



**Comprehensive Plan
Citizen Advisory Committee
Meeting # 20**

**January 25, 2012
City Hall
4:00 pm – 6:00 pm**

PLEASE NOTE: THIS SUMMARY IS NOT A WORD FOR WORD DOCUMENTATION OF ALL OF THE INFORMATION PRESENTED AT THE MEETING. TO SEE THE INFORMATION PRESENTED AND DISCUSSED PLEASE REFER TO THE MEETING MATERIALS ON THE CAC MEETING WEB PAGE <http://welovelakeoswego.com/citizen-committees/cac-meetings/>

Members in attendance: Sally Moncrieff (Chair), Katie Abbott, Dorothy Atwood, Tom Brennan, Christopher Clee, Tom Fahey, Bill Gaar, Nancy Gronowski, Jim Johnson, Bob Needham, Teri Oelrich, David White

Members not in attendance: Doug Cushing, Liz Hartman, Tim Mather

Staff in attendance: Laura Weigel, Sarah Selden, Kirstin Greene (Cogan Owens Cogan)

1. Public Comment

Chair Moncrieff pointed out that there are two letters from the public included in their packet that posted to the website after the packet was posted. The letters are from the **Lake Oswego Corporation** and citizen **Tracy Chapman**.

Todd Van Rysselberghe resident and board member of the Lake Oswego Corporation read a portion of the letter that was submitted to the CAC (noted above) by Doug Thomas the president of the Lake Corp board.

Jon Gustafson, Chair of the Planning Commission, asked the CAC to be mindful of being very clear in their recommendations the Planning Commission. He wanted to clarify the recommendation by the Lake Access work group. Was the group recommending that the CAC not discuss lake access during the comp plan update or were they recommending that no one should discuss it during the comp plan?

Don Burdick pointed out that he feels that there is a lot of bad information circulating in the public. He asked a question about the map that was submitted by Todd Prager (see public comments on www.welovelakeoswego.com). He wanted to know whether the City is really negotiating the purchase of the Lakeshore Hotel property.

Chair Moncrieff responded that this group wouldn't know the answer to that question, but is a question for the Lake Oswego Redevelopment Agency.

Burdick followed by saying that the hotel is having some difficulty with a redevelopment application and asked whether this group had anything to do with that.

Chair Moncrieff said no.

2. CAC Comments

Tom Fahey shared that the budget for Transportation System Plan update was approved by City Council and that the Transportation Advisory Board is excited to get started on the project.

3. Agenda Review & Announcements

Kirstin Greene reviewed the agenda.

Laura Weigel went over some proposed changes to the overall schedule. She noted that the schedule appears to be a little ambitious and thinks it would be prudent to spread it out to allow more time to get through all of the steps required. She point out a few important changes.

1. Move the Economic Vitality and Connected Community summit from March 22 to April 12.
2. Move the Community Health and Public Safety and Healthy Ecosystems to June 20.

The CAC agreed that those dates are tentatively okay.

Kristin Greene asked the CAC to review that last meeting notes and send any revisions to staff by the end of the week (Friday, January 27).

4. Oswego Lake Work Group Recommendation

David White of the Work Group explained how the work group approached the discussion which generally followed the outline in the staff report provided to the CAC. David explained that work group framed the question around there be more access to Oswego Lake than is currently identified in the comp plan. David then gave a brief overview of what is included in the recommendation.

David and Katie Abbott addressed Jon's Gustafson's public comment by saying that the recommendation not to address lake access only applies to the CAC and that others are welcome to discuss topics as they see fit.

The CAC discussed the recommendation and made a few clarifying points:

- Added the works "by the CAC" after the word process in the first sentence of the recommendation
- 1st bullet – remove the first word "although"
- Removed all of the text in bullet three prior to the text "we recommend that the CAC establish goals and policies....."

The CAC agreed to forward the recommendation of the work group with the above revisions to the Planning Commission.

Bill Garr recused himself from the recommendation.

5. Community Culture Updated Goals and Policies

Kirstin Greene kicked off the conversation by reiterating to the CAC that they need to feel really comfortable with the draft Community Culture goals and policies since these are the final recommendations that are going to the Planning Commission for a public hearing on February 27, 2012. There was an extensive conversation about the goals and polices with a variety of changes discussion. The CAC eventually settled on the changes below:

a. Arts

- Goal - Add the words "and cultural" to the goal and change "it has" to "it adds."

b. Civic Engagement

- Policy 1 –remove "and have their concerns heard"
- Policy 3 – change "including" to "individually or"
- Policy 4- move "two-way" and change "and elected and appointed" to "City"

c. Education

- Change all "school district" language to just "schools"

- Policy 8 – and drive to modes
- d. **Historic Preservation** – no changes
- e. **Library** – no changes
- f. **Recreation**
 - Be consistent with either using “the city shall” or not (goal 1 and 2)
 - Policy 2 - remove “within the city”, change gap “for” to “to”
 - Policy 8 – remove the word “districts”
 - Christopher Clee suggested adding some language about when city wide facilities are considered a centralized location should serve the broadest number of people. The CAC asked PRAB and staff to craft the final language.
- g. Goal 15: Willamette River Greenway (recreational component) – no changes
- h. Goal 5, Section 7: Oswego Lake (recreational component) – no changes

6. Feb. 2 Community Summit review

Laura Weigel discussed the change in the flow of the summit. Instead of having people choose what they want to talk about, all participants will talk about all questions. People will select one of 4 geographic quadrants to discuss.

Laura also stressed the need for facilitators and will assume unless otherwise told that CAC members will be there to facilitate. Laura will assign CAC members, if possible, to the quadrants they live in. She also asked that CAC members ask around for volunteers. **Teri Oelrich** asked for an email to forward to ask for volunteers. Staff agreed to do so.

7. Public Comment

Tom Coffee – Tom discussed the policy question for the upcoming summit regarding Stafford being designated as an urban reserve and wants to stress again that DLCD hasn’t issued the final order so technically it isn’t an urban reserve yet. He thinks the question as stated indicates that the urban reserve designation is a done deal and that it might influence the way people respond to the question. He suggested rephrasing the question to say - The city’s current policy is to oppose urbanization. Do you agree or disagree?

Mr. Coffee also stated that he knows that it is hard to get everyone up to speed on the issue, but there is a lot of history about this issue dating back to 1993 when many reasons were identified as to why the City should not expand and those reasons are even more pertinent now than they were then. In 2000 72% of the community responded in a statistically valid survey that they were opposed to urbanization.

8. CAC Comments

The CAC and staff responded to Mr. Coffee public comments by saying that the information would be included in the background information, including the presentation.

Jim Johnson stated that Mr. Coffee’s statement was half right, half wrong. The questions states that “Metro and Clackamas County indentified (not DLCD as stated by Mr. Coffee) the Stafford basin as an urban area reserve....” It’s also important to note that just because it is slated to be designated as an urban reserve it doesn’t mean that it is first in line for urbanization. It’s a 50 year plan. He did concur that the history of the topic should be included in the background information.

DRAFT