

From: Todd Prager
Sent: Sunday, December 11, 2011 10:39 AM
To: Weigel, Laura; Selden, Sarah; Stee, Ryan
Cc: Andreades, Debra; Jim Johnson; jngstfsn@aol.com; jdglisson@earthlink.net; bhutanipuja@cal.berkeley.edu; rus j; Boone, Evan; McCaleb, Iris
Subject: Re: Comp Plan Public Access Oswego Lake

Laura, Sarah, and Ryan,

The public comment below suggests combining Oswego Lake issues (Zoning, Recreation, and Natural Resources) and addressing them together. I think this makes sense because they really are interconnected and it's difficult to adequately address one aspect without knowing more about the others.

Since it is within the charge of the CAC to form subcommittees to focus attention on specific issues, I recommend the CAC consider the commenter's proposal and provide a response using the "new issue protocol". The November 3rd open house results clearly demonstrate the community wants to more fully explore Oswego Lake issues.

However, if the CAC decides to move forward and address the recreational aspect of Oswego Lake next week, I would ask that they draw from past planning processes that have already addressed the issue (in addition to the recent legal info. and open house results):

1. The existing [Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan \(2002\)](#) recommends a public water trail through Oswego Lake for handcarry watercraft (see page 159).
2. The existing [Lake Oswego Trails Master Plan \(2002\)](#) also recommends a public water trail through Oswego Lake for handcarry watercraft (see page 49).
3. The existing [Lake Oswego Open Space Plan \(2001\)](#) provides a number of water access recommendations specific to Oswego Lake (see pages 15-17).
4. The current [community needs assessment for the Lake Oswego Parks Plan 2025](#) demonstrates water access is still a community priority (see pages 43-44).

In conclusion, I agree with the commenter that all Oswego Lake issues (Zoning, Recreation, and Natural Resources) should be addressed together by a subcommittee. If there is not time or support for a subcommittee, I recommend considering past planning processes (in addition to recent legal info. and community input) if recreational goals and policies are drafted that address public access to Oswego Lake.

Thanks,

Todd

From: rus j

Sent: Sunday, December 11, 2011 2:04 PM

To: Weigel, Laura; Selden, Sarah; Stee, Ryan; Todd Prager

Cc: Andreades, Debra; Jim Johnson; jngstfsn@aol.com; jdglisson@earthlink.net;
bhutanipuja@cal.berkeley.edu; Boone, Evan; McCaleb, Iris

Subject: Re: Comp Plan Public Access Oswego Lake

Todd:

I have some concerns with your four statements in your email.

Of the four things listed I believe #1 and #2 are invalid as they are being thrown out due to a new Parks and Open Space Plan that is being worked on (which is #4). And that is clear about WATER access, not LAKE access.

Also #2, the Trails Master Plan, was never adopted by the City Council. (I think it may have had some private property issues?)

Russell Jones