gem and we should preserve the farm. That organic soil and the CSA and community plots took ears to cultivate. Don't pave/cover them, don't move them	Policy Wall
the acc is under parks and recreation. It's important to support it as population continues to age	Policy Wall
abolish sensitive lands on existing private residential property and allow residents to use their own property for recreation	Policy Wall

RECREATION

put new parks in areas they are desired. For sure don't tear them down. Houses and infrastructure that generate tax revenue. Hurts schools!

Policy Wall